Topological Semimetals: Weyl Semimetals, Dirac Semimetals

K. K. K.

BLTP, JINR

October 21, 2024

K. K. K. (BLTP, JINR)

Top. Semimetals

H 5 October 21, 2024

1/20

• • • • • • • • • • •

Some basic definitions

Fermi Surface (FS)

- The surface created by the highest occupied electronic states in the momentum space (k_x, k_y, k_z) is known as FS.
- Schematics of FS of non interacting electrons:



Density of states (DOS)

- Number of states lying in the energy range dE around energy E(k).
- It is defined as:

$$D(E) = \int dk_x dk_y dk_z \frac{1}{|\nabla_k E(k_x, k_y, k_z)|}$$
(1)

κ.	K.	κ. <i>ι</i>	BIT	FP. I	INR'

イロト イヨト イヨト

DOS and FS in 0D, 1D, 2D, 3D



・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

Band gap appears due to crystal potential

Appearance of band gap when free electron is put in the weak periodic potential.



5/20

Band structure of real materials is more complicated



イロト イヨト イヨト イ

Metals, Semimetal, Semiconductor, Insulator

- <u>Metal</u>: Conduction and Valence band overlaps at Fermi Energy (E_F) . DOS has large values at E_F .
- <u>Semimetal</u>: Conduction and Valence band touch each other at E_F , but vanishing DOS at E_F
- <u>Semiconductor</u>: Conduction and Valence band don't touch each other at E_F , and DOS at E_F is zero. The band gap is $E_g \gtrsim k_B T$.
- <u>Insulator</u>: Conduction and Valence band don't touch each other at E_F , and DOS at E_F is zero. The band gap is $E_g \gg k_B T$.



 Figure: Distribution of DOS in valence (lower, black) and conduction (upper, white)

 bands at Fermi energy.

 K. K. K. (BLTP, JINR)

 Top. Semimetals

 October 21, 2024

7/20

Representation of Hamiltonian at band touching points

- The simplest case is the two bands touching each other at as single points in momentum space.
- The (hermitian) Hamiltonian at the band touching point can be written in terms of the Pauli matrices.

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} a(k) + b(k) & c(k) + id(k) \\ c(k) - id(k) & a(k) - b(k) \end{bmatrix} = a(k)\sigma_0 + c(k)\sigma_1 + d(k)\sigma_2 + b(k)\sigma_3$$
(2)

where $k \equiv [k_x, k_y, k_z]$.

• The solution (energy) of the Hamiltonian is:

$$E = a(k) \pm \sqrt{c(k)^2 + d(k)^2 + b(k)^2}$$
(3)

• The band touching points (gapless) occurs when b(k) = c(k) = d(k) = 0; gap appears when either of b(k), c(k), d(k) is non-zero.

8/20

イロト イヨト イヨト

Hamiltonian of the Graphene

• The Hamiltonian of Graphene with nearest neighbour hopping:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{ika_i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{-ika_i} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = t_1 \left(\sum_i \cos ka_i \right) \sigma_x + t_1 \left(\sum_i \sin ka_i \right) \sigma_y.$$
(4)





・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

k,

A way to open a gap: break inversion symmetry

• Adding a single mass term the Hamiltonian reads:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} M & \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{ika_i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{-ika_i} & -M \end{bmatrix} \cdot = t_1 \left(\sum_i \cos ka_i \right) \sigma_x + t_1 \left(\sum_i \sin ka_i \right) \sigma_y + M\sigma_z.$$
(5)



ĸ	ĸ	ĸ	(BLT	PI	INR)
			(22 -	.,	

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Another way to open gap: Haldane model

- A periodic local magnetic field such that the total flux through a unit cell is zero.
- Second-neighbor hopping: each hop along the arrows has a complex hopping $-t_2e^{i\phi}$ (The consequence of Aharonov-Bohm effect)

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} t_2 \sum \left(e^{i\phi} e^{-ikb_i} + e^{-i\phi} e^{ikb_i} \right) & t_{b1} \sum e^{ika_i} \\ t_1 \sum e^{-ika_i} & t_2 \sum \left(e^{-i\phi} e^{-ikb_i} + e^{i\phi} e^{ikb_i} \right) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6)
$$H = t_1 \left(\sum_i \cos ka_i \right) \sigma_x + t_1 \left(\sum_i \sin ka_i \right) \sigma_y \\ + 2t_2 \cos \phi \left(\sum \cos kb_i \right) \sigma_0 - 2t_2 \sin \phi \left(\sum \sin kb_i \right) \sigma_z.$$
(7)



Band structure with $t_2=0.1t_1 {\rm and} \; \varphi=\pi/2$

Band-inversion Top. Insulator

- Each lattice site has two orbital *s* and *p*.
- *M* indicates the potential difference of two orbitals.
- Hopping t_1 indicates the coupling between s and p orbitals.
- Hopping t₂ indicates the intra-orbital hopping.

$$H = t_1 \sin(k_x a_x) \sigma_x + t_1 \sin(k_y a_y) \sigma_y t_2 \left[\frac{M}{t_2} - \cos(k_x a) - \cos(k_y a) \right] \sigma_z.$$
(8)

• $-2 < M/t_2 < 2$ - non-trivial (band-inversion insulator); otherwise (trivial insulator)



3D topological system: Weyl semimetal

• Hamiltonian of half-filled 3D two band model:

$$H = [2t_x (\cos k_x - \cos k_0) + m (2 - \cos k_x - \cos k_z)] \sigma_x + 2t_y \sin k_y \sigma_y + 2t_z \sin k_z \sigma_z.$$
(9)

This model breaks time reversal symmetry and hosts two weyl nodes at ± (k₀, 0, 0).



Κ.	K.	κ. ι	BIT	P. II	NR)
					,

Weyl semimetals from the band theory

- Consider the bands are filled upto fermi levels and we have band touching points $\pm (\pm k_x \mp k_0, k_y, k_z)$.
- Expanding hamiltonian at these high symmetry points:

$$H_{pm} = v_x \left[p_{\pm} \right]_x \sigma_x + v_y \left[p_{\pm} \right]_y \sigma_y + v_z \left[p_{\pm} \right]_z \sigma_z$$

$$E = \left[v.p_{pm} \right]^2.$$
(10)

Here, $v_x = 2t_x \sin k_0$, $v_{y,z} = -2t_{y,z}$.

Above Hamiltonian is closely related to the Weyl hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{Weyl}}^{\pm} = \pm c\mathbf{p}.\sigma$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

General description of Weyl semimetals

 More generally the low-energy theory of a Weyl point is in general an anisotropic version of the above Weyl equation:

$$H = \sum_{i} v_i \left(\hat{n}_i \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) \sigma_i + v_0 \left(\hat{n}_0 \cdot p \right) I.$$
(11)

- v_i: Anisotropy of Weyl points
- \hat{n}_i : Principal direction
- Helicity of the weyl points $\kappa = \text{sign} [\hat{n}_1 (\hat{n}_2 \times \hat{n}_3)].$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Motivation of the paper

- Large SOC is needed to generate spin current through electric current.
- This spin current will apply the spin orbit torque (SOT) to create effective magnetic field.
- Large SOT is generated through large current, which give rise to the Joule effect.
- To avoid the Joule loss we need the large SOT at low current.



K. K. K. (BLTP, JINR)

Top. Semimetals

October 21, 2024

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Use of non-dissipative transport

- To generate SOT one can use the transverse Hall current which uses the topological band properties (topological SOT).
- Using linear response theory and mixed berry curvature (MBC) it is found that topological SOT is large compared to the bulk SOT in Ti₂MnAl.



FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of Ti₂MnAl. Ti and Mn are responsible for the compensated ferrimagnetic ordering. (b) The energy dispersion around the WPs on $k_y = 0$ plane.

K. K. K. 🗉	(BLTP, JINR)	
------------	--------------	--

17/20

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Comparison of topological and bulk SOT driven parameters



FIG. 3. (a) The effective magnetic fields form dissipative and topological response, acting on the compensated ferrimagnetic ordering $\hat{n}_{e}(\mathbf{b})$ The energy dependences of the response coefficients $\chi_{xx}^{(a)}$ and $\chi_{yx}^{(a)}$. (c) The density of states and one of the energy dispersion around WPs. The inversion symmetry breaking in Ti₂MnAl causes energy differences between WPs. (d) The energy dependences of efficiencies of SOT $\theta_{xx}^{(i)}$ and $\theta_{yx}^{(a)}$.

K. K. K. (BLTP.JINR)	

Top. Semimetals

October 21, 2024

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Comparison of topological and bulk SOT driven parameters



FIG. 4. Relaxation time dependences for response coefficients χ_{xx}^{dis} and χ_{yx}^{top} when (a) E_{F} is located at the energy of the WPs and (b) E_{F} is away from the energy of the WPs.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

MBC

MBC is a BC extended in the composite parameter space k, \hat{n} spanned by the momentum k and the ferrimagnetic ordering \hat{n} .

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{yx}^{\boldsymbol{kk}}(\boldsymbol{k}) &= 2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{n \neq m} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{\langle n\boldsymbol{k} | \partial_{k_y} H | \boldsymbol{mk} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{mk} | \partial_{k_x} H | \boldsymbol{nk} \rangle}{(E_{n\boldsymbol{k}} - E_{\boldsymbol{mk}})^2}, \\ \Omega_{yx}^{\hat{\boldsymbol{nk}}}(\boldsymbol{k}) &= 2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{n \neq m} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \frac{\langle n\boldsymbol{k} | \partial_{\hat{n}_y} H | \boldsymbol{mk} \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{mk} | \partial_{k_x} H | \boldsymbol{nk} \rangle}{(E_{n\boldsymbol{k}} - E_{\boldsymbol{mk}})^2}. \end{split}$$



FIG. 5. The mapping of the yx component of (a) the BC $-\Omega_{yx}^{kk}$ and (b) the MBC $-\Omega_{yx}^{nk}$ on $k_z = 0.1[1/a]$ at $E_{\rm F}$. In momentum space, the positions of the peaks/valleys of $-\Omega_{yx}^{kk/nk}$ correspond to the positions of the WPs.

• • • • • • • • • • •