

Determinant anomalies and the Wodzicki residue

Alexey Kalugin, LPI RAS & MIPT

In collaboration with A. O. Barvinsky

[Phys. Rev. D **110**, 105007] and [Work in progress]

Definition

Multiplicative (determinant) anomaly for elliptic operators $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$
($\mathcal{O}_{12} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2$) [Wodzicki (1984); Bytsenko et al (2003); Kontsevich, Vishik (1994)]

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log \text{Det } \mathcal{O}_{12} - \log \text{Det } \mathcal{O}_1 - \log \text{Det } \mathcal{O}_2. \quad (1)$$

Relation to surface terms

Determinant (order-preserving) deformation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \log \text{Det } [\mathcal{O}_{12}] &\equiv \delta [\text{Tr } \log \mathcal{O}_{12}] = \text{Tr } [\mathcal{O}_{12}^{-1} \delta \mathcal{O}_{12}] \\ &= \text{Tr } [\mathcal{O}_2^{-1} \mathcal{O}_1^{-1} (\delta \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 + \mathcal{O}_1 \delta \mathcal{O}_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Cyclic permutations under Tr involve integration by parts

$$\delta \log \text{Det } [\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2] = \delta \log \text{Det } \mathcal{O}_1 + \delta \log \text{Det } \mathcal{O}_2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^d x \partial_\mu [\dots]^\mu. \quad (3)$$

\Rightarrow expect only surface terms in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2)$.

Method

For $\text{ord } \hat{F}_{(2)} = 2$, $\text{ord } \hat{F}_{(4)} = 4$

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2,4} \Big|_d^{\text{div}} = \frac{1}{\omega - d/2} \int d^d x g^{1/2} \text{tr} \left[2\hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_{12}} - \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_1} - \hat{E}_d^{\hat{F}_2} \right], \quad \omega \rightarrow d/2 - 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{E}_{2m}^{\hat{F}_{(2)}}$ and $\hat{E}_{2m}^{\hat{F}_{(4)}}$ are Gilkey–Seeley coefficients.

Minimal operators

$$\hat{F}_1 = \square \hat{1} + \hat{A}_\alpha \nabla^\alpha + \hat{Q}, \quad \hat{F}_2 = \square \hat{1} + \hat{P}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2} \Big|_{\text{div}} = -\frac{1}{\omega - 1} \int \frac{d^2 x g^{1/2}}{8\pi} \nabla_\alpha \text{tr } \hat{A}^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 4} \Big|_{\text{div}} = & \frac{1}{\omega - 2} \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{16\pi^2} \nabla_\alpha \text{tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left(\hat{A}^\alpha (\hat{P} + \hat{Q}) \right) - \frac{1}{12} (\hat{A}^\alpha R + \hat{A}_\beta R^{\alpha\beta}) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{9} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\beta - \frac{7}{36} \square \hat{A}^\alpha + \frac{2}{9} \nabla_\beta \nabla^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta + \frac{11}{72} \hat{A}^\alpha \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\beta \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{72} \left(\nabla^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta \hat{A}_\beta + \nabla_\beta \hat{A}^\alpha \hat{A}^\beta \right) + \frac{1}{24} (\hat{A}^\alpha \hat{A}_\beta \hat{A}^\beta) \right\}. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Nonminimal operators

$$F_{1\beta}^{\alpha}(\lambda) = \square\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} + X_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \quad F_{2\beta}^{\alpha}(\lambda) = \square\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \lambda\nabla^{\alpha}\nabla_{\beta} + Y_{\beta}^{\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 2} \Big|_{\text{div}} = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{12}^{d \rightarrow 4} \Big|_{\text{div}} = & \frac{1}{\omega-2} \int \frac{d^4 x g^{1/2}}{16\pi^2} \nabla_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{7(\lambda-2)((\lambda-2)\lambda + 2(\lambda-1)\log(1-\lambda))}{24(\lambda-1)\lambda} \nabla^{\alpha} R \right. \\ & + \frac{(5\lambda-4)((\lambda-2)\lambda + 2(\lambda-1)\log(1-\lambda))}{12\lambda^2} \nabla_{\beta} X^{\alpha\beta} \\ & + \frac{(\lambda+1)((\lambda-2)\lambda + 2(\lambda-1)\log(1-\lambda))}{12\lambda^2} \nabla^{\alpha} X \\ & - \frac{(\lambda+4)((\lambda-2)\lambda + 2(\lambda-1)\log(1-\lambda))}{12(\lambda-1)\lambda^2} \nabla_{\beta} Y^{\alpha\beta} \\ & \left. - \frac{(2\lambda-1)((\lambda-2)\lambda + 2(\lambda-1)\log(1-\lambda))}{12(\lambda-1)\lambda^2} \nabla^{\alpha} Y \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Only total-derivative terms, as expected.

Kontsevich-Vishik formula

Alternative approach: explicit formula for determinant anomaly via the Wodzicki (non-commutative) residue

$$\mathcal{A}(A, B) = \int_0^1 dt \text{Wres} \left\{ \log \eta \left(\frac{\log [A_t]}{\text{ord } A} - \frac{\log [A_t B]}{\text{ord } A + \text{ord } B} \right) \right\}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\equiv AB^{-\frac{\text{ord } A}{\text{ord } B}}, & \text{ord } \eta &= 0, \\ A_t &\equiv \eta^t B^{\frac{\text{ord } A}{\text{ord } B}}, & \text{ord } A_t &= \frac{\text{ord } A}{\text{ord } B}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The rest of the talk: what is Wres and how to compute it.

Pseudodifferential operator (Ψ DO)

F is Ψ DO of order $\text{ord } F$ if

$$F\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^d \xi}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d y e^{i\xi(x-y)} a(x, y, \xi) \varphi(y), \quad (13)$$

$$a(x, y, \xi) \in S^{\text{ord } F} \Leftrightarrow \exists C : \left| \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\sqrt{1 + \xi^2} \right)^{\text{ord } F + |\beta|}. \quad (14)$$

Total symbol

Equivalently, a Ψ DO via *total symbol* $s_F(x, \xi) \in S^{\text{ord } F}$

$$F\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^d \xi}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d y e^{i\xi(x-y)} s_F(x, \xi) \varphi(y), \quad s_F(x, \xi) = e^{i\xi x} F e^{-i\xi x}. \quad (15)$$

$$s_{F \circ G}(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{-k}}{k!} \partial_{\xi}^k s_F(x, \xi) \partial_x^k s_G(x, \xi). \quad (16)$$

Classical total symbol has a decomposition into *homogeneous components*
 $F_n(x, t \cdot \xi) = t^n F_n(x, \xi)$.

$$s_F(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} F_{\text{ord } F - j}(x, \xi), \quad |\xi| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

Wodzicki (or noncommutative) residue

$$\text{Wres } F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{ord } T \text{ Res}_{z=-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \text{Tr} [(T + uF)^{-z}] \Big|_{u \rightarrow 0} \right\}, \quad (18)$$

where T is an arbitrary elliptic *test* operator of $\text{ord } T \geq \text{ord } F$
[Wodzicki (1984, 1987)].

Other relations

As a log-coefficient in early time expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr} \left[F e^{\tau T} \right] \simeq - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j \tau^{\frac{2j-d}{\mathrm{ord} T} - 1} - \frac{\mathrm{Wres} F}{\mathrm{ord} T} \log \tau + O(\tau \log \tau). \quad (19)$$

Via the total symbol

$$\mathrm{Wres} F = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^d x g^{1/2} \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{d^d \xi}{(2\pi)^d} F_{-d}(x, \xi). \quad (20)$$

⇒ we need to compute homogeneous components of total symbol on \mathcal{M} .

Covariant total symbol (CTS)

$$s_F(x, \xi) = e^{i\xi x} F e^{-i\xi x} \Leftrightarrow s_F(x', \xi') = F_x e^{i\xi'(x'-x)} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}, \quad (21)$$

hence the manifestly *covariant total symbol (CTS)* should read

$$\varsigma_F(x', \xi') \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} F(\nabla_x) e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}(x, x')} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}, \quad (22)$$

$\sigma_{\alpha'} = \nabla_{\alpha'}^{x'} \sigma(x, x')$, where $\sigma(x, x') = \frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{dist}(x, x')]^2$ is a Synge world function.

Operator map (“quantization”)

$$F(\nabla_x)\varphi(x) = \int \frac{d^d\xi}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d x' |\det \sigma_{\alpha\beta'}(x, x')| \zeta_F(x, \xi) e^{-i\xi^\alpha \sigma_\alpha(x, x')} \varphi(x'), \quad (23)$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha\beta'}(x, x') = \nabla_\alpha \nabla_{\beta'} \sigma(x, x')$.

Covariant total symbol of composition

Expanding into covariant Taylor series

$$\begin{aligned} A_x \varphi(x) e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \nabla_{\alpha'_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha'_k} \varphi(x') A_x \sigma^{\alpha'_1} \dots \sigma^{\alpha'_k} e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{-k}}{k!} \nabla_{(\alpha'_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha'_k)} \varphi(x') \partial_\xi^{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_k} \zeta_A(x', \xi'). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{A \circ B}(x', \xi') &\equiv A_x B_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'} = A_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} e^{-i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} B_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{-k}}{k!} \Psi_{(\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_k)}^B(x', \xi') \partial_\xi^{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_k} \zeta_A(x', \xi'), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\Psi_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k)}^B(x, \xi) \equiv \nabla_{(\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha_k)} e^{-i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} B_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x' \rightarrow x}. \quad (26)$$

Wres $f(A)$ can be found from total symbol of the resolvent

$$\varsigma\{f(A)\}(x, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int d\lambda f(\lambda) \varsigma\{(A - \lambda)^{-1}\}(x, \xi), \quad (27)$$

\Rightarrow need symbols of inverse operators.

Covariant total symbol of the inverse operator.

Schematically we have

$$\varsigma\{A^{-1} \circ A\} = 1 = \sum_k \frac{i^{-k}}{k!} \partial_\xi^k \varsigma_{A^{-1}} \Psi_k^A \quad (28)$$

Since $k = 0$ term is just $\varsigma_{A^{-1}} \varsigma_A$, we can use this to iteratively solve for $\varsigma_{A^{-1}}$

$$\varsigma_{A^{-1}} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m, \quad Q_0 = \frac{1}{\varsigma_A}, \quad Q_m = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{i^{-k}}{k!} \partial_\xi^k Q_{m-k} \Psi_k^A. \quad (29)$$

Let us use this to calculate Wres $1/\square$ at $d = 4$, which requires the knowledge of $\varsigma_{-4}\{\square^{-1}\}(x, \xi)$. Power counting tells that we only need Q_m up to $m = 2$.

Using the fact that for $B = B(\nabla_\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}^B(x, \xi) &\equiv \nabla_{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha_k} e^{-i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} B_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x' \rightarrow x} \\ &= \nabla_{\alpha_1} \dots \nabla_{\alpha_k} B(\nabla_\alpha + i\xi_{\mu'} \sigma_\alpha^{\mu'}) 1 \Big|_{x' \rightarrow x}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

we find Ψ 's in terms of coincidence limits of covariant derivatives of the Synge world function $[\sigma_{\alpha\beta \dots \mu' \nu' \dots \lambda'}] \equiv \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta \dots \nabla^{\mu'} \nabla^{\nu'} \dots \sigma^{\lambda'}(x, x') \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}$

$$\Psi_\emptyset^\square = -\xi^2, \quad \Psi_\alpha^\square = i\xi_\mu [\sigma_{\alpha\lambda}^{\lambda\mu'}], \quad \Psi_{(\alpha\beta)}^\square = i\xi_\mu [\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)\lambda}^{\lambda\mu'}] - \xi_\mu \xi_\nu [\sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^{\mu'\nu} + \sigma_{(\alpha\beta)}^{\mu\nu'}], \quad (31)$$

whence

$$Q_0 = -\xi^{-2}, \quad Q_1 = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\xi_\alpha \xi_\beta}{\xi^6} R^{\alpha\beta}, \quad Q_2 = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\xi_\alpha \xi_\beta}{\xi^6} R^{\alpha\beta} + O\left(\frac{1}{\xi^5}\right), \quad (32)$$

$$\varsigma_{-4} \{\square^{-1}\}(x, \xi) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\xi_\alpha \xi_\beta}{\xi^6} R^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{Wres } \square^{-1} = \frac{1}{6} \int \frac{g^{1/2} d^4 x}{(2\pi)^4} R, \quad (33)$$

which is in agreement with literature [Kaluza, Waltze (1995), Ackermann (1996)].

$\varsigma_B(x, \xi)$ is not enough for $\Psi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}^B = \nabla_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} e^{-i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} B_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}} \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}$.
 Can we close the covariant symbol algebra? Yes [Widom (1978, 1979, 1980)].

Algebra of total symbols

$$e^{-it\varphi(x)} A_x f(x) e^{it\varphi(x)} = \sum_{\ell, k=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{k-\ell}}{k!} \sum_{\substack{m_0 + \dots + m_k = \ell \\ m_1, \dots, m_k > 1}} \frac{t^k}{m_0! \dots m_k!} \nabla^{m_0} f(x) \\ \times \nabla^{m_1} \varphi(x) \dots \nabla^{m_k} \varphi(x) \partial_{\xi}^{\ell} \varsigma_A(x, \xi) \Big|_{\xi_{\alpha} \rightarrow t \partial_{\alpha} \varphi(x)}, \quad (34)$$

apply to calculating $\Psi_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}^B$

$$\varsigma_{A \circ B}(x', \xi') = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 2 \\ n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k \geq 0}} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{i^{k-n_0 - \sum_{j=1}^k (n_j + m_j)}}{n_0! n_1! \dots n_k! m_1! \dots m_k!} \\ \times \xi_{\alpha'_1} \nabla_x^{n_1 + m_1} \sigma^{\alpha'_1}(x, x') \dots \xi_{\alpha'_k} \nabla_x^{n_k + m_k} \sigma^{\alpha'_k}(x, x') \\ \times \partial_{\xi'}^{n_1 + \dots + n_k} \varsigma_A(x', \xi') \nabla_x^{n_0} \left[\partial_{\eta}^{m_1 + \dots + m_k} \varsigma_B(x, \eta) \Big|_{\eta_{\alpha} \rightarrow \xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}(x, x')} \right] \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}. \quad (35)$$

All of the above is for scalar operators. Generalization to vector bundles:

Operators on vector bundles

Delta function contains parallel displacement propagator

$$\hat{\alpha}_0(x, x') = \hat{\mathcal{P}}(x, x') : \sigma^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \hat{\mathcal{P}}(x, x') = 0 \text{ [Barvinsky, Wachowski (2022)]}$$

$$\hat{\delta}(x, x') \equiv \delta_{B'}^A(x, x') = \int \frac{d^d \xi}{(2\pi)^d} e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}(x, x')} \mathcal{P}_{B'}^A(x, x'), \quad (36)$$

So the covariant total symbol should read

$$\hat{\xi}_F(x', \xi') \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{F}_x e^{i\xi_{\alpha'} \sigma^{\alpha'}(x, x')} \hat{\mathcal{P}}(x, x') \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}. \quad (37)$$

All formulas modify slightly, now containing $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(x, x')$ derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}_{\hat{A} \circ \hat{B}}(x', \xi') &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_k \geq 2 \\ n, m_0, n_0, \dots, n_k \geq 0}} \frac{1}{k! n!} \frac{i^{k-n-\sum_{j=0}^k (n_j+m_j)}}{n_0! \dots n_k! m_0! \dots m_k!} \\ &\times \xi_{\alpha'_1} \nabla_x^{n_1+m_1} \sigma^{\alpha'_1}(x, x') \dots \xi_{\alpha'_k} \nabla_x^{n_k+m_k} \sigma^{\alpha'_k}(x, x') \partial_{\xi'}^{n+n_1+\dots+n_k} \hat{\xi}_A(x', \xi') \\ &\times \nabla_x^n \left[\partial_\eta^{m_1+\dots+m_k} \hat{\xi}_B(x, \eta) \Big|_{\eta_\alpha \rightarrow \xi_{\alpha'} \sigma_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'}(x, x')} \right] \nabla_x^{m_0+n_0} \hat{\mathcal{P}}(x, x') \Big|_{x \rightarrow x'}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Summary

- Shown, that the determinant anomaly is proportional to a total-derivative term.
- Verified by explicit calculations using the heat kernel method for minimal and nonminimal anomalies.
- Manifestly covariant total symbol calculus used to calculate $\text{Wres} \frac{1}{\square}$

To do

- Apply covariant total symbol calculus to traces of square roots of operators.
- Employ Kontsevich-Vishik formula to anomaly calculations in physically relevant examples.

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 23-12-00051.

Thank you for your attention!