

# Higher-spin fields in spinor space

(work in progress)

Nikita Misuna

Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow

PMMP'25 Dubna 14.02.25

# main statements

- action principle for classical  $4d$  integer-spin fields is formulated in space formed by  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ -spinors and a Lorentz-invariant proper-time coordinate
- e.o.m. get very simple and put no constraints on spinor-dependence of fields
- relation to the space-time picture is provided by unfolded equations

# outline

- $4d$  higher-spin gravity and spinors
- unfolded equations and unfolding maps
- scalar field
- spin-1 field
- integer-spin fields

## 4d higher-spin gravity and spinors

- higher-spin gravity - a theory of interacting massless fields of all spins (including graviton) with  $\infty$ -dim gauge symmetry.
- quantum gravity requires new geometry: worldsheet in string theory, twistors for self-dual theories, spinfoam in loop quantum gravity.
- in 4d higher-spin gravity, Weyl spinors are natural auxiliary variables - it looks reasonable to try to implement the whole dynamics in terms of them.
- higher spins in spinor variables: [[Ponomarev 2104.02770](#), [NM 2301.02207](#)].

## 4d higher-spin gravity and spinors

- Higher-spin gauge algebra [Fradkin, Vasiliev, 1987] - infinite-dimensional associative algebra of star-product (Weyl algebra)

$$f(Y) * g(Y) = f(Y) \exp\{i \overleftarrow{\partial}_A \epsilon^{AB} \overrightarrow{\partial}_B\} g(Y), \quad (1)$$

where  $Y^A = \{y^\alpha, \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}\}$  is a pair of Grassmann-even Weyl spinors. The only independent Lorentz-invariant combinations built out of  $Y$  are

$$\hat{N} := y^\alpha \partial_\alpha, \quad \hat{\bar{N}} := \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (2)$$

- Spinor bilinears  $T^{AB} = Y^A Y^B$  form  $sp(4, \mathbb{R})$  subalgebra

$$[T^{AA}, T^{BB}]_* = -2i \epsilon^{AB} T^{AB}, \quad (3)$$

isomorphic to  $so(3, 2)$  symmetry algebra of  $AdS_4$ , which is a vacuum solution of Vasiliev theory.

## 4d higher-spin gravity and spinors

- In terms of  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ , symmetric traceless Lorentz tensors correspond to symmetric spinor-tensors

$$\{T^{a_1 \dots a_n} : \eta_{a_1 a_2} T^{a_1 \dots a_n} = 0\} \iff T^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n, \dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_n}. \quad (4)$$

- Antisymmetric Lorentz tensors correspond to  $(2,0) \oplus (0,2)$  spinor-tensors

$$\{T^{a,b} = -T^{b,a}\} \iff T^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \oplus \bar{T}^{\dot{\alpha}_1 \dot{\alpha}_2}. \quad (5)$$

- For derivatives this yields

$$\nabla^{\alpha_1 \dot{\alpha}_1} \nabla^{\alpha_2 \dot{\alpha}_2} \iff \nabla^a \nabla^b - \frac{1}{4} \eta^{ab} \square. \quad (6)$$

- Practical profit: working in terms of spinors automatically projects out traces and boxes of tensor fields, restricting to irreducible on-shell components.

# unfolded equations and unfolding maps

- to formulate higher-spin gravity in a manifestly diffeomorphism- and gauge-invariant way [Vasiliev'89-94], a special first-order formalism was developed named unfolded dynamics approach [Vasiliev, hep-th/0504090].
- Master-fields of Vasiliev theory, living in the fiber bundle of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  over  $AdS_4$ , are 1-form  $\omega(Y|x)$  (“potentials”) and 0-form  $C(Y|x)$  (“field strengths”).
- solving generating Vasiliev equations leads to the unfolded system of the form

$$d\omega(x|Y) + \omega * \omega + V_\omega^1(\omega, \omega, C) + V_\omega^2(\omega, \omega, C, C) + \dots = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$dC(x|Y) + \omega * C - C * \hat{\pi}\omega + V_C^2(\omega, C, C) + V_\omega^3(\omega, C, C, C) + \dots = 0 \quad (8)$$

- These equations can be interpreted as (locally) restoring the space-time dependence of higher-spin fields from the spinorial one.
- From the space-time point of view, spinors  $Y$  encode expansions of higher-spin fields in on-shell derivatives.
- Two boundary problems  $C(x|0) \rightarrow C(x|Y)$ ,  $C(0|Y) \rightarrow C(x|Y)$  generate unfolding maps  $C(x) \rightarrow C(Y)$ ,  $C(Y) \rightarrow C(x)$ .

# unfolded equations and unfolding maps

- Problem: to formulate the theory of relativistic fields solely in terms of spinors, with no reference to the space-time.
- Motivation: spinor space may provide a fundamental geometry for higher-spin gravity.
- Idea: one needs an unfolding map defining fields living in  $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times (\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R})$  and unfolded-like equations  $\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi = P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(Y, \tau)\Phi$  defining Poincaré reps – this allows one to connect space-time fields to spinor-space fields living in  $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ .
- Technicalities: to construct an unfolded formulation of a theory, one should first define an unfolding map  $x \rightarrow x|Y$ , and then deduce unfolded equations as identities, satisfied by the unfolded fields [NM, 2402.14164].
- Antipodality of spinor and space-time realizations of Poincaré UIR:
  - in space-time: universal  $\hat{P}_a = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a}$  for all masses and spins, but  $\hat{M}_{a,b} = -ix_{[a}\partial_{b]} + (\hat{S}_{a,b})^J{}_J$ , thus fields are  $\phi^I(x)$ .
  - in spinor space: universal  $\hat{M}_{\alpha\beta} = y_{(\alpha}\partial_{\beta)}$  for all masses and spins, but  $\hat{P}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = a_{\hat{N},\hat{N}}^{m,s} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + b_{\hat{N},\hat{N}}^{m,s} y_{\alpha} \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} + (c_{\hat{N},\hat{N}}^{m,s} \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha} + h.c.)$ , thus fields are  $\varphi(Y, \tau)$ .



# scalar field

- Consider an unfolding map for an off-shell scalar field [NM, 2208.04306]

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x|Y, \tau) := \exp(y^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \tau \square) \phi(x) = \int \frac{d^4 z}{(4\pi\tau)^2} e^{-\frac{(x+y\bar{y}-z)^2}{4\tau}} \phi(z) \quad (9)$$

- This corresponds to the unfolded-like equation

$$\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi(x|Y, \tau) = \frac{1}{\hat{N} + 1} (\partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}) \Phi(x|Y, \tau), \quad (10)$$

which generates a representation of Poincaré algebra as

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\hat{N} + 1} (\partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}), \quad M_{(\alpha\beta)} = y_{(\alpha} \partial_{\beta)}, \quad \bar{M}_{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = \bar{y}_{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta})} \quad (11)$$

- $P^2$ -casimir is  $Y$ -independent

$$P^2 := \frac{1}{2} P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}. \quad (12)$$

- $x = 0$ -boundary problem for (10) is solved as

$$\varphi(y\bar{y}, \tau) \rightarrow \Phi(x|Y, \tau) := \exp(x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) \varphi(y\bar{y}, \tau). \quad (13)$$

# scalar field

- An action principle in spinor space can be deduced from the space-time one by means of a  $(Y, \tau) \rightarrow x$  unfolding map

$$\phi(x) = \Phi(x|Y=0, \tau=0) = \exp(x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) \varphi(y\bar{y}, \tau)|_{Y, \tau=0}, \quad (14)$$

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \phi(x) (\square - m^2) \phi(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \varphi \delta^4(\overleftarrow{P} + \overrightarrow{P}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2 \right) \varphi |_{Y, \tau=0} \quad (15)$$

- Making use of the delta-function representation

$$\delta(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon}} \exp\left\{-\frac{z^2}{4\epsilon}\right\}, \quad (16)$$

the action can be rewritten as

$$S[\varphi] = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(-1)}{32\pi^2 \epsilon^2} (e^{(-4\epsilon)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}} \varphi) \exp\left\{-\frac{\overleftarrow{P} \cdot \overrightarrow{P}}{2\epsilon}\right\} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2\right) (e^{(-4\epsilon)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}} \varphi) |_{Y, \tau=0}. \quad (17)$$

- Compare with the supertrace on the Weyl algebra

$$\text{str}(f * g) = f(Y) \exp(i \overleftarrow{\partial}_A \overrightarrow{\partial}^A) g(Y) |_{Y=0}. \quad (18)$$

- Poincaré-invariance of  $S$  is ensured by  $\delta^4(\overleftarrow{P} + \overrightarrow{P})$  (for translations) and Lorentz-covariance plus  $Y$ -independence (for rotations).

# scalar field

- e.o.m. following from  $S$  are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2\right)\varphi(y\bar{y}, \tau) = 0 \quad (19)$$

and put no restrictions on  $Y$ -dependence of  $\varphi$ .

- General solution is

$$\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}(y\bar{y})e^{\tau m^2}, \quad \forall \tilde{\varphi}. \quad (20)$$

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\hat{N} + 1}(\partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} m^2). \quad (21)$$

This corresponds to  $\tau$  being a Lorentz-invariant evolution parameter, while spinors covariantly parameterize  $3d$  Cauchy hypersurface, which is light-like (even for massive fields). Massless fields are “static”.

- This separation of  $\tau$  and  $Y$  variables is a distinguished feature of the proposed construction. In fact, the freedom is much larger:  $P^2$  can be defined arbitrarily, with the only condition  $[P^2, Y^A] = [P^2, \partial_A] = 0$ . Analysis of unfolded off-shell supersymmetric systems [NM 2201.01674] hints towards a  $2^{nd}$ -order realization of  $P^2$ . In general case,  $\tau = 0$  projection gets replaced with projection onto  $\ker P^2$ .

# spin-1 field

- Proca equations for a spin-1 field [Proca, 1936]:

$$(\square - m^2)A_n(x) = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\partial^n A_n(x) = 0 \quad (23)$$

- Massless limit corresponds to the Maxwell equations in the Lorenz gauge, with a residual gauge symmetry

$$A_n(x) \rightarrow A_n(x) + \partial_n f(x), \quad \square f(x) = 0. \quad (24)$$

## spin-1 field

- Consider the following unfolded map for a vector field [NM 2402.14164]

$$A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x) \rightarrow A(x|Y, \tau) := e^{y^\beta \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}} + \tau \square} \{ A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x) y^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\alpha}(x) y^\alpha y^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}(x) \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \}$$

where (anti-)self-dual components of the Maxwell tensor are

$$F_{\alpha\alpha}(x) := \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} A_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}(x), \quad \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}(x) := \nabla_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} A^{\beta}_{\dot{\alpha}}(x). \quad (25)$$

- This map corresponds to an unfolded-type equation

$$\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} A(x|Y, \tau) = P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} A(x|Y, \tau), \quad (26)$$

which in addition imposes a transversality constraint on  $A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x)$

$$\nabla^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(x) = 0. \quad (27)$$

- Poincaré-translations in the spinor space are realized as

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{(\hat{N} + 1)(\hat{N} + 1)} \left\{ \frac{\hat{N} + \hat{N}}{2} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{\hat{N} + \hat{N} + 4}{2} y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\alpha (\Pi^+ - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Pi^0) - y_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\Pi^- - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Pi^0) \right\} \quad (28)$$

on the spin-1 module of the form (“external” indices are not really external)

$$A(Y, \tau) = Y^M Y^N A_{MN}(y\bar{y}, \tau). \quad (29)$$

## spin-1 field

- Analogously to the scalar field case, one defines a spinor-space action

$$S[A(Y, \tau)] = -\frac{1}{4}A\{(\overleftarrow{\partial}_M \overrightarrow{\partial}^M)^2 \delta^4(\overleftarrow{P} + \overrightarrow{P})\} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2\right)A|_{Y, \tau=0}, \quad (30)$$

where  $\overleftarrow{\partial}_M \overrightarrow{\partial}^M$  acts after evaluation of the delta-function ( $[\partial_M, P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}] \neq 0$ ).

- e.o.m. and their general solutions are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2\right)Y^M Y^N A_{MN}(y\bar{y}, \tau) = 0 \implies A = A_{MN}(y\bar{y}) Y^M Y^N e^{m^2 \tau}, \quad (31)$$

and again there are no restrictions on  $Y$ -dependence.

- In the space-time picture, instead of Proca action, this corresponds to

$$S[A] = \int d^4x \{c_1 \cdot A_a^\parallel (\square - m^2) A^{\parallel a} + c_2 \cdot F_{a,b} (\square - m^2) F^{a,b}\}. \quad (32)$$

But in the spinor-space picture,  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  are independent elementary fields and the action is of first order in the evolution parameter  $\tau$ , while the very  $A_a$  is in fact absent.

## spin-1 field

- In the massless case  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} A = 0$ , on the spinor-space field

$$A(Y) = \underbrace{A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(y\bar{y})y^\alpha\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}}_{A^\parallel} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}F_{\alpha\alpha}(y\bar{y})y^\alpha y^\alpha}_F + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}(y\bar{y})\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}}}_{\bar{F}}, \quad (33)$$

Poincaré translations are realized as

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}F = \frac{\hat{N} + \hat{\bar{N}}}{2(\hat{N} + 1)(\hat{\bar{N}} + 1)} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} F, \quad P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{F} = \frac{\hat{N} + \hat{\bar{N}}}{2(\hat{N} + 1)(\hat{\bar{N}} + 1)} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{F},$$

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}A^\parallel = \frac{1}{(\hat{N} + 1)(\hat{\bar{N}} + 1)} \left\{ \frac{\hat{N} + \hat{\bar{N}}}{2} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} A^\parallel - \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\alpha F - y_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{F} \right\}. \quad (34)$$

- $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  correspond to physical  $\pm 1$ -helicities.
- zero-helicity  $A^\parallel$  corresponds to the residual pure-gauge contributions in the Lorenz gauge

$$A^\parallel = y^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \varphi(y\bar{y}) = \hat{N} \varphi(y\bar{y}), \quad \varphi = \hat{N}^{-1} A^\parallel. \quad (35)$$

- The module is manifestly indecomposable, which reflects the non-existence of a Lorentz-invariant complete gauge.

# integer-spin fields

- Fierz equations for a spin- $s$  field [Fierz, 1939]:

$$(\square - m^2)A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_s}(x) = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\partial^{n_1} A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_s}(x) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\eta^{n_1 n_2} A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_s}(x) = 0. \quad (38)$$

- Massless limit corresponds to the Fronsdal equations in TT-gauge, with the residual gauge symmetry

$$A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_s} \rightarrow A_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_s} + \partial_{n_s} f_{n_1 \dots n_{s-1}} \quad (39)$$

for a gauge function  $f_{n_1 \dots n_{s-1}}(x)$  subjected to the same equations.

- Lagrangian requires a bunch of auxiliary symmetric traceless fields of ranks  $s - 2, s - 3, \dots, 0$  [Singh, Hagen, 1974]. For massless fields, only  $s - 2$  is necessary [Fronsdal, 1978].



# integer-spin fields

- Straightforward generalization of the  $s = 1$  case to an arbitrary integer  $s$  gives

$$\Phi_s(Y, \tau) = (Y^A)^{2s} \Phi_{A(2s)}(y\bar{y}, \tau), \quad (40)$$

produced from a transverse space-time field  $\phi_{\alpha(s), \dot{\alpha}(s)}(x)$  as

$$\Phi_s(x|Y, \tau) = e^{y^\beta \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} \nabla_{\beta\dot{\beta}} + \tau \square} \frac{1}{2} (e^{y^\gamma \bar{\delta}^{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}} + e^{\bar{\delta}^{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}}) \phi_{\alpha(s), \dot{\alpha}(s)}(x) (y^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^s. \quad (41)$$

- Poincaré algebra is realized via

$$P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = a_{\hat{N}, \hat{N}} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} + b_{\hat{N}, \hat{N}} y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} + c_{\hat{N}, \hat{N}} \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\alpha + \bar{c}_{\hat{N}, \hat{N}} y_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad (42)$$

for certain Lorentz-invariant operators  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $\bar{c}$ .

- Poincaré-invariant action is

$$S[\Phi_s] = -\frac{1}{2(2s!)^2} \Phi_s \{ (\overleftarrow{\partial}_M \overrightarrow{\partial}^M)^{2s} \delta^4(\overleftarrow{P} + \overrightarrow{P}) \} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2 \right) \Phi_s |_{Y, \tau=0}, \quad (43)$$

which leads to e.o.m. that impose no restrictions on  $Y$ -dependence

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - m^2 \right) \Phi_s(Y, \tau) = 0, \quad \Phi_s(Y, \tau) = (Y^A)^{2s} \Phi_{A(2s)}(y\bar{y}) e^{m^2 \tau} \quad (44)$$

- In the massless case, a set of all intermediate helicities forms a maximal gauge submodule, so the physical are only  $\pm s$ , i.e.

$$\Phi_s^{phys}(Y, \tau) = (y^\alpha)^{2s} \Phi_{\alpha(2s)}(y\bar{y}) + (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{2s} \bar{\Phi}_{\dot{\alpha}(2s)}(y\bar{y}). \quad (45)$$

# conclusions

- an action principle for the free classical integer-spin Poincaré fields in the spinor space  $(Y^A, \tau)$  is constructed.
- e.o.m. only fix  $\tau$ -dependence, while  $Y$ -dependence is constrained by the spin value.
- for massless fields, space-time gauge symmetry gets transformed into a manifestly indecomposable structure of spinor modules.
- relation to the space-time picture is provided by corresponding unfolded-like equations.
- further directions:
  - conservation laws and charges
  - inherent spinor-space symmetries
  - black-hole-like solutions
  - interactions and locality
  - quantization