Analysis and Detector Meeting of the BM@N Experiment at NICA

Production of Λ hyperons in 4.0 and 4.5 AGeV carbon-nucleus interactions at the Nuclotron

Speaker: Ksenia Alishina
Scientific adviser: Yu. Stepanenko
Scientific supervisor: M. Zavertyaev

05.03.2025

VB LHEP, JINR, Dubna

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Setup scheme

C + A → X , A : C, Al, Cu, Pb

Energy beam = 4.0 AGeV, 4.5 AGeV

Central tracker:

- **One** plane of a forward Si detector;
- **6** GEM stations:
  - **5** GEM detectors (66x41 cm^2);
  - **2** GEM detectors (163x45 cm^2);

Triggers: BD, BC_1, BC_2, T_0, VETO



Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) system:
To measure momenta of a charged particle;

Event reconstruction in GEM in C+A interaction;

Acceptance evaluation procedure (DCM - QGSM)

Kinematic measuring range (4, 4.5 AGeV):

$0.1 < p_T < 1.05$ GeV/c
$1.2 < y_{lab} < 2.1$



1 Divide the kinematic measuring range by y, p_T into (8×8) cells in the MC simulation.

2 To get the number of events generated by the MC.

3 In each cells the invariant mass distribution fit with

$$f_{bg} = N \cdot (m - M_0)^A \cdot e^{-B\cdot(m-M_0)}$$

N, A, B are free parameters,
$M_0 = 1.078$ ГэВ/c^2 is the threshold limit, **m** is the mass value.



Evaluation of the precision of the acceptance



$1.2 < y_{lab} < 1.33$, $0.1 < p_T < 0.2$



1.33< ylab < 1.45, 0.2< pT< 0.3

Pseudo-experiment

Gaussing smearing.

The **"new"** histogram was fit an the new signal was evaluated.

1000 times

Procedure was repeated.

Red Line – Fit function Gauss($< N^{\Lambda}_{rec_{MC}} >$,$\sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{rec_{MC}}}$)

Each event is weighted with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon_i} = < N^{\Lambda}_{recMC} >_i / N^{\Lambda}_{gen_i}$ is evaluated number of Λ, $N^{\Lambda}_{gen_i}$ is the number of Λ generated; $\Delta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i = \sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{recMC\,i}} / N^{\Lambda}_{gen_i}$ is evaluated error.

Spectrometer acceptance ($\varepsilon_i \pm \Delta\varepsilon_i$) for Λ in (y, p_T) cells

C+C, E_{kin} = 4 AGeV



C+Cu, Ekin= 4 AGeV



Extrapolation to low acceptance (y, p_T) cells

$$\varepsilon_i < (0.0007, 0.001)$$

Extrapolation steps:

1 Extrapolation based on the DCM – QGSM model;

2 Extrapolation factor is calculated $f_{extr} = N_{all}/N_{con}$, N_{all} – sum of all generated events; N_{con} – sum of generated events in cells with high acceptance;

3 f_{extr} - is used for evaluation of production cross section in full acceptance range;

Mass distribution of the Λ (BM@N DATA)





$0.1 < p_T < 1.05$
and
$1.2 < y_{lab} < 2.1$

Procedure in DATA C+A → X

1) Split (y, pT) area in small cells for MC/DATA (8x8);
2) To each event assigned the weight ε_i;
3) Sum the cells by $\sum_{ij} y_{ij}$ and by $\sum_{ij} pT_{ij}$

- Λ signal width ~ 2.0 - 4 MeV;
- Signal = hist – Background in 1075 - 1150 MeV/c²;

Uncertainties from signal variation (BM@N DATA)





Red Line – Fit function Gauss($< N^{\Lambda}_{recDATA} >, \sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{recDATA}}$)

$0.1 < p_T < 1.05$
and
$1.2 < y_{lab} < 2.1$

$$\Delta\sigma_{\Lambda} = \sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{recDATA}} / (\varepsilon_{trig} \times \varepsilon_{pileup} \times L)$$

$$\Delta Y_{stat\Lambda} = \Delta\,\sigma_{\Lambda} / \sigma_{inel}$$

Cross sections $\sigma_\Lambda(y/p_T)$ of the Λ and yields (BM@N)

The inclusive cross section σ_Λ and Y_Λ of Λ hyperon in C+A interactions are calculated in bins of (y, p_T) according to the formula:

weighted signal

$$\sigma_\Lambda(p_T) = [\textstyle\sum_y N^\Lambda_{rec}(y,p_T)/\varepsilon_{rec}(y,p_T)]/[\varepsilon_{trig}\cdot\varepsilon_{pileup}\cdot L]$$
$$\sigma_\Lambda(y) = [\textstyle\sum_{p_T} N^\Lambda_{rec}(y,p_T)/\varepsilon_{rec}(y,p_T)]/[\varepsilon_{trig}\cdot\varepsilon_{pileup}\cdot L]$$
$$Y_\Lambda(y-p_T) = \sigma_\Lambda(y-p_T)/\sigma_{inel}$$

L is the luminosity, N^Λ_{rec} is the number of recontacted Λ-hyperons,
ε_{rec} is the combined efficiency of the Λ - hyperon reconstruction,
ε_{trig} is the trigger efficiency, ε_{pileup} is the suppression factors of reconstructed events.
σ_{inel} is the cross section for minimum bias inelastic C+A interactions(DCM-QGSM model).

Yield RESULTS (Preliminary)

Target	Energy, AGeV	$Y_\Lambda \pm \Delta Y_{stat\Lambda} \pm \Delta Y_{\Lambda sys}$	Energy, AGeV	$Y_\Lambda \pm \Delta Y_{stat\Lambda} \pm \Delta Y_{\Lambda sys}$
$0.1 < p_T < 1.05$ and $1.2 < y_{lab} < 2.1$				
C + C	4.0	0.011 ± 0.001 ± 0.004	4.5	0.013 ± 0.002 ± 0.005
C + Al		0.032 ± 0.004 ± 0.006		0.025 ± 0.003 ± 0.005
C + Cu		0.030 ± 0.003 ± 0.005		0.037 ± 0.004 ± 0.006
C + Pb		-		0.033 ± 0.010 ± 0.010

Systematic evaluation: Cut variation

An approach in the estimation of systematic uncertainties related to the variation of selection criteria for events with Λ-hyperons.

The selection criteria based only on two parameters **path, dca.**

Nominal values:

1 | **path** > 2.5 cm

2 | **dca** < 1 cm

±10%

Variation of nominal values





Event topology:

PV – primary vertex

V0 – vertex of hyperon decay

dca – distance of the closest approach

path – decay length

Calculation of systematic uncertainties yields of the Λ

1 $$\Delta Y_{\Lambda_{sys\_pseudo\_exp}}{}^2 = Y_{\Lambda}^2(\sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{rec\,DATA}}{}^2/< N^{\Lambda}_{rec_{DATA}} >^2 + \sigma_{N^{\Lambda}_{rec\,MC}}{}^2/< N^{\Lambda}_{rec_{MC}} >^2);$$

2 $\Delta Y_{\Lambda_{sys_{cut\_var}}} = 0.004$ – from the variation of the Λ-hyperon selection criteria;

3 $\Delta Y_{\Lambda_{sys}} = \sqrt{\Delta Y_{\Lambda_{sys\_pseudo\_exp}}{}^2 + \Delta Y_{\Lambda_{sys_{cut\_var}}}{}^2}$ - total systematic uncertainty;

Rapidity (y) spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions (Preliminary)



Rapidity (y) spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions(Preliminary)



p_T spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions(Preliminary)







p_T spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions(Preliminary)



Invariant p_T spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions (Preliminary)



The measured spectra of the Λ yields in p_T are parameterized by the formula:

$$1/p_T\, d^2N/dp_T dy = N \cdot \exp(-(m_T - m_\Lambda)/T_0)$$

The transverse mass $m_T = \sqrt{m_\Lambda^2 + p_T^2}$,

The N normalization,

The inverse slope parameter T_0 are free parameters of the fit;





Invariant p_T spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions (Preliminary)









SLOPE RESULTS (Preliminary)

4.0 AGeV	T_0, MeV, C+C	T_0, MeV, C+Al	T_0 MeV, C+Cu	T_0 MeV, C+Pb
BM@N	92 ±9± 17 1.83	99 ± 10 ±16 0.57	108 ± 11 ± 14 0.1	Low statistic
DCM - QGSM	128 ± 4	119± 3	138 ± 4	136± 4
UrQMD	114 ± 7	128 ± 7	137± 6	135± 8
PHSD	89 ± 3	105 ± 3	111 ± 7	102 ± 4

4.5 AGeV	T_0, MeV, C+C	T_0, MeV, C+Al	T_0, MeV, C+Cu	T_0, MeV, C+Pb
BM@N	101 ± 15 ± 17 1.00	86 ± 8 ±17 0.77	91 ± 8 ±15 0.19	99 ± 17 ± 20 0.78
DCM - QGSM	140 ± 4	141 ± 4	142 ± 6	150 ± 5
UrQMD	125 ± 4	132 ± 7	138 ± 8	143 ± 6
PHSD	109 ± 5	113 ± 5	115 ± 5	113 ± 5

Extrapolation in full kinematic range

DCM-QGSM
and
URQMD

The extrapolation factor was calculated as the arithmetic average of the two models

Measurement in BM@N acceptance

Yields of the Λ hyperon are multiplied by an extrapolation factor

Compare results

with other experiment and prediction model in full kinematic range

Energy dependence of Λ yields measured in C+C interactions



BM@N, 4 AGeV:
$(2.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-2}$

Propane Chamber, 3.36 AGeV:
$(2.89 \pm 0.72) \cdot 10^{-2}$

The predictions of the **DCM-QGSM**, UrQMD and PHSD models

HADES , 2 AGeV :
$\mathbf{0.0092 \pm 0.0012 \pm^{0.0034}_{0.0017}}$
unpublished data

Energy dependence of Λ yields measured in C+Al, C+Cu, C+Pb interactions







The predictions of the **DCM-QGSM**, UrQMD and PHSD models

Ratios of the Λ hyperon yields to the number of nucleons-participants measured in BM@N carbon-nucleus interactions at 4.0 AGeV (left) and 4.5 AGeV (right)





The predictions of the DCM-QGSM, UrQMD and PHSD models

Summary

Cross sections(σ_Λ), yields (Y_Λ), slope T_0 were measured and compare prediction model

24 ± 6 mb

The results of the Propane Chamber experiment at energy of **3.36 AGeV**

22.6 ± 2.1 mb

Cross sections for carbon-carbon collisions at **4 AGeV** in the full kinematic range were obtained(BM@N)

25 ± 7.5 mb

Cross sections for carbon-carbon collisions at **4.5 AGeV** in the full kinematic range were obtained (BM@N)

Summary

In the energy range 4 - 4.5 AGeV this difference is not significant and the temperature values are close within the error.

4 AGeV

T_0 = **92 ±9± 17** MeV (C+C interactions) to **108 ± 11 ± 14** MeV (C+Cu interactions)

4.5 AGeV

T_0 = **101 ± 15 ± 17** MeV (C+C interactions) to **99 ± 17 ± 20** MeV (C+Pb interactions)

BACK UP

Event selection criteria



1 Number of tracks in selected events: positive>=1, negative>=1

2 Number of signals in the start detector: T_0=1

3 Number of signals in the beam counter: BC_2=1

4 Number of signals in the veto counter around the beam: Veto=0

5 Trigger condition in the barrel detector: number of signals BD>=2 or BD>=3 (run dependent)

Trigger efficiency

The trigger efficiency was evaluated by a convolution of the GEANT simulation of the trigger BD detector response to DCM-QGSM events with reconstructed Λ hyperons and the GEANT simulation of delta electrons.

$$\varepsilon_{trig} = N_{sim_{\Lambda}}(BD \geq n)/N_{sim_{all\Lambda}}$$

The systematic errors in Table 1 cover:

1) Contribution of delta electrons;
2) The spread of the trigger efficiencies calculated for different y and p_T bins of the reconstructed Λ-hyperons;
3) Change in the trigger efficiency after correction of the simulated track multiplicity in agreement with the experimental data.

Table 1. Trigger efficiency ε_{trig}

4 AGeV	C	Al	Cu	Pb
ε_{trig}(BD≥2)	0.80±0.02	-	-	-
ε_{trig}(BD≥3)	-	0.87±0.02	0.92±0.02	0.95±0.02
4.5 AGeV	**C**	**Al**	**Cu**	**Pb**
ε_{trig}(BD≥2)	0.80±0.02	-	-	-
ε_{trig}(BD≥3)	-	0.83±0.02	0.91±0.02	0.94±0.02

ε_{trig} is used for evaluation of production cross section;



Schematic view and positions of the beam counters, barrel detector and target

GEM efficiencies comparison Data/MC (4.0GeV C+C) after applying effs to MC



For each GEM station they were estimated using the following approach:

1. Select good quality tracks with the number of hits per track (excluding the station under study) not less than N;
2. Check that track crosses the detector area, if yes, add one track to the denominator;
3. If there is a hit in the detector, which belongs to the track, add one track to the numerator;
4. GEM efficiency = sum of tracks in numerator / sum of tracks in denominator.

1D GEM efficiency comparison between the experimental data and MC (4.0GeV C+C)

Data–red line, MC–blue line;



X, Y, Z distributions of the experimental primary vertex



Data and Monte - Carlo comparison



C+Cu interactions at **4.0 AGeV** carbon beam energy: transverse momentum of positive particles (left); transverse momentum of negative particles (center); total momentum of negative (p/q<0) and positive particles (p/q>0) (right). **Blue line** - MC, **red line** - data.

The suppression factors

- The suppression factors of reconstructed events $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\text{pileup}}$ due to selection criteria 2 applied to eliminate beam halo and pile-up events in interactions of the 4.0 and 4.5 AGeV carbon beam with the C, Al, Cu, Pb targets.

Number of signals in the start detector: T_0=1

Table 2. $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\text{pileup}}$ suppression factors

Selection	4 AGeV	4.5 AGeV
T0==1	+	+
BC2==1	+	+
Veto==0	+	+
C	0.674±0.034	0.529±0.026
Al	0.740±0.037	0.618±0.031
Cu	0.779±0.039	0.621±0.031
Pb	0.784±0.039	0.686±0.034

Preliminary systematics evaluation:

$\delta\varepsilon_{pileup_{SYS}} = \varepsilon_{pileup} \cdot \delta\varepsilon_{pileup};$

where $\delta\varepsilon_{pileup}$ = 5%

- $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon_{pileup}}$ is used for evaluation of production cross section;

Cross sections $\sigma_\Lambda(y/p_T)$ of the Λ

The inclusive cross section $\boldsymbol{\sigma_\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{Y_\Lambda}$ of Λ hyperon in C+A interactions are calculated in bins of (y - p_T) according to the formula:

weighted signal

$$\sigma_\Lambda(p_T) = [\textstyle\sum_{y} N_{rec}^{\Lambda}(y,p_T)/\varepsilon_{rec}(y,p_T)]/[\varepsilon_{trig}\cdot\varepsilon_{pileup}\cdot L]$$
$$\sigma_\Lambda(y) = [\textstyle\sum_{p_T} N_{rec}^{\Lambda}(y,p_T)/\varepsilon_{rec}(y,p_T)]/[\varepsilon_{trig}\cdot\varepsilon_{pileup}\cdot L]$$

L is the luminosity, N_{rec}^{Λ} is the number of recontacted Λ-hyperons,
ε_{rec} is the combined efficiency of the Λ - hyperon reconstruction,
ε_{trig} is the trigger efficiency, ε_{pileup} is the suppression factors of reconstructed events.

Table 3. Integrated **luminosities** collected in interactions of the carbon beam of 4.0 and 4.5AGeV with different targets.

Interactions, target thickness		Integrated luminosity/ 10^{30} cm^{-2}		Integrated luminosity/ 10^{30} cm^{-2}
C+C (9 mm)	4 AGeV	6.06	4.5 AGeV	4.69
C+Al (12 mm)		2.39		3.60
C+Cu (5 mm)		2.00		3.06
C+Pb (10 mm)		0.22		0.84

Yields of the Λ

The $\mathbf{Y_\Lambda}$ of Λ hyperon in C+A interactions are calculated in bins of (y - p_T) cells according to the formula:

$$Y_\Lambda(y - p_T) = \sigma_\Lambda(y - p_T)/\sigma_{inel}$$

σ_{inel} is the cross section for minimum bias inelastic C+A interactions(model).

The cross sections for inelastic C+Al, C+Cu, C+Pb interactions calculated by the formula (DCM-QGSM): $\sigma_{inel} = \pi R_0^2 (A_P^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3})^2$

R_0 = 1.2 fm is an effective nucleon radius, A_P and A_T are atomic numbers of the beam and target nucleus [1]. The **uncertainties** for C+Al, C+Cu, C+Pb inelastic cross sections are estimated by formula: $\sigma_{inel} = \pi R_0^2 (A_P^{1/3} + A_T^{1/3} - b)^2$ with R_0 = 1.46 fm and b = 1.21 [2].

Table 4. Inelastic cross sections σ_{inel} for carbon-nucleus interactions

Interaction	C+C	C+Al	C+Cu	C+Pb
Inelastic cross section, mb	830±50	1260±50	1790±50	3075±50

[1] Kalliopi Kanaki "Study of Λ hyperon production in C+C collisions at 2 AGeV beam energy with the HADES spectrometer".
[2] H.Angelov et al., P1-80-473, JINR, Dubna.

Invariant p_T spectra of Λ hyperons vs models predictions(Preliminary)



The measured spectra of the Λ yields in p_T are parameterized by the formula:

$$1/p_T\, d^2N/dp_T dy = N \cdot \exp(-(m_T - m_\Lambda)/T_0)$$

The transverse mass $m_T = \sqrt{m_\Lambda^2 + p_T^2}$,

The N normalization,

The inverse slope parameter T_0 are free parameters of the fit;