# Photon conversion identification with machine learning approach

Pavel Gordeev

# **Motivation**

• Particle identification is important in almost any high-energy physics analysis, but in some measurements such identification becomes crucial

• Such analyses are the measurement of direct photon spectra and correlations, where the signal is comparable with possible contamination

● In this presentation, we will discuss improvements in particle identification in MPD detector that can be achieved by applying machine learning approach for particle identification in MPD tracking system

# Boosted Decision Trees (BDT)

- BDT are widely used in HEP
- The training starts with the **root node**, where an initial splitting criterion for the full training sample is determined
- At each node, the split is determined by finding the variable and corresponding cut value **that provides the best separation** between signal and background
- The leaf nodes are classified as signal or background according to the class the majority of events belongs to



# Training sample

Variables for training

- **N\_clu** number of TPC clusters
- $x^2$  obtained from Kalman filter
- **n** 1-2 difference of pseudorapidity of tracks
- **DCA** Distance of Closest Approach to PV for tracks
- **DCA daug** DCA between positively and negatively charged tracks
- **CPA** Cosine of Pointing Angle
- **R** conversion radius, distance from PV to SV
- **n\_dE/dx** PID of tracks based on specific loss in TPC, number of σ from electron/positron line
- **M\_inv** invariant mass of track pair
- **•** Armenteros-Podolanski variables **q** T and  $\alpha$
- **|cosѰ|** cosine of angle between pair plane and magnetic field (for Dalitz decays reduction)



UrQMD, Bi-Bi, √s\_NN=9.2 GeV Event selection

- |V\_z|<100 cm
- 0%<centrality<90% Preselections while tree writing:
- M\_inv<2 GeV/c^2
- q\_T<1 GeV/c
- $x^2$   $2 < 30$
- DCA\_daug<10 cm
- DCA 1<30 cm
- DCA 2<30 cm
- p\_T,1<15 GeV/c
- $p_T$ ,2<15 GeV/c 4







#### **Correlation Matrix (signal)**



8

# Training result

- For training: S=15'000 and B=15'000
- For testing: S=15'000 and B=38'000'000
- $\bullet$  In the data sample we have  $\sim$ 2500 background to 1 real conversion photon
- The results of the training are: weight file, BDT response plot and optimal selection, variable ranking





#### Cut based method and BDT comparison

● Cut efficiencies and purities were calculated for Cut based method (with default values) and BDT method



#### Cut based method and BDT comparison

• Reconstruction efficiencies were also calculated for y and pi0



# p\_T - differential training

- Reconstruction efficiency for photons rapidly decreases from  $pT = 0.7$  GeV/c
- p\_T differential training should solve this issue
- Selected p T intervals for training:
- $0.0 0.3$
- $0.3 0.6$
- $0.6 0.9$
- $0.9 1.2$
- $1.2 1.5$
- 1.5-2.0





12

#### Cut based method and BDT comparison

● Efficiency and purity have steps-like structure (need to be fixed)



#### Cut based method and BDT comparison

• Reconstruction efficiency increased for high p\_T with differential training



# **Conclusion**

- Performance of BDT method is better than Cut based method, however default selections were not fully optimized
- p\_T differential approach shows better reconstruction efficiency for higher p\_T, but steps-like structure should be fixed
- BDT also have parameters that can be optimized (N\_trees, etc.)

#### **Correlation Matrix (background)**











Testing efficiency compared to training efficiency (overtraining check)



# p\_T - diff



# 0.00-0.30



#### 0.30-0.60



## 0.60-0.90



## 0.90-1.20



#### 1.20-1.50



#### 1.50-2.00



#### $>2.00$

