



Neural Networks and Numerical Integration of Multiple Integrals

Gregory A. Shipunov¹ supervisors: Oksana I. Streltsova,^{1, 2} Yury L. Kalinovsky^{1, 2}

 $^1 \text{Dubna}$ State University, 19 Universitetskaya St, Dubna, 141980, Russian Federation $^2 \text{Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 6 Joliot-Curie St, Dubna, 141980, Russian Federation$



The Spring School of Information Technologies of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research 2025



1/24



2 Results

3 Benefits of using neural network approach

4 Future plans

Problem Statement

2 Results

3 Benefits of using neural network approach

4 Future plans

< □ > < ⑦ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > < ≧ > ○ Q (?) 3/24 This work is dedicated to developing the software for numerical calculations in domain of modelling of physical processes for NICA collider. Numerical modeling of physical processes involves studying of the particle properties based on Bethe-Salpeter equation:

$$\Gamma(q,P) = -\frac{4}{3} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} D(q-p) \gamma_{\alpha} S_1 \Gamma(q,P) S_2 \gamma_{\alpha}. \tag{1}$$

The vertex function $\Gamma(p, P)$ depends on the relative momentum p, and the total momentum of the bound state P. $S_i(p)$ are the dressed quark propagator in the Euclidean space:

$$S_i(p_i) = \frac{1}{i(p_i \cdot \gamma) + m_i}$$
⁽²⁾

The momenta $p_i = p + q_i$, $q_i = b_i P$, i = 1, 2 with $b_1 = -m_1/(m_1 + m_2)$, $b_2 = m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$, m_i are the constituent quark masses.

Equation (1) contains the interaction kernel D(q - p) which describes the effective gluon interaction within a meson. We consider the rank -1 separable model

$$D(q - p) = D_0 F(q^2) F(p^2), \qquad (3)$$

where D_0 is the coupling constant and the function $F(p^2)$ is related to scalar part of Bethe - Salpeter vertex function. We employ $F(q^2)$ in the Gaussian form $F(p^2) = e^{-p^2/\lambda^2}$ with the parameter λ which characterizes the finite size of the meson. This separable Anzatz of the interaction kernel (3) allows to write the meson observables in the term of the polarization operator (the buble diagram):

$$\bullet \qquad \bullet + \bullet \qquad \bullet \bullet \qquad \bullet + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - \bullet \qquad \bullet}, \tag{4}$$

where

•
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{2\pi^{4}} F(p^{2}) \frac{1}{[(p+q_{1})^{2}+m_{1}^{2}][(p+q_{2})^{2}+m_{2}^{2}]}.$$
 (5)

To calculate these integrals we use the equations:

$$\frac{1}{(p+q_i)^2+m_i^2} = \int_0^\infty dt \{\exp(-t[(p+q_i)^2+m_i^2])\},\tag{6}$$

$$F(p^{2}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} ds \{ \exp(-sp^{2})F(s) \}.$$
 (7)

The case of more than two mesons is described using triple and quadruple integrals.

This way the following integral equation is produced:

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \{\alpha^{a}(1-\alpha)^{b}\} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \{\frac{t^{m}}{(1+t)^{n}} F[z_{0}]\} \equiv I(a, b, m, n; F[z_{0}]),$$
(8)

$$F[z_{0}] = \exp(-2z_{0}),$$

$$z_{0} = tD + \frac{t}{1+t}R^{2},$$

$$D = \alpha_{1}(b_{1}^{2}P^{2} + m_{1}^{2}) + \alpha_{2}(b_{2}^{2}P^{2} + m_{2}^{2}),$$

$$R^{2} = (\alpha_{1}^{2}b_{1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}^{2}b_{2}^{2} + 2\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}b_{1}b_{2})P^{2},$$

$$b_{1} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{1} + m_{2}}, b_{2} = \frac{m_{2}}{m_{1} + m_{2}},$$

$$\alpha_{1} = \alpha, \alpha_{2} = 1 - \alpha.$$

This integral is used in multiple calculations in the problem and its value describes the form of the mesons. The calculations require multiple (50 times and more) integrations of (8).

Let a continuous real function $f(\mathbf{x})$ be defined as $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Let Ω be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let G be a bounded convex subset of Ω . Let, also, \mathbf{x} be a vector of n dimensions in Ω . Than

$$I(f) = \int_{G} d\mathbf{x} f(\mathbf{x}), \tag{9}$$

is a definite integral of f across set G.

Therefore, the problem is to get the I(f) value calculated with use of neural network approach. The neural network model will be used to approximate the function $f(\mathbf{x})^1$. Than this model will be used to calculate the approximate integral value:

$$\hat{I}(f) = \int_{G} d\mathbf{x} \hat{f}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (10)$$



Figure 1: The MLP structure used in the neural network approach

The MLP structure will have logistic sigmoid as activation function on the hidden layer:

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}.$$
(11)

With $\phi(z)$ defined, network structure's mathematical form is:

$$\hat{f}(x) = b^{(2)} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j^{(2)} \phi(b_j^{(1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i).$$
(12)

We can apply following substitution² here:

$$-Li_0(-\exp(z)) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} = \phi(z), \tag{13}$$

where $Li_0(u(z))$ is a Jonquière's function or the polylogarithm of order 0.

Let number of dimensions n = 1. With substitution (13) equation (12) can be integrated over given boundaries $[\alpha, \beta]$ to produce the following numerical integration formulae for 1-dimensional case³:

$$\hat{l}(f) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} dx \left(b^{(2)} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j}^{(2)} \phi(b_{j}^{(1)} + w_{1j}^{(1)} x) \right) =$$
$$= b^{(2)}(\beta - \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{j}^{(2)} \left((\beta - \alpha) + \frac{\Phi_{j}}{w_{1j}^{(1)}} \right),$$
(14)

$$\Phi_j = Li_1(-\exp[-b_j^{(1)} - w_{1j}^{(1)}\alpha]) - Li_1(-\exp[-b_j^{(1)} - w_{1j}^{(1)}\beta]).$$
(15)

Formulae (14-15) can be extrapolated to higher dimensions. Thus we have got the numerical integration method.

Problem Statement

2 Results

3 Benefits of using neural network approach

4 Future plans

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The neural network model dedicated to approximate the $f(\mathbf{x})$ function was implemented using *Python* programming language and its *PyTorch* library and had following setting:

- $\bullet\,$ Size of input layer n was equal to the number of function's dimensions.
- Size of hidden layer was $\mathbf{k} = 25$.

The training process took approximately **15 seconds** fo 1-dimensional functions and **30 seconds**⁴ for 2-dimensional functions. The training was conducted under the following parameters:

- Optimizer Adam was used.
- Training was performed over 5000 epochs.
- Dataset size was **40000 points**. 0.9 of the size was used for training and 0.1 for testing of the model.

The dataset structure was the **uniform grid** and the **standard uniform distribution** within given boundaries.

Results 1: Genz Package



Figure 2: The Mean absolute error metrics for comparison of neural network numerical integration values and quad numerical integration values (Product Peak and Discontinuous bars are clipped for scale but their values are presented)

Figure 4 depicts the mean absolute errors of integration of Alan Genz package⁵ 1D and 2D functions compared to results calculated using *scipy.integrate.quad* fuction of Python programming language.

Results 2: Hidden layer size



Figure 3: The Mean absolute error metrics relative to the size of hidden layer (k) = $[10, 100] \circ 0^{-1}$

15 / 24

Results 3: Distribution type



Figure 4: The Mean absolute error metrics relative to the type of dataset distribution (UG - Uniform Grid and SUD - Standard Uniform Distribution) with different dataset sizes \neg [100, $\neg \land \land \land$ 40000]

Results 4: Equation (8)



Figure 5: The absolute errors between numerical integral values calculated using neural network approach and FORTRAN function qdag

Figure 5 depicts the absolute errors of integration of equation (8) compared to results calculated previously using *qdag* function of FORTRAN programming language.

Problem Statement

2 Results

3 Benefits of using neural network approach

4 Future plans

- The integration of similar function across different boundaries time efficiency.
- The integration of functions of high-dimensions.

Problem Statement

2 Results

3 Benefits of using neural network approach



<ロト < 部 ト < 言 ト < 言 ト こ の Q (C) 20/24

- Improve accuracy of integration.
- Implement higher dimensions numerical integration functionality.
- Consider other ways to use neural networks for numerical integration.

Thank You for Your Attention!

Apendix A: Genz's testing functions families 1

Oscillatory (1)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \cos(2\pi u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i).$$

Product Peak (2)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (c_i^{-2} + (x_i - u_i)^2)^{-1}.$$

Corner Peak (3)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i)^{-(n+1)}.$$

Gaussian (4)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 (x_i - u_i)^2).$$

Continuous (5)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n c_i |x_i - u_i|).$$

Discontinuous (6)

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if any of } x_i > u_i, \\ \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i) & \text{alternatively}. \end{cases} \quad \text{alternatively}. \end{cases}$$

The *n* value is the number of dimensions of the integrand. This functions contain n-vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{c} in their definition.

• u_i is a random value in [0, 1].

3
$$\mathbf{c} = \left(\frac{h}{n^{j}\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}^{j}}\right)\mathbf{c}^{\prime}, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$$
 is and n-vector, whith c_{i}^{\prime} is a random value in [0, 1].

The *h* and *j* are **difficulty** parameters. In the case of this study the last parameter was j = 1 and *h* parameter is equal to (100, 150, 600, 150, 100, 16) accordingly for each of the function families.