


Highlights and Prospects of the COMPASS, AMBER and LHCspin Experiments at CERN: Spin and TMD Structure of Hadrons



Bakur Parsamyan




March 12, 2025
JINR Dubna, Russia




Nucleon spin structure: TMD

- 1964 Quark model   
- 1969 Parton model 
- 1973 asymptotic freedom and QCD     
- 1976 large transverse single spin asymmetry in forward $\pi^\pm$ production



Nucleon spin structure: TMD


- 1964 Quark model






- 1969 Parton model



- 1973 asymptotic freedom and QCD







- 1976 large transverse single spin asymmetry in forward $\pi^\pm$ production



- TMD Sivers and Collins or
- Twist-3 Sivers (Efremov-Teryaev-Qui-Sterman (ETQS) function)

Nucleon spin structure: TMD





- 1964 Quark model   
- 1969 Parton model 
- 1973 asymptotic freedom and QCD     
- 1976 large transverse single spin asymmetry in forward $\pi^\pm$ production
- 1978 intrinsic transverse motion of quarks and azimuthal asymmetries 



(SLAC) Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 786 (1973)
 (EMC) Phys. Lett. B 130 (1983) 118,
 (EMC) Z. Phys. C34 (1987) 277
 (EMC) Z. Phys. C52, 361 (1991).
 (E665) Phys. Rev. D48 (1993) 5057
 (ZEUS) Eur. Phys. J. C11, 251 (1999)
 (ZEUS) Phys. Lett. B 481, 199 (2000)
 (H1) Phys. Lett. B654, 148 (2007)

Nucleon spin structure: TMD

- 1964 Quark model 
- 1969 Parton model 
- 1973 asymptotic freedom and QCD 
- 1976 large transverse single spin asymmetry in forward $\pi^\pm$ production
- 1978 intrinsic transverse motion of quarks and azimuthal asymmetries 




Nucleon spin structure (twist-2): collinear approach ↔ TMDs

		quark		
		U	L	T
nucleon	U	$f_1^q(x)$ number density		
	L		$g_1^q(x)$ helicity	
	T			$h_1^q(x)$ transversity







↔

		quark		
		U	L	T
nucleon	U	$f_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ number density		$h_1^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders T-odd chiral-odd
	L		$g_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ worm-gear L chiral-odd
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ Sivers T-odd	$g_{1T}^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T	$h_1^q(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ transversity $h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, \mathbf{k}_T^2)$ pretzelosity chiral-odd

- PDFs – universal (process independent) objects; **T-odd PDFs – conditionally universal**




Nucleon spin structure (twist-2): TMDs

	quark		
	U	L	T
U	 number density		 Boer-Mulders
L		 helicity	 worm-gear L
T	 Sivers	 Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T	 transversity pretzelosity

 - spin of the nucleon;
  - spin of the quark
  - k_T

	quark		
	U	L	T
U	$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ number density		$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders T-odd chiral-odd
L		$g_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ worm-gear L chiral-odd
T	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Sivers T-odd	$g_{1T}^q(x, k_T^2)$ Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T	$h_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ transversity $h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ pretzelosity chiral-odd





SIDIS x-section and TMDs at twist-2

$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L})$$

$$\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & 1 + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \cos 2\phi_h \\ & + S_L \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} \sin 2\phi_h + S_L \lambda \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} A_{LL} \\ & + S_T \left[\begin{aligned} & A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h-\phi_s) \\ & + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h+\phi_s) \\ & + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s) \end{aligned} \right] \\ & + S_T \lambda \left[\sqrt{(1-\varepsilon^2)} A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \cos(\phi_h-\phi_s) \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

	quark		
	U	L	T
nucleon	U	$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ number density	$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders T-odd
	L		$g_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ Helicity $h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ worm-gear L
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Sivers T-odd	$g_{1T}^q(x, k_T^2)$ Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T $h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ transversity pretzelosity

$A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \propto \underline{h_1^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{H_{1q}^{\perp h}}$	Boer-Mulders (T-odd)
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \propto \underline{f_{1T}^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{D_{1q}^h}$	Sivers (T-odd)
$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_s)} \propto \underline{h_1^q} \otimes \underline{H_{1q}^{\perp h}}$	Transversity
$A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s)} \propto \underline{h_{1T}^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{H_{1q}^{\perp h}}$	Pretzelosity



$$\otimes \equiv \mathbb{C}[wf D] = x \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2 k_T d^2 p_{\perp} \delta^{(2)}(z k_T + p_{\perp} - P_h) w(k_T, p_{\perp}) f^q(x, k_T^2) D_q^h(x, p_{\perp}^2)$$

Single-polarized Drell-Yan x-section and twist-2 TMDs

$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto F_U^1 (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$$

$$\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & 1 + D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \\ & + S_L \sin^2 \theta_{CS} A_L^{\sin 2\varphi_{CS}} \sin 2\varphi_{CS} \\ & + S_T \left[A_T^{\sin \varphi_S} \sin \varphi_S \right. \\ & \quad \left. + D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} \left(A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$




where $D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} = \sin^2 \theta_{CS} / (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$

		quark		
		U	L	T
nucleon	U	$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ number density		$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders T-odd
	L		$g_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ worm-gear L
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Sivers T-odd	$g_{1T}^q(x, k_T^2)$ Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T	$h_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ transversity $h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ pretzelosity

$$\begin{aligned} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} &\propto \underline{h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{h_{1,p}^{\perp q}} && \text{Boer-Mulders (T-odd)} \\ A_T^{\sin \varphi_S} &\propto \underline{f_{1,\pi}^q} \otimes \underline{f_{1T,p}^q} && \text{Sivers (T-odd)} \\ A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} &\propto \underline{h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{h_{1,p}^q} && \text{Transversity} \\ A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} &\propto \underline{h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q}} \otimes \underline{h_{1T,p}^q} && \text{Pretzelosity} \end{aligned}$$


SIDIS $\leftrightarrow$ Drell-Yan sign-change of the T-odd TMD PDFs

Fundamental quest: COMPASS, STAR, SpinQuest, LHCspin, etc.




Polarized SIDIS and Drell-Yan: universality

Semi-inclusive DIS




T-odd TMD PDFs
↔
sign change

Drell-Yan process




Polarized SIDIS and DY – factorization and kinematic regions

Semi-inclusive DIS




Drell-Yan process




T-odd TMD PDFs
 ←→
 sign change

High q_T – Collinear factorization
 Low q_T – TMD factorization



Main TMD tools – universality and synergies




Cleanest access to hadronization/fragmentation


Hybrid collinear-TMD approach. The wealth of pp data allows studies of:
TMD universality, evolution, expected factorization breaking

Main TMD tools – list of experiments (non exhaustive)


Semi-inclusive DIS




Drell-Yan process



Electron-positron annihilation




pp, pA-scattering, jet production, etc.




Main TMD tools – list of experiments (non exhaustive)


Semi-inclusive DIS




Drell-Yan process




Electron-positron annihilation



pp, pA-scattering, jet production, etc.




COMPASS-AMBER-LHCspin FTs at CERN




COMPASS timeline

- CERN SPS north area – M2 beamline
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997
- Taking data since 2002 (**20 years**)
- The Analysis Phase started in 2023


33 institutions from **15** countries: ~ 200 members



COMPASS proposal




4 new groups joined COMPASS in 2023-2024
UConn (US), AANL (Armenia), NCU (Taiwan), Bochum (Germany)




COMPASS timeline

- CERN SPS north area – M2 beamline
- Fixed target experiment
- Approved in 1997
- Taking data since 2002 (**20 years**)
- The Analysis Phase started in 2023


33 institutions from **15** countries: ~ 200 members



COMPASS proposal



Many studies that can be done at future experiments can be studied at COMPASS
Key problems are in common: rad. corrections, MC generator tunings, the role of exclusive diffractive VMs, analysis methods, etc.







COMPASS Physics Program

This talk


Nucleon structure

- Hard scattering of $\mu^\pm$ and π^- off (un)polarized P/D targets
- Inclusive and Semi-Inclusive DIS
- Drell-Yan and J/ψ production
- Study of nucleon spin structure
 - Longitudinal and Transverse
- Collinear and TMD pictures
- Parton distribution functions and fragmentation functions
- **Last COMPASS measurement: 2022 run – transverse SIDIS**




COMPASS-AMBER timeline

- CERN SPS north area – M2 beamline
 - Fixed target experiment
 - Approved in 1997
 - Taking data since 2002 (**20 years**)
 - The Analysis Phase started in 2023
- 33** institutions from **15** countries: ~ 200 members



COMPASS proposal




AMBER timeline

- CERN SPS north area – M2 beamline
- Successor of COMPASS
- Approved in 2019
- Taking data since 2023
- Phase-I is planned to continue after LS3

36 institutions from 14 countries: ~ 150 members








COMPASS++/AMBER
proposal
[CERN-SPSC-2019-022](#)



- Phase II proposal draft
- Kaon-induced Drell-Yan and J/ψ production
 - Kaon-induced Spectroscopy
 - Kaon polarizability (Primakoff)
 - Meson radii measurements
 - Prompt photon production
 - **New ideas are welcome!**

AMBER measurements 2023-2024: Drell-Yan

- $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$ induced dimuon production: Drell-Yan, J/ψ (and ψ')**
- Study of pion and kaon PDFs
 - Crucial input for the study of the Emergent Hadron Mass (EHM)
 - Possibility to collect unique balanced π^+/π^- induced DY data
 - Measurement of λ, μ and ν (DY, J/ψ)
 - J/ψ production mechanisms ($q\bar{q}, gg$)
 - Carbon and tungsten targets
 - Improved vertex/mass resolution
 - Updated setup, new TL DAQ





COMPASS experimental setup

Common Muon Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy

CERN SPS North Area (building 888)

Two-stage spectrometer LAS+SAS

- Large Angle Spectrometer (SM1 magnet)
- Small Angle Spectrometer (SM2 magnet)




Large-acceptance forward spectrometer

- Precise tracking (350 planes)
SciFi, Silicon, MicroMegas, GEM, MWPC, DC, Straw, Muon walls
- PID - CEDARs, RICH, calorimeters, MWs

Various targets:

- Polarized solid-state NH₃ or ⁶LiD
- Liquid H₂
- Solid-state nuclear targets (e.g. Ni, W, Pb)

- Primary beam - 400 GeV p from SPS
 - impinging on Be production target (T6)
- 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
 - h^- beam: 97% π^- , 2% K^- , 1% p
 - h^+ beam: 75% π^+ , 24% p , 1% K^+
- 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
 - $\mu^\pm$ longitudinally polarized



COMPASS experimental setup: Phase II (SIDIS program)




Common Muon Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy

CERN SPS North Area (building 888)


Two-stage spectrometer LAS+SAS

- Large Angle Spectrometer (SM1 magnet)
- Small Angle Spectrometer (SM2 magnet)



- Polarized solid-state NH_3 or ${}^6\text{LiD}$
- Two or three oppositely polarized cells
- Longitudinal and transverse polarization

- Primary beam - 400 GeV p from SPS
 - impinging on Be production target (T6)
- 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
 - h^- beam: 97% π^- , 2% K^- , 1% p
 - h^+ beam: 75% π^+ , 24% p , 1% K^+
- 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
 - μ^+ longitudinally polarized





AMBER Phase I: $\bar{p}$ cross-section, 2023 setup

Apparatus for Meson and Baryon Experimental Research


CERN SPS North Area (building 888)

Two-stage spectrometer LAS+SAS



- Large Angle Spectrometer (SM1 magnet)
- Small Angle Spectrometer (SM2 magnet)



- h^+ beam: 60, 80, 100, 160, 190 and 250 GeV/c;
Intensity: $25 \cdot 10^3$ h/s
- Beam PID: 2 CEDAR detectors
- Target: He (2023), H/D (2024)
- Data-taking ~ 2 months/year
- Dedicated trigger and beam-killer systems



vertex distribution





COMPASS experimental setup: Phase II (DY program)

Common Muon Proton Apparatus for Structure and Spectroscopy


CERN SPS North Area (building 888)

Two-stage spectrometer LAS+SAS


- Large Angle Spectrometer (SM1 magnet)
- Small Angle Spectrometer (SM2 magnet)



Hadron absorber



- Primary beam - 400 GeV p from SPS
 - impinging on Be production target (T6)
- 190 GeV secondary hadron beams
 - h^- beam: 97% π^- , 2% K^- , 1% p
 - h^+ beam: 75% π^+ , 24% p , 1% K^+
- 160 GeV tertiary muon beams
 - $\mu^\pm$ longitudinally polarized




AMBER Phase I-II: DY program setup

Apparatus for Meson and Baryon Experimental Research


CERN SPS North Area (building 888)

Two-stage spectrometer LAS+SAS

- Large Angle Spectrometer (SM1 magnet)
- Small Angle Spectrometer (SM2 magnet)




- Secondary $h^\pm$ beam: $(\pi^\pm, K^\pm, p/\bar{p})$
- Improved beam PID (CEDARs)
 - enabling kaon physics
- Isoscalar target (C 3x25 cm) and W
- Vertex detector: improve Z and $M_{\mu\mu}$ resolution
- New trigger-less DAQ,
- Revised setup, new detectors



LHCspin experiment


JINST 3 (2008) S08005
IJMPA 30 (2015) 1530022

0.45 - 7 TeV



2.76 TeV

pp: $\sqrt{s} \approx 29 - 115$ GeV
Ap: $\sqrt{s} \approx 72$ GeV





pp, Ap collisions
forward spectrometer

Forward acceptance: $2 < \eta < 5$
Tracking system momentum resolution
 $\Delta p/p = 0.5\% - 1.0\%$ (5 GeV/c - 100 GeV/c)

New collaborators are Welcome!

Timeline



LHC spin R&D
As a group independent
from LHCb collaboration

LHC spin


LHC Run4
data taking at the IR4

LHCspin Run5+6
data taking at LHCb


2024 2025 2026 2027 2030 2033 2035

SMOG2



LHC LS3
installation of the
apparatus at the IR4




LHC LS4
installing the
target at LHCb





SMOG2 (unpolarized data)



5 mm radius x 200 mm length



Timeline




LHCspin R&D
As a group independent from LHCb collaboration



LHC Run4 data taking at the IR4

LHCspin Run5+6 data taking at LHCb


LHC Run4 data taking at the IR4






SMOG2 (unpolarized data) gas target: H₂, D₂, N₂, O₂, He, Ne, Ar, Kr, Xe



Negligible impact on the beam lifetime ($\tau_{beam-gas}^{p-H_2} \sim 2000$ days, $\tau_{beam-gas}^{Pb-Ar} \sim 500$ h)




SMOG2 (unpolarized data)





Negligible impact on the beam lifetime

Timeline





Towards polarized measurements at LHCb: IR4



Install a compact “LHCb independent” apparatus in IR4
purpose: R&D to have a “plug & play” PGT for Run5
perform unique first ever physics measurements
perform service measurements related to LHC

Timeline



LHCspin R&D
As a group independent from LHCb collaboration


LHCspin

LHC Run4
data taking at the IR4


LHCspin Run5+6
data taking at LHCb

SMOG2

LHC LS3
installation of the
apparatus at the IR4




LHC LS4
installing the
target at LHCb




LHCspin experiment

[arXiv:1807.00603]




of course, the Sivers effect / sign-change

Channel	Events / week	Total yield
$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	1.3×10^7 !!	1.5×10^9
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	6.5×10^7	7.8×10^9
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	2.3×10^5	2.8×10^7
$J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ (DPS)	8.5	1.0×10^3
$J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ (SPS)	2.5×10^1	3.1×10^3
Drell Yan ($5 < M_{\mu\mu} < 9 \text{ GeV}$)	7.4×10^3	8.8×10^5
$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	5.6×10^3	6.7×10^5
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$	1.3×10^6	1.5×10^8




Timeline




LHCspin experiment

[arXiv:1807.00603]







Channel	Events / week	Total yield
$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	1.3×10^7 !!	1.5×10^9
$D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$	6.5×10^7	7.8×10^9
$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	2.3×10^5	2.8×10^7
$J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ (DPS)	8.5	1.0×10^3
$J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ (SPS)	2.5×10^1	3.1×10^3
Drell Yan ($5 < M_{\mu\mu} < 9$ GeV)	7.4×10^3	8.8×10^5
$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	5.6×10^3	6.7×10^5
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$	1.3×10^6	1.5×10^8

- Precise spin asymmetry on $J/\Psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+$ for $pH^\uparrow$ collisions in just few weeks
- Inclusive quarkonia production in (un)polarized pp interactions - ideal observable to access gTMDs
- Flavor separation using H/D, EMC effect
- Spin physics in heavy-ion collisions and a lot more!




[arXiv:1807.00603]







Drell-Yan





SIDIS

Single-polarized Drell-Yan cross-section at twist-2 (LO)



$$\frac{d\sigma^{LO}}{dq^4 d\Omega} \propto F_U^1 (1 + \cos^2 \theta_{CS})$$

$$\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} &1 + D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} \cos 2\varphi_{CS} \\ &+ S_L \sin^2 \theta_{CS} A_L^{\sin 2\varphi_{CS}} \sin 2\varphi_{CS} \\ &+ S_T \left[A_T^{\sin \varphi_S} \sin \varphi_S + D_{[\sin^2 \theta_{CS}]} \left(A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S) + A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} \sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$




$$\begin{aligned} A_U^{\cos 2\varphi_{CS}} &\propto h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q} \otimes h_{1,p}^{\perp q} && \text{Boer-Mulders (T-odd)} \\ A_T^{\sin \varphi_S} &\propto f_{1,\pi}^q \otimes f_{1T,p}^{\perp q} && \text{Sivers (T-odd)} \\ A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} - \varphi_S)} &\propto h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q} \otimes h_{1,p}^q && \text{Transversity} \\ A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS} + \varphi_S)} &\propto h_{1,\pi}^{\perp q} \otimes h_{1T,p}^{\perp q} && \text{Pretzelosity} \end{aligned}$$

SIDIS $\leftrightarrow$ Drell-Yan sign-change of the T-odd TMD PDFs



COMPASS phase-II proposal submitted in 2010 (Drell-Yan, DVCS,...)

Predictions for a large Sivers effect in Drell-Yan and J/ ψ at COMPASS $\rightarrow$ sign change test

Sivers effect: Drell-Yan and J/ψ



- The Drell-Yan Sivers asymmetry tends to be positive (~ 1.5 s.d.)





Sivers SIDIS TSA



$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \propto f_{1T}^{\perp q} \otimes D_{1q}^h$$

- COMPASS proton Sivers measurements
- Clear signal in the matching Q^2 ranges


Sivers effect: Drell-Yan and J/ψ




• The Drell-Yan Sivers asymmetry tends to be positive (~1.5 s.d.)




- J/ψ Sivers asymmetry is compatible with zero (within ~ 1%)
- Predictions for a large Sivers effect in Drell-Yan and J/ψ at COMPASS
- Hint that J/ψ production might go via gg fusion in COMPASS?
- Access to small gluon TMDs?




Transversity TSA: Drell-Yan and J/ψ




Transversity DY TSA





- The Drell-Yan Transversity asymmetry is negative (~ 2 s.d.)




- J/ψ Sivers asymmetry is compatible with zero (within $\sim 1\%$)
- Predictions for a large Sivers effect in Drell-Yan and J/ψ at COMPASS
- J/ψ Transversity TSA is also compatible with zero
- Hint that J/ψ production might go via gg fusion in COMPASS?
- Access to small gluon TMDs?





3D unpolarized Drell-Yan cross section on NH_3 and W



- First new results in 30 years!
- Data from light/heavy targets
 - NH_3 -He, Al, W
 - Nuclear dependence
- 1D/2D/3D representations
 $x_F:q_T:M$
- Unique data to access collinear and TMD distributions
e.g. pion TMD PDF




3D unpolarized Drell-Yan cross section on NH_3 and W



MAP collaboration
Phys. Rev. D. 107, 014014




recent global fit and projections for COMPASS

- **First new results in 30 years!**
- Data from light/heavy targets
 - $\text{NH}_3\text{-He}$, Al, W
 - Nuclear dependence
- 1D/2D/3D representations
 $x_F:q_T:M$
- **Unique data to access collinear and TMD distributions**
e.g. pion TMD PDF
- **To be included in future global fits (MAP, JAM, etc.)**




AMBER – $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$ induced dimuon production on C/W

- Unique complementary measurements: $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$
 - Cross-sections, pion and kaon PDFs
 - Data for both collinear and TMD PDF studies
 - Drell-Yan, J/ψ and potentially ψ' channels
 - Study of nuclear effects with C and W



$$\Sigma_{val} = \sigma^{\pi^- C} - \sigma^{\pi^+ C}: \text{only valence-valence}$$



$$\Sigma_{sea} = 4\sigma^{\pi^+ C} - \sigma^{\pi^- C}: \text{no valence-valence}$$



AMBER	75 cm C	190	π^+	1200000
			π^-	1800000
			p	1500000
	12 cm W	190	π^+	500000
			π^-	700000
			p	700000




Experiment	Target type	Beam energy (GeV)	Beam type	Beam intensity (part/sec)	DY mass (GeV/c ²)	DY events
E615	20 cm W	252	π^+	17.6×10^7	4.05 – 8.55	5000
			π^-	18.6×10^7		30000
NA3	30 cm H ₂	200	π^+	2.0×10^7	4.1 – 8.5	40
			π^-	3.0×10^7		121
	6 cm Pt	200	π^+	2.0×10^7	4.2 – 8.5	1767
			π^-	3.0×10^7		4961
NA10	120 cm D ₂	286	π^-	65×10^7	4.2 – 8.5	7800
		140			4.35 – 8.5	3200
	12 cm W	286	π^-	65×10^7	4.2 – 8.5	49600
		194			4.07 – 8.5	155000
COMPASS 2015 COMPASS 2018	110 cm NH ₃	190	π^-	7.0×10^7	4.3 – 8.5	35000
					4.0 – 8.5	52000
AMBER	75 cm C	190	π^+	1.7×10^7	4.3 – 8.5	21700
		190	π^-	6.8×10^7	4.0 – 8.5	31000
		190	π^-	6.8×10^7	4.0 – 8.5	67000
	12 cm W	190	π^+	0.4×10^7	4.3 – 8.5	8300
		190	π^-	1.6×10^7	4.0 – 8.5	11700
		190	π^-	1.6×10^7	4.3 – 8.5	24100
					4.0 – 8.5	32100

AMBER – $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$ induced dimuon production on C/W




Compared to COMPASS




- Light isoscalar target (carbon) instead of $\text{NH}_3\text{-He}$ mix
- Improved mass resolution ($\sim 100 \text{ MeV}/c^2$)
 - Lower background $\rightarrow$ enlarge DY mass range
 - J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ studies
- Wider beam choice: $\pi^\pm, K^\pm, p/\bar{p}$, CEDARs (PID)
- Unique complementary measurements: $\pi^\pm, K^\pm$
- Higher beam intensity (RP upgrades)
- Revised spectrometer, Triggerless DAQ



LHC – SMOG2 early data – fantastic precision



A wealth of unique data collected in 2024



LHCb-FIGURE2024-023

- Recent SIDIS highlights from COMPASS






Hadron multiplicities; $h^\pm$, $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ (2016 data)

collinear

A set of complex corrections:

- Acceptance, rad. corrections, PID, diffractive VMs, etc.



New radiative corrections (DJANGO)



[hep-ex/2410.12005](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/2410.12005) soon in PRD

Hadron multiplicities; $h^\pm$, $\pi^\pm$ and $K^\pm$ (2016 data)

collinear


A set of complex corrections:

- Acceptance, rad. corrections, PID, diffractive VMs, etc.



New radiative corrections (DJANGO)

hep-ex/2410.12005 soon in PRD



Diffractive VM production

- In DIS γ^* interacts with a single quark
- DVMP - γ^* fluctuates into a VM
 - VM then interacts diffractively with the nucleon through multiple gluon exchange

- DVMP correction: two MC samples are used

SIDIS

- LEPTO 6.5 MC (diffractive contributions off)

Diffractive ρ^0 and ϕ mesons

- HEPGEN generator

Diffractive events enhance at low x and Q^2

- Pions from ρ^0 decay (at high z)

For pions maximum correction can reach even 50%

- Kaons from ϕ decay ($0.4 < z < 0.6$)

For kaons maximum correction $\sim 24\%$

for ($z \approx 0.6$ and $Q^2 \approx 1 \text{ (GeV/c)}^2$).

Cahn effect in SIDIS: Diffractive VMs contribution

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L})$$

$$\times \underbrace{\left(1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \dots \right)}_{\text{Cahn effect}}$$



Cahn effect

$$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$$


number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:


- non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments



?



$$F_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} = \frac{2M}{Q} C \left\{ -\frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M_h} \left(xhH_{1q}^{\perp h} + \frac{M_h}{M} f_1^q \frac{\tilde{D}_q^{\perp h}}{z} \right) - \frac{\hat{h} \cdot k_T}{M} \left(xf^{\perp q} D_{1q}^h + \frac{M_h}{M} h_1^{\perp q} \frac{\tilde{H}_q^h}{z} \right) \right\}$$

Cahn effect in SIDIS: Diffractive VMs contribution

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \times (1 + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}}_{\text{Cahn effect}} \cos\phi_h + \dots)$$





Cahn effect


$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$
 number density
 

- As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:
- non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation

- As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)
- Measurements by different experiments
 - Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
 - So far, no comprehensive interpretation
 - A set of complex corrections:
 - Acceptance, diffractively produced VMs, radiative corrections (RC), etc.



VM fractions



Cahn effect in SIDIS: DVMs

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \times \left(1 + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}}_{\text{Cahn effect}} \cos\phi_h + \dots \right)$$



Cahn effect

$$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$$

number density

As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

- non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation


As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments
- Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
 - So far, no comprehensive interpretation
- A set of complex corrections:
 - Acceptance, diffractively produced VMs, radiative corrections (RC), etc.

COMPASS, EPJC (2023) 83 924

SDMEs

$\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho^0$ spin components



Kinematic dependences of SDMEs

Measured (1D), not yet implemented in HEPgen

Cahn effect in SIDIS: DVMs

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \times \left(1 + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}}_{\text{Cahn effect}} \cos\phi_h + \dots \right)$$



Cahn effect

$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$
 number density
 


As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

- non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation


“Visible” hadron pairs

- Both hadrons reconstructed
- Removed by selecting: $z_t = z_{h^+} + z_{h^-} < 0.95$

COMPASS, EPJC (2023) 83 924



VM contribution “amplitudes”



Only “average” SDMEs are implemented in HEPgen
 They seem to describe the data well

Cahn effect in SIDIS: DVMs

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \times \underbrace{\left(1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \dots \right)}_{\text{Cahn effect}}$$



Cahn effect

$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$
 number density
 

- As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:
- **non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation**


“Visible” hadron pairs

- Both hadrons reconstructed
- Removed by selecting: $z_t = z_{h^+} + z_{h^-} < 0.95$


“Invisible” hadron pairs

- Only one hadron out of two is reconstructed
- Subtraction done at the level of ϕ_h using simulated HEPGEN distribution for VMs
- HEPGEN and Lepto are normalized to the data using E_{miss} distribution, SIDIS tail is subtracted

COMPASS, EPJC (2023) 83 924



VM corrections, applied




Cahn effect in SIDIS: DVMs and RCs

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \times \left(1 + \underbrace{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h}}_{\text{Cahn effect}} \cos\phi_h + \dots \right)$$



Cahn effect





As of 1978 – simplistic kinematic effect:

- non-zero k_T induces an azimuthal modulation

As of 2023 – complex SF (twist-2/3 functions)

- Measurements by different experiments
- Complex multi-D kinematic dependences
 - So far, no comprehensive interpretation
- A set of complex corrections:
 - Acceptance, diffractively produced VMs, radiative corrections (RC), etc.
- Strong Q^2 dependence – unexplained
 - Do not seem to come from RCs
 - Transition TMD $\leftrightarrow$ collinear regions?




Azimuthal effects in unpolarized SIDIS

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} = \left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L})$$

$$\times (1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \cos 2\phi_h + \lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \dots)$$

Target spin independent part of the cross-section: three asymmetries




Cahn effect
Different for h^+ , h^-
Non-trivial Q^2 dependence

Boer-Mulders effect
Collins-like behavior
(h^+h^- - mirror symmetry)

Beam-spin asymmetry
higher-twist effect
non-zero, positive trend

Working on 3D kinematic dependences

SIDIS TSAs: Collins effect and Transversity





$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \left\{ 1 + \dots + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S) + \dots \right\}$$

$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} = C \left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M_h} h_1^q H_{1q}^{\perp h} \right]$$



- Measured on P/D in SIDIS and in dihadron SIDIS
- Compatible results HERMES/COMPASS (Q^2 is different by a factor of $\sim 2-3$)
- **New deuteron data crucial to constrain d -quark transversity**



Point-by-point extraction framework
A. Martin et al. PRD **91**, 014034 (2015)
A. Martin et al. PRD **95**, 094024 (2017)

COMPASS 2022 run – highly successful data-taking!

- 2nd COMPASS deuteron measurements conducted in 2022: unique SIDIS data for the next decades








SIDIS TSAs: Collins effect for K^0

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_S} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \left\{ 1 + \dots + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_S) + \dots \right\}$$


$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_S)} = C \left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M_h} h_1^q H_{1q}^{\perp h} \right]$$



- Measured on P/D in SIDIS and in dihadron SIDIS
- Compatible results HERMES/COMPASS (Q^2 is different by a factor of $\sim 2-3$)
- **New deuteron data crucial to constrain d -quark transversity**



Dihadron Collins effect and Transversity





$$\frac{d^7 \sigma}{d \cos \theta d M_{hh} d \phi_R d z d x d y d \phi_S} =$$

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{2\pi Q^2 y} \left((1-y + \frac{y^2}{2}) \sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_{1,q}(z, M_{hh}^2, \cos \theta) + \right.$$

$$\left. S_{\perp} (1-y) \sum_q e_q^2 \frac{|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|}{2M_{hh}} \sin \theta \sin \phi_{RS} h_1^q(x) H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}(z, M_{hh}^2, \cos \theta) \right)$$

$$A_{UT}^{\sin \phi_{RS}} = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|}{2M_{hh}} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}(z, M_{hh}^2, \cos \theta)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_{1,q}(z, M_{hh}^2, \cos \theta)}$$



COMPASS 2022 run – highly successful data-taking!

- 2nd COMPASS deuteron measurements conducted in 2022: unique SIDIS data for the next decades
- **New results – dihadron Collins-like asymmetries**
- Access to collinear transversity PDF; Non-zero trend at large x
- Precision comparable with proton results

COMPASS 2022 run: new unique deuteron data

proton [H]

hermes

95 data points

Airapetian et al.,
P.R.L. **103** (09) 152002

neutron [³He]

Jefferson Lab

6 data points

Qian et al.,
P.R.L. **107** (11) 072003

COMPASS 2009

deuteron [²HD]

88 data points

Alekseev et al.,
P.L. **B673** (09) 127

COMPASS 2017

Proton [NH₃]

111 data points

Adolph et al.,
P.L. **B770** (17) 138

Pavia group fits

Bacchetta, Delcarro, Pisano, Radici,
in preparation



analysis of statistical error
with replica method (200)
68% confidence level

Same kinematic cuts applied to unpolarized


$Q^2 \geq 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ $0.2 \leq z \leq 0.7$
 $P_{HT} < \min[0.2Q, 0.7Qz] + 0.5 \text{ GeV}$

300 data points → **118 data fitted**
14 free parameters
 $\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.} = 1.06 \pm 0.10$

$\chi^2/\text{d.o.f.}$



COMPASS 2022 deuteron run



Conclusions

- COMPASS - longest-running CERN experiment (20 years of data-taking)
- A wealth of Spectroscopy, (SI)DIS, Drell-Yan, DVCS, HEMP data collected across the years
 - Petabytes of data available for analysis
- Wide and unique kinematic domain accessing low x and large Q^2
 - Will remain unique for at least another decade
 - Highly successful SIDIS deuteron in 2022 run, promising preliminary results
- Since 2023 the experiment entered the Analysis Phase (4 new groups joined the Analysis Phase)
 - The spectrometer has been transferred to the AMBER collaboration
- AMBER took its first data in 2023-2024 (Antiproton production) and is preparing for the PRM run
- AMBER phase one comprises unique Drell-Yan measurements (after LS3)
- Long AMBER program is being developed: Phase-II proposal is being drafted
- LHCspin is a project for an FT experiment at LHCb operating with a polarized gas target
 - Successful data collection and proof of principle using SMOG2 unpolarized target
 - Important knowledge and wealth of data collected
 - R&D project in scope of small experiment in IR4 is planned to prepare the polarized target
 - LHCspin at LHCb to be operational during run 5.
- Altogether these FTs at CERN provide unique set of unprecedented measurements complementary to EIC, JLab22, NICA-SPD, etc.


SIDIS x-section and TMDs at twist-2

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} =$$

$$\left[\frac{\alpha}{xyQ^2} \frac{y^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2x} \right) \right] (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L})$$





$$\times \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[1 + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UU}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi_h} \cos 2\phi_h \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \lambda \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h \right] \\ & + S_L \left[\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UL}^{\sin\phi_h} \sin\phi_h + \varepsilon A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} \sin 2\phi_h \right] \\ & + S_L \lambda \left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} A_{LL} + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LL}^{\cos\phi_h} \cos\phi_h \right] \\ & + S_T \left[\begin{aligned} & A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h-\phi_s) \\ & + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h+\phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h+\phi_s) \\ & + \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(3\phi_h-\phi_s) \\ & + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UT}^{\sin\phi_s} \sin\phi_s \\ & + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)} A_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_h-\phi_s)} \sin(2\phi_h-\phi_s) \end{aligned} \right] \\ & + S_T \lambda \left[\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{(1-\varepsilon^2)} A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h-\phi_s)} \cos(\phi_h-\phi_s) \\ & + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LT}^{\cos\phi_s} \cos\phi_s \\ & + \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} A_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h-\phi_s)} \cos(2\phi_h-\phi_s) \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned} \right\}$$

		quark		
		U	L	T
nucleon	U	$f_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ number density		$h_1^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Boer-Mulders T-odd
	L		$g_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ worm-gear L
	T	$f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ Sivers T-odd	$g_{1T}^q(x, k_T^2)$ Kotzinian-Mulders worm-gear T	$h_1^q(x, k_T^2)$ transversity $h_{1T}^{\perp q}(x, k_T^2)$ pretzelosity





Polarized SIDIS and DY – factorization and kinematic regions

Semi-inclusive DIS



JAM, JHEP 04 (2022) 084




AMBER measurements 2023-2024: $\bar{p}$ production cross-section

$\bar{p}$ production measurement


- $\bar{p}$ detected in the cosmic rays
 - produced in CR collisions
 - dark matter signature

Understanding the $\bar{p}$ flux:

- Accurate determination of the CR-component
- Accuracy of the $\bar{p}$ -production models is at $\sim 20\%$ level



Motivation for AMBER 2023-2024 runs
New measurements needed to determine the $\bar{p}$ -production from cosmic-ray collisions accurately



AMBER measurements 2023-2024: proton charge radius



The proton-radius puzzle

- Discrepancies between the charge-radius of the proton extracted from:
 - Electron-proton scattering
 - Hydrogen spectroscopy
 - Muonic-hydrogen spectroscopy




AMBER PRM

- Elastic muon-proton scattering
 - 100 GeV/c muon beam
 - Active-target Hydrogen TPC for proton detection

Ch. Dreisbach – CERN-THESIS-2022-286




3D unpolarized Drell-Yan cross section on NH_3 and W



- First new results in 30 years!
- Data from light/heavy targets
 - NH_3 -He, Al, W
 - Nuclear dependence
- 1D/2D/3D representations
 $x_F:q_T:M$
- Unique data to access collinear and TMD distributions
 e.g. pion TMD PDF

Experiment	target	number of events	systematic uncertainty	datapoints (M, x_F)
COMPASS (2018 data)	NH_3 -He	36000	~5%	110
	Al	6000	~15%	50
	W	43000	~15%	50
NA10	W	155000	6.50%	59
E615	W	36000	16%	168

SIDIS TSAs: Collins effect and Transversity



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy dz dp_T^2 d\phi_h d\phi_s} \propto (F_{UU,T} + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}) \left\{ 1 + \dots + S_T \varepsilon A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)} \sin(\phi_h + \phi_s) + \dots \right\}$$



$$F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_h + \phi_s)} = C \left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M_h} h_1^q H_{1q}^{\perp h} \right]$$






- Measured on P/D in SIDIS and in dihadron SIDIS
- Compatible results HERMES/COMPASS (Q^2 is different by a factor of $\sim 2-3$)
- **New deuteron data crucial to constrain d -quark transversity**

**Highly successful
Run in 2022!**

COMPASS preliminary, primary vertices






COMPASS preliminary, $0 \text{ cm} < Z < 35 \text{ cm}$




Sivers TMD PDF: sign change

STAR, [arXiv:2308.15496](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.15496) [hep-ex]

The RHIC Cold QCD program: [arXiv:2302.00605](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.00605) [nucl-ex]





COMPASS, [PRL 133 \(2024\) 071902](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.1902)



SIDIS $\leftrightarrow$ Drell-Yan (W, Z)
 sign change of T-odd TMD PDFs



- Difficult measurement
 - Low x-section, background
- Sivers TMD PDF
- Pioneering measurements
 - COMPASS (Drell-Yan): [2015](#), [2018](#)
 - STAR (W, Z): [2011](#), [2017](#), [2022](#)
- COMPASS data favors the sign change
 - Useful input to constrain the fits

Boer-Mulders TMD PDF: sign change






$$\text{DY: } A_T^{\sin(2\varphi_{CS}-\varphi_S)} \propto -\left\{h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp\bar{u}} \otimes h_{1,p}^u\right\} < 0 \Rightarrow h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp\bar{u}} > 0$$

Boer-Mulders TMD PDF: sign change



$$\text{DY: } A_T^{\sin(2\phi_{CS}-\phi_S)} \propto -\left\{h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp\bar{u}} \otimes h_{1,p}^u\right\} < 0 \Rightarrow h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp\bar{u}} > 0$$







$$\text{DY: } A_T^{\sin 2\phi_{CS}} \propto \left\{h_{1,\pi^-}^{\perp\bar{u}} \otimes h_{1,p}^{\perp u}\right\} > 0 \Rightarrow h_{1,p}^{\perp u} > 0 \xrightarrow{\text{sign-change}} \text{SIDIS: } h_{1,p}^{\perp u} < 0$$

$h_{1,p}^{\perp u} < 0 \rightarrow$ SIDIS fits
V. Barone, et al.
PRD 82 (2010) 114025

- COMPASS data favors proton Boer-Mulders TMD PDF sign-change

COMPASS→AMBER: Vertex detector improvements



COMPASS data taking campaigns

Beam	Target	year	Physics program
μ^+	Polarized deuteron (^6LiD)	2002 2003 2004	80% Longitudinal 20% Transverse SIDIS
		2006	Longitudinal SIDIS
	Polarized proton (NH_3)	2007	50% Longitudinal 50% Transverse SIDIS
π K p	LH_2 , Ni, Pb, W	2008 2009	Spectroscopy
μ^+	Polarized proton (NH_3)	2010	Transverse SIDIS
		2011	Longitudinal SIDIS
π K p	Ni	2012	Primakoff
$\mu^\pm$	LH_2	2012	Pilot DVCS & HEMP & unpolarized SIDIS
π^-	Polarized proton (NH_3)	2014	Pilot Drell-Yan
		2015 2018	Transverse Drell-Yan
$\mu^\pm$	LH_2	2016 2017	DVCS & HEMP & unpolarized SIDIS
μ^+	Polarized deuteron (^6LiD)	2021 2022	Transverse SIDIS

CERN LHC and NA schedules

CERN Accelerator Complex schedule to 2041

