

Measurement of neutron yields in the Xe+CsI reaction by the Highly Granular time-of-flight Neutron Detector prototype in the BM@N experiment

A. Zubankov on behalf of the HGND group





- The Highly Granular Neutron Detector (HGND) at the BM@N experiment is under development for measuring the energy of neutrons up to 4 GeV produced in nucleus-nucleus collisions.
- Neutron measurements are necessary to obtain robust information on the symmetry energy of the Equation of State for high baryon density matter.
- A compact HGND prototype has already been designed and constructed to validate the concept of the full-scale HGND.
- For the first time, small prototype of the HGND was used in ¹²⁴Xe+CsI at 3.8A GeV run at the BM@N.
- The neutron yields in the HGND prototype acceptance were evaluated with modelestimated efficiencies for central and semi-central collisions and for electromagnetic dissociation (EMD) of ¹²⁴Xe.



- Design of Highly Granular Neutron Detector prototype
- EMD in RELDIS model
- UrQMD-AMC vs DCM-QGSM-SMM models
- HGND prototype efficiencies and acceptances
- Neutron yields and cross sections
- Background problem at 27° position

HGND prototype design



- Scint. layer **Veto** 120x120x25 (мм)
- 1st (electromagnetic) part:
 5 layers: Pb (8mm) + Scint. (25mm)
 + PCB + air
- 2nd (hadronic) part:
 9 layers: Cu (30mm) + Scint. (25mm)
 + PCB + air

Scint. cell – 40 x 40 x 25 mm³ Total number of cells – 135 Total size – 12 x 12 x 82.5 cm³ Total length ~ 2.5 λ_{int}

1st layer - VETO



Time resolution of cell \sim 200 ps^{*},

+ with light collection heterogeneity ~240 ps,

+ with other factors (such as trigger time resolution) \sim 270 ps



HGND prototype in the Xe+CsI@3.8A GeV run of BM@N



Kruglova I. beam" Central tracker

Interactions of nuclei





Criteria for selecting events with spectator neutrons





Criteria for selecting events with spectator neutrons

- Selection of events without charged particles, ToF cut, γ -cut (1.55 X₀ or 0.11 λ_{int})
 - Reconstruction of energy by maximum velocity
 - Scaled by incident ion beam rate



Criteria for selecting events with spectator neutrons

- Selection of events without charged particles, ToF cut, γ -cut (1.55 X₀ or 0.11 λ_{int})
 - Reconstruction of energy by maximum velocity
 - Scaled by incident ion beam rate



Run with Csl 1% target





After subtracting events from empty target

HGND prototype efficiency for neutrons











RELDIS

¹²⁴Xe + ¹³⁰Xe @ 3.8A GeV

Neutron multiplicity – **1.05** Neutron multiplicity on the surface – **1.02**



A. Zubankov

RELDIS – EMD in simulation



A. Zubankov

UrQMD-AMC vs DCM-QGSM-SMM





DCM-QGSM-SMM and UrQMD-AMC describe the experiment well in the rapidity region y_n $y_0 < 0$.

In the region $y_n - y_0 > 0$, DCM-QGSM-SMM underestimates the data whereas UrQMD-AMC overestimates.

For DCM-QGSM-SMM, there is a shift in the rapidity relative to the beam rapidity. UrQMD-AMC

3.8A GeV ¹²⁴Xe + ¹³⁰Xe



DCM-QGSM-SMM

3.8A GeV ¹³¹Xe + ¹³³Cs



Spectator neutron multiplicity – **17.70**

Spectator neutron multiplicity – 16.01

A. Zubankov

Spectator neutrons on the surface of the HGND prototype



Backgrounds & time resolution





Reconstructed neutron energy spectra for hadronic interactions



The difference in the shape and peak position of the reconstructed spectra of the models is noticeable, which is also due to the difference in the mean kinetic energy of neutrons and their multiplicity.

H

HGND prototype efficiencies for hadronic interactions



$$acc = rac{N_{hit}}{N_{gen}}$$
 $\mathcal{E} = rac{N_{rec}}{N_{hit}}$

Model	асс, %	ε, %	<i>αcc</i> x ε, %
DCM-QGSM-SMM	4.08 ± 0.02	36.23 ± 0.17	1.48 ± 0.01
UrQMD-AMC	2.87 ± 0.02	43.64 ± 0.24	1.25 ± 0.01
DCM-QGSM-SMM (1 mod.)	0.62 ± 0.01	27.81 ± 0.37	0.173 ± 0.002
UrQMD-AMC (1 mod.)	0.40 ± 0.01	35.73 ± 0.58	0.142 ± 0.002

The difference in *acc* and ε is explained by the differences in angular distribution of primary neutrons (17.70 vs 16.01) and in average multiplicity of neutrons hitting the detector (1.36 vs 1.51).

14.05.2025

Cross-section estimation



$$\sigma = \frac{N_{ev}}{N_{ions}} \cdot (acc \times \varepsilon) \cdot \langle N \rangle \cdot \frac{A}{d \cdot N_A \cdot \rho} \qquad \begin{array}{c} d = 0.175 \, cm \\ N_A = 6.02 \cdot 10^{23} \, mol^{-1} \\ \rho = 4.53 \, g/cm^3 \end{array}$$

$$RELDIS \qquad DCM-QGSM-SMM \qquad UrQMD-AMC \\ N_{ev}/N_{ions} \qquad (1.51\pm 0.14) \cdot 10^{-3} \qquad (3.87\pm 0.02) \cdot 10^{-3} \end{array}$$

ev / ions		(0.07 ±0.02) ±0	
$N_{ev}/N_{ions} \cdot (acc \times \varepsilon) \cdot \langle N \rangle$	(7.21±0.72)·10 ⁻³	(16.38±0.15)·10 ⁻³	(17.47±0.18)·10 ⁻³
$\sigma^{ ext{exp}}$	1.96±0.20 b	4.46±0.04 b	4.76±0.05 b
$\sigma^{{\scriptstyle sim}}$	1.89±0.02 b	4.76±0.01 b	4.89±0.01 b

A detailed study of the systematics will be in the future.

 $\sigma^{Glauber} = 5.28b$

Neutron yields at 27°



A. Zubankov

9

8

Neutron yields at 27°



K.

Backgrounds DCM-QGSM-SMM





Backgrounds



Experimental data Позиция - 1 (-26.8 градусов) Kinetic energy reconstruction + передняя защита полиэтилен 2м ×10^{−6} Защита полиэтилен Counts/ions 9 (2000х250х150мм) Hadronic 8 Hadronic side BG 6 Cell ID 100 80 60 40 20 00 3.5 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 3 T_n, GeV A. Zubankov 24 14.05.2025 16 2 6 10 12 14 Layer ID

Conclusions

- The acceptance and efficiency of the HGND prototype in detecting projectile spectator neutrons from hadronic interactions were studied using UrQMD-AMC and DCM-QGSM-SMM models to generate primary collisions.
- The models were validated with GSI data on neutron production in 600A MeV ¹²⁴Sn + ¹²⁴Sn reaction.

- Preliminary estimates of neutron yields at the 0.7° position and cross sections have been made. A detailed study of the systematics will be in the future.
- Measurement of neutron yields by the HGND prototype at 27° position is not possible due to the large number of background-only events (more than a factor of 20).
- Also, efficiency and acceptance have been
 See A. Shabanov's report on methods of investigated for neutrons from EMD using the RELDIS model.

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

HGND prototype

Time resolution



 $T_{rec} \ vs \ T_n \ with \pm 270 \ and \ 150 \ ps \ shifts$





HGND calibration





HGND calibration



1. Amplitude normalization

2. Time shift for all channels by the average fit value



HGND calibration

Time-amplitude

correction of signals made it possible to get rid of the dependence of time on signal amplitude, which improved the time resolution by ~2.4 times.





Fastest cells for EMD vs hadronic interactions

Comparison of hadronic interactions (CCT2) with electromagnetic dissociation (BT) Run 8281 (BT) vs 8300 (CCT2) 3.8 AGeV



33

H

Neutron yields at 27°



Trigger efficiency

Trigger efficiency by V. Plotnikov



14.05.2025

Trigger efficiency by D. Idrisov





Impact parameter distribution



Spectator neutrons on the surface of the HGND prototype



Backgrounds & time resolution



Reconstructed neutron energy spectra for hadronic interactions



41

H

HGND prototype efficiencies for hadronic interactions



$$acc = rac{N_{hit}}{N_{gen}}$$
 $\mathcal{E} = rac{N_{rec}}{N_{hit}}$

Model	асс, %	ε, %	<i>αcc</i> x ε, %
DCM-QGSM-SMM	3.30 ± 0.02	39.36 ± 0.21	1.30 ± 0.01
UrQMD-AMC	2.57 ± 0.02	45.45 ± 0.28	1.17 ± 0.01
DCM-QGSM-SMM (1 mod.)	0.62 ± 0.01	24.02 ± 0.38	0.150 ± 0.002
UrQMD-AMC (1 mod.)	0.40 ± 0.01	33.11 ± 0.61	0.132 ± 0.002

The difference in *acc* and ε is explained by the differences in angular distribution of primary neutrons (17.70 vs 16.01) and in average multiplicity of neutrons hitting the detector (1.32 vs 1.43).

14.05.2025

Cross-section estimation



$$\sigma = \frac{N_{ev}}{N_{ions}} \cdot \left(acc \times \varepsilon\right) \cdot \left\langle N \right\rangle \cdot \frac{A}{d \cdot N_A \cdot \rho} \qquad \begin{array}{l} d = 0.175 \, cm \\ N_A = 6.02 \cdot 10^{23} \, mol^{-1} \\ \rho = 4.53 \, g/cm^3 \end{array}$$

	RELDIS	DCM-QGSM-SMM	UrQMD-AMC	
N_{ev}/N_{ions}	(1.51±0.14)·10 ⁻³	(3.87±0.02)·10 ⁻³		
$N_{ev}/N_{ions} \cdot (acc \times \varepsilon) \cdot \langle N \rangle$	(7.21±0.72)·10 ⁻³	(18.63±0.19)·10 ⁻³	(18.77±0.21)·10 ⁻³	
$\sigma^{ ext{exp}}$	1.96±0.20 b	5.07±0.05 b	5.11±0.06 b	
$oldsymbol{\sigma}^{sim}$	1.89±0.02 b	4.76±0.01 b	4.89±0.01 b	

A detailed study of the systematics will be in the future.

 $\sigma^{Glauber} = 5.28b$

Cross-section estimation

 $\begin{cases} P(d) = \frac{N_{ev}}{N_{ions}} \cdot (acc \times \varepsilon) \cdot \langle N \rangle \\ P(d) = 1 - \exp(-\frac{d}{\lambda}) \end{cases} \end{cases}$

 $d = 0.175 \, cm$ $N_{A} = 6.02 \cdot 10^{23} mol^{-1}$ $\rho = 4.53 \, g / cm^3$

 $d \ll \lambda$:

 $P(d) = \frac{d}{\lambda} = \frac{d \cdot N_A \cdot \rho \cdot \sigma}{A}$

 $\sigma = \frac{N_{ev}}{N_{inv}} \cdot \left(acc \times \varepsilon\right) \cdot \left\langle N \right\rangle \cdot \frac{A}{d \cdot N_A \cdot \rho}$

A. Zubankov

UrQMD-AMC



Ablation Monte Carlo: decay code from AAMCC

- The excited nuclear fragments are formed by means of MST-clusterization algorithm after UrQMD
 - A few excited nuclear prefragments can be formed, in contrast with DCM-QGSM-SMM, where all the spectator nucleon remain bound in one prefragment.
- Excitation energy of prefragment is calculated by hybrid approximation: a combination of Ericson formula for peripheral collisions and ALADIN approximation otherwise¹⁾
- Decays of prefragments are simulated as follows:
 - Fermi break-up model from Geant4 v9.2²⁾
 - Statistical Multifragmentation Model (SMM) from Geant4 v10.4²⁾
 - Weisskopf-Ewing evaporation model from Geant4 v10.4²⁾

- R. Nepeivoda, et al., Particles 5 (2022) 40
 J. Alison et al. Nucl. Inst. A 835 (2016) 186
 3) 55th Geant4 Techical Forum https://indico.cern.ch/event/1106118/contributions/4693132/
- They were validated and adjusted to describe the data³⁾.

Combining UrQMD and AAMCC

- AMC is developed to simulate secondary decays of spectator fragments created in other models, in particular UrQMD.
- It is assumed that spectator matter is formed out of nucleons that do not undergo any collisions.



H

Knocking out some spectator nucleons by mesons



Blue and yellow – spectator nucleons, red – participant nucleons, green – produced mesons

MST-clustering





- Graph vertexes nucleons, edges weights Cartesian distances between them.
- (a) The minimum spanning tree is selected from the complete graph
- \bullet (b) All edges with a weight greater than d are removed. d is the clustering parameter depending on the excitation energy
- (c) Connectivity components are separate (pre-)fragments



14.05.2025

The prefragment is dynamically divided into several prefragments until thermodynamic equilibrium is reached.



Prefragments in a central

collision

Clusters representation on the Side A

¹⁹⁷Au fragmentation



 $\ensuremath{\cdot}$ UrQMD-AMC and AAMCC describe Z_{max} . Models give similar numbers of He

•UrQMD-AMC is systematically lower than AAMCC for Z_{bound} < 50. This is due to a smaller spectator volume in UrQMD.

•AAMCC is closer to data on M_{IMF}, while UrQMD-AMC overestimates M_{IMF} in semi-central collisions. This is because of higher excitation energy of prefragments since more nucleons are removed.

•The difference in H fragments can be attributed to the different number of participants, because of a larger contribution of protons from MST-clustering

Spectator matter volume as a function of impact parameter



UrQMD gives less spectators than AAMCC for all b

Nuclear interaction



between ¹⁹⁷Au nuclei at NICA at $Vs_{NN} = 5$ GeV

A. Svetlichnyi & I. Pshenichnov, Formation of Free and Bound Spectator Nucleons in Hadronic Interactions between Relativistic Nuclei. *Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences: Physics* **2020**, 84 (8), 911–916.



b, fm

Average multiplicities of neutrons in 208 Pb $^{-208}$ Pb collisions at $Vs_{NN} = 5.02$ TeV as functions of the collision impact parameter

Nepeivoda, R. et al., Pre-Equilibrium Clustering in Production of Spectator Fragments in Collisions of Relativistic Nuclei. *Particles* **2022**, 5, 40–51.

Nuclear interaction



