

Anisotropic Flow Results from STAR Beam Energy Scan II

Li-Ke Liu

for STAR Collaboration


Central China Normal University

Workshop on physics performance studies at NICA, December 15-17

Outline

1) Motivation
2) Experimental Setup
3) Results and Discussion
   I) Directed flow (v_1) measurements
   II) Elliptic flow (v_2) measurements
4) Summary and outlook

Motivation



1) RHIC 200 GeV and LHC

   Small viscosity, high temperature

   Evidence of Quark-Gluon Plasma

2) Beam energy scan program

   Locate the first-order phase boundary

   Search for Critical Point

A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. D 85, 054503 (2012); K. Fukushima and C. Sasaki, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys, 72, 99 (2013)

STAR Beam Energy Scan

Au+Au Collisions at RHIC											
Collider Runs						Fixed-Target Runs					
	$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	#Events	μ_B	y_{beam}	run		$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ (GeV)	#Events	μ_B	y_{beam}	run
1	**200**	2000 M	**25** MeV	5.3	Run-14, 16	1	13.7 (100)	50 M	280 MeV	-2.69	Run-21
2	62.4	46 M	75 MeV		Run-10	2	11.5 (70)	50 M	320 MeV	-2.51	Run-21
3	54.4	1200 M	85 MeV		Run-17	3	9.2 (44.5)	50 M	370 MeV	-2.28	Run-21
4	39	86 M	112 MeV		Run-10	4	7.7 (31.2)	260 M	420 MeV	-2.1	Run-18, 19, 20
5	27	585 M	156 MeV	3.36	Run-11, 18	5	7.2 (26.5)	470 M	440 MeV	-2.02	Run-18, 20
6	19.6	595 M	206 MeV	3.1	Run-11, 19	6	6.2 (19.5)	120 M	490 MeV	1.87	Run-20
7	17.3	256 M	230 MeV		Run-21	7	5.2 (13.5)	100 M	540 MeV	-1.68	Run-20
8	14.6	340 M	262 MeV		Run-14, 19	8	4.5 (9.8)	110 M	590 MeV	-1.52	Run-20
9	11.5	235 M	316 MeV		Run-10, 20	9	3.9 (7.3)	120 M	633 MeV	-1.37	Run-20
10	9.2	160 M	372 MeV		Run-10, 20	10	3.5 (5.75)	120 M	670 MeV	-1.2	Run-20
11	7.7	104 M	420 MeV		Run-21	11	3.2 (4.59)	200 M	699 MeV	-1.13	Run-19
						12	**3.0** (3.85)	2000 M	**760** MeV	-1.05	Run-18, 20


The widest map of the QCD phase diagram

$3 < \sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}} < 200$ GeV; $760 > \mu_B > 25$ MeV

Anisotropic flow

Anisotropies in particle momentum distributions relative to the reaction planes or symmetry planes

Initial spatial anisotropy → Pressure gradient → Momentum space anisotropy



$$E\frac{d^3N}{dp^3} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{d^2N}{p_T dp_T dy}\left(1 + \sum_1^\infty 2v_n \cos\left[n\left(\phi - \psi_r\right)\right]\right)$$

$$v_1 = \left\langle \cos\left(\phi - \psi_r\right)\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{p_x}{p_T}\right\rangle \qquad \text{directed flow}$$


$$v_2 = \left\langle \cos\left[2(\phi - \psi_r)\right]\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2}\right\rangle \qquad \text{elliptic flow}$$

1) Equation of State of the medium
2) Constituent interactions and degree of freedom


A. M. Poskanzer and S. A. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C 58, 1671 (1998)
P. Danielewicz and R. Lacey, Science 298 (2002)
STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 212301 (2017)

Motivation: Anti-flow of kaons

Figure taken from: Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 232302 (2013)



E895 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 940 (2000)




1) Normal flow: Bounce-off in positive rapidity


2) Au+Au 3.83 GeV: Anti-flow of kaon at low p_T (< 0.7 GeV/c) → Kaon potential?

Motivation: Elliptic flow

Data taken: Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 62301 (2016)




STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 142301 (2013)
Phys. Rev. C 93, 14907 (2016), Phys. Lett. B 827, 137003 (2022)




Universal Scaling ➞ Parton freedom ➞ indication of QGP formation

Motivation: Elliptic flow

STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 212301 (2017)




STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 142301 (2013)
Phys. Rev. C 93, 14907 (2016), Phys. Lett. B 827, 137003 (2022)



1) 200 GeV: Partonic collectivity
2) 3 GeV: Hadronic interaction dominates
3) Change of degree of freedom: 3 → 7.7 GeV?

Experimental setup




STAR Detector:

1) 2π azimuthal coverage
2) Uniform efficiency
3) Modest rates

Upgrade:

1) **I**nner-**T**ime **P**rojection **C**hamber
   - Better track quality, $|\eta| < 1 \rightarrow$ **1.8**
2) **E**ndcap **T**ime **O**f **F**light
   - Particle identification
3) **E**vent **P**lane **D**etector
   - Event plane determination ($2.1 < |\eta| < 5.1$)


STAR Fixed target setup




1) Fixed-Target mode developed at STAR to extend collision energy coverage
   - Extend energy coverage 7.7 → 3 GeV
   - Longer track and better vertex resolution for FXT events

Particle identification


TPC



bTOF





eTOF



Good particle identification capability based on TPC dE/dx and TOF m^2

Particle acceptance






1) K_s^0, Λ are reconstructed by invariant mass method (KF particle package)

2) Particle rapidity coverage from -1 to 0 (blue boxes)

A. Banerjee, I. Kisel and M. Zyzak, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 35, 2043003 (2020)

Rapidity dependence of v_1


STAR Collaboration, arxiv: 2503.23665



Baryonic Mean Field: p dependent Soft EoS
the nuclear incompressibility K = 210 MeV

Measurements of v_1 vs. rapidity for $\pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}, K^0_S, p, \Lambda$ at 3.0, 3.2, 3.5, and 3.9 GeV


Energy dependence of v_1 slope




STAR Collaboration, arxiv: 2503.23665

1) v_1 slope of baryons drops as collision energy increases
2) JAM with baryon mean field better describes data
   - For both *p* and Λ, Baryon mean field is important at high baryon density region

Anti-flow of mesons






1) Significant difference in K^0_S v_1 between E895 and STAR

2) Anti-flow of mesons at low p_T:
JAM Cascade mode with spectators reproduces the anti-flow phenomena

- Spectator shadowing effect
- Kaon potential is not necessary

Energy dependence of ϕ v_1


STAR Collaboration, Quark Matter 2025



1) Large v_1 for ϕ mesons in the high μ_B region, similar v_1 as p and Λ
2) Associated production or high-mass baryon resonance decay

Anisotropic flow

$$v_1 = \cos(\phi - \psi_r) = \left\langle \frac{p_x}{p_T} \right\rangle$$




$$v_2 = \cos\left[2(\phi - \psi_r)\right] = \left\langle \frac{p_x^2 - p_y^2}{p_x^2 + p_y^2} \right\rangle$$

v_1 reflect asymmetry along X direction

v_2 reflect asymmetry on X-Y plane

p_T dependence of v_2 at 3.0 - 4.5 GeV


STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135, 072301 (2025)




1) v_2 changes from negative to positive across different hadron species

2) As collision energy increases, spectator shadowing reduces

Spectator shadowing effect




Collision Energy (GeV)	3.0	4.5
Velocity	0.78	0.91
t_{pass} (fm/c)	11.22	6.43



1) Spectators block the participant zone during early stage
2) Passing time of spectator $\sim 2R/\gamma\beta$
3) Longer overlap at lower energies → stronger shadowing effect

Data-model comparsion: 3 GeV


L.K. Liu, S.S. Shi, arxiv: 2508.21577
STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135, 072301 (2025)



1) Hadronic models fit 3.0 GeV data, while AMPT-SM fails as spectator shadowing missed
2) Hadrons dominate the medium's DOF, **large cross sections & short mean-free paths**

Data-model comparsion: 4.5 GeV

L.K. Liu, S.S. Shi, arxiv: 2508.21577
STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135, 072301 (2025)




1) AMPT-SM matches 4.5 GeV data, while hadronic models underestimate

2) Emergence of partonic DOF, **smaller partonic cross sections & longer mean-free paths**

NCQ scaling of v_2 at 3 - 4.5 GeV

STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135, 072301 (2025)




1) NCQ scaling completely breaks below 3.2 GeV

2) NCQ scaling restores gradually from 3.2 to 4.5 GeV

Energy dependence of $\langle v_2 \rangle$

STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 135, 072301 (2025)



In-plane expansion

Out of plane

1) Negative to positive flow: 3 → 4.5 GeV


2) NCQ scaled v_2 ratio of p/K^+ close to 1 at 3.9 and 4.5 GeV, while deviating largely from 1 at 3.2 GeV

- Partonic interactions dominate at 4.5 GeV

STAR Collaboration, Phys. Rev. C 88, 14902 (2013), Phys. Rev. C 103, 34908 (2021)

NCQ scaling from 3 - 19.6 GeV

STAR Collaboration, Quark Matter 2025



1) Multi-strange and ϕ mesons follow NCQ scaling
   - Solid baseline of Partonic collectivity $\geq$ 7.7 GeV

Summary

1) Anti-flow for K^0_S, $K^{\pm}$ and π^+ observed at low p_T ($\lesssim$ 0.6 GeV/c) in 3 to 3.9 GeV collisions

- **Spectator shadowing important; kaon potential not necessary**


2) Significant v_1 for ϕ mesons in the high μ_B region

- **Associated production or baryon resonance**

3) 3 - 4.5 GeV: Onset of NCQ scaling on v_2
Multi-strange hadrons and ϕ mesons follow NCQ scaling $\geq$ 7.7 GeV

- **Possible phase-transition onset at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \simeq$ 4.5 GeV**


Outlook: Future Experiments



Future experiments: high interaction rates

1) JINR-NICA, 4 — 11 GeV
2) FAIR-CBM, 2.7 — 4.9 GeV
3) HIRFL/HIAF-CEE, 2.1 — 3.3 GeV


MPD at NICA



CBM/HADES at SIS100 (2028)




CEE+ at HIAF (2027)



Backup: NCQ scaling of antiparticles

STAR Collaboration, Quark Matter 2025



1) Better scaling observed for antiparticles