



Hit Reconstruction Improvements in the Cathode Strip Chambers of the CMS Experiment

Nikolay Voytishin on behalf of CMS Collaboration

NEC2019, Montenegro October 4, 2019

Cathode Strip Chambers @ CMS



The principle of working of cathode strip chambers



CSC placement in the CMS experimental setup



2D points

- R coordinate measured by wires

3D segments

Determined by fitting the 2D points from the 6 layers of each chamber



the coordinate is calculated using Center of Gravity (CoG) like algorithm

fail to be add to the segment.

Wavelet analysis for overlapped signals delimitation



The g2-WTS(Wavelet-TranSform method based on the usage of second degree wavelets (g_2))[1] was chosen by us for overlapping signal recognition.

The main function in wavelet analysis is the following double gaussian function

$$G(\underline{x_{1}}A_{1}, x_{1}; B, x_{2}) = Aexp(-\frac{(x-x_{1})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}) + Bexp(-\frac{(x-x_{2})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}})$$
(1)

We build 2, 3 or 4 gaussians on a chosen interval of strips with a constant step. For the case of three overlapped signals the formula (1) looks as follows:

$$G(x, A_1, x_1, A_2, x_2, A_3, x_3) = A_1 e^{-\frac{(x - x_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2}} + A_2 e^{-\frac{(x - x_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}} + A_3 e^{-\frac{(x - x_3)^2}{2\sigma_3^2}}.$$

The parameters $A_1, x_1, A_2, x_2, A_3, x_3, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ of the overlapped signals are obtained by summation of the values of these gaussians in each coordinate x.

G.Ososkov, A.Shitov Gaussian Wavelet Features and Their Applications for Analysis of Discretized Signals // Comp.Phys.Comm, v.126/1-2, 149-157, (2000)

What has been done?



Universal tool for overlapping signal recognition

〔5〕

Good case example



The coordinate is well reconstructed by both methods.

Yellow line – initial charge distribution; Green line – simulated muon coordinate; Red line – wavelet analysis;

Blue line – standard approach (Gatti fit).

All three coordinates coincide

Two overlapped signals recognition



Yellow line – initial charge distribution; Green line – simulated muon coordinate; Red line – wavelet analysis; Blue line – standard approach (CoG like).

Four overlapped signals recognition



Yellow line – initial charge distribution; Green line – simulated muon coordinate; Red line – wavelet analysis; Blue line – standard approach (CoG like).

Difference in the ϕ coordinate between simulated and reconstructed hit



BLUE – standard approach; **RED** – wavelet analysis.

Particular event improvements



Yellow – "fired" strips; Green – reconstructed hit; Red – muon.



- First 4 layers with strip and wire information;
- The Segment Builder failed to reconstruct a 3-hit segment because of a very bad χ^2 caused by big differences in strip coordinates of the reconstructed hits

L1 L2 L3

strip coordinate: 14.37 14.32 16.20

What's wrong?

- The hit on layer 3 must be on the same or neighboring strip as the two hits from the previous layers;
- There should be a hit on layer 4.



3rd layer problem solved



BLUE – standard RH Builder; RED – abnormal strip distribution division

4th layer problem solving





Problem: Hit on layer 4 not reconstructed. **Cause:** Old hardware required a preliminary check (at the software level) on whether the rough coordinate fitted into the chamber geometry.

Solution: After the hardware updates the check had to be modified.



Hit and Segment occupancy in ME11



BLUE – standard RH Builder; **RED** – thresholds made looser for strip cut region ± 5cm.

Road towards HL-LHC



- Multiple places for improvements in terms of hit and segment reconstruction in CSCs;
- Different approaches can be used for solving the same problem.

Main goal: Get as much, and as accurate, information as possible for further steps of the particle trajectory reconstruction.

Back up slides



ME1/1 CSC WIRES PLANE

ME11

[17]

Same distribution of dphi for wavelets in linear scale



BLUE – standard approach; **RED** – wavelet analysis.