

Status of the BM@N experiment



M.Kapishin



M.Kapishin



- Central tracker inside analyzing magnet \rightarrow 6 GEM detectors 163 x 45 cm² and forward Si strip detectors for tracking
- ToF system, trigger detectors, hadron and EM calorimeters, outer tracker Program:
- Measure inelastic reactions Ar (Kr) + target \rightarrow X on targets C,AI,Cu,Sn,Pb
- \rightarrow Hyperon production measured in central tracker (Si + GEM)
- \rightarrow Charged particles and nuclear fragments identified with ToF
- \rightarrow Gamma and multi-gamma states identified in ECAL

+ analyze data from previous technical run with Carbon beam of 3.5 - 4.5 GeV/n



Central tracker in Ar / Kr runs



3 forward silicon strip planes and 6 GEM detectors





M.Kapishin



Ar-target interaction reconstructed in central tracker



Λ hyperon production in carbon beam

C beam , 4 AGeV C + C,AI,Cu \rightarrow X

BM@N

Λ signal width 2.5 - 3 MeV





Analysis team: Gleb Pokatashkin + Alexander Zinchenko, Yulia Gornaya Veronika Vasendina, Igor Roufanov

First expected physics results:

 Yields of Λ hyperon in C – nucleus (C,AI,Cu) interactions at beam kinetic energy of 4 AGeV

 \rightarrow Status report of Gleb Pokatashkin





BM@N Status of TOF-400 identification and analysis

β

Analysis team: Vasily Plotnikov Mikhail Rumyantsev

First expected physics results:

- Ratio of K⁺/π⁺ in Ar nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 3.2 AGeV
- Ratio of K⁺/π⁺ in Kr nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 2.4 AGeV

 \rightarrow Status report of Vasily Plotnikov



Ar beam , 3.2 AGeV , Ar + C,Al,Sn,Cu \rightarrow X



Status of TOF-700 identification



Ar beam , 3.2 AGeV , Ar + Al,Cu \rightarrow X



Betacor vs momentum

Analysis team: Yuri Petukhov, Layo Kovachev DCH reconstruction: Vladimir Palichik, Nikolay Voitishin





Towards centrality measurement with ZDC in Carbon / Argon runs



Team: F.Guber, A.Ivashkin, S.Morozov, M.Golubeva, A.Taranenko

C beam , 4.5 AGeV, March 2017

Team: A.Stavinskiy, P.Alexeev, N.Zhigareva

Scintillation counter

SRC run, C beam, 3.14 AGeV, March 2018 \rightarrow ZDC calibration for Ar run



 \rightarrow talks of Sergey Morozov and Alexey Stavinskiy

ZDC resolution ~2.5 spectators

BM@N A proposal for BM@N experiment



to study SRC with hard inverse kinematic reactions



M.Kapishin

JINR (Dubna): BM@N **Israel:** Tel Aviv University Germany: TUD and GSI USA: MIT FRANCE: CEA

np SRC

Objectives:

- identify 2N-SRC events with inverse kinematics
- study isospin decomposition of 2N-SRC

 $^{12}C + p \rightarrow 2p + {}^{10}_{4}Be + p$ pp SRC

study A-2 spectator nuclear system

A-2

Beam

BM@N BMN & SRC set-up



TOF

GEM

Si

DCH

→ SRC status report of Valerii Panin

GEM

TC1

Τ2

T1

TOF

GEM



Cuts

P_{miss} >0.275 GeV/c

First SRC @ BMN run in March 2018

First expected results:

- Study A-2 residual system after SRC knockout
- Study quasi-elastic (p,2p) with 4 GeV/c/nucleon beam



M.Kapishin

BM@N

Liq H₂

Configuration of BM@N detector for heavy ion program (without beampipe)

BM@N





Forward Si, STS and GEM detectors







For heavy ion beam intensities few 10⁶ Hz

- \rightarrow 4 STS + 7 GEM
- \rightarrow fast FEE and readout electronics

→ Talk of Anna Maksymchuk



Nuclotron - BM@N beam line



► Upgrade of Nuclotron - BM@N transport channel for heavy ion program:

 \rightarrow replace air intervals / foils with vacuum beam pipe along 160 m of BM@N transport line to get minimum dead material

- \rightarrow implement non-destructive beam position monitoring on movable vacuum inserts
- \rightarrow implement vacuum beam pipe inside BM@N from target to end
- ► Replacement of transformers, power supplies and cables to power magnetic elements of the transport channel (need a new building to place transformers)

► To use heavy ion beams from Booster-Nuclotron need construction of a new powerful cryogenic station \rightarrow we do not expect heavy ion beams before 2021





Beam parameters and setup at different stages of BM@N experiment

BM@N

Year	2016	2017 spring	2018 spring	2021	2022 and later
Beam	d(↑)	С	Ar,Kr, C(SRC)	Kr,Xe	up to Au
Max.inten sity, Hz	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	2-5M
Trigger rate, Hz	5k	5k	10k	10k	20k→50k
Central tracker status	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes + 3 forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si + large STS planes
Experiment al status	technical run	technical run	technical run+physics	stage1 physics	stage2 physics

Physics and Analysis working groups (PAWG) and BM@N Technical working groups (TWG)

Hyperon reconstruction, simulation and analysis (PAWG) Convener: Alexander Zinchenko

Particle identification and analysis (TWG+PAWG) Convener: Mikhail Rumyantsev

Event reconstruction and simulation (TWG) Convener: Sergey Merts

Software development and data quality analysis (TWG) Conveners: Konstantin Gertsenberger, Pavel Batyuk

SRC data analysis and simulation (PAWG) Conveners: Or Hen, Maria Patsyuk

ZDC centrality and ECAL data analysis and simulation (TWG) Conveners: Sergey Morozov, Sergei Afanasiev, Alexey Stavinskiy

Executive Committee



Mikhail Kapishin, Spokesperson Peter Senger, Deputy Spokesperson, elected by IB Anna Maksymchuk, Project Manager

Elected by IB Konstantin Gertsenberger , Software Coordinator (JINR) Or Hen (MIT) Vyacheslav Slepnev (JINR) Arkadiy Taranenko (MEPhI) Yi Wang (Tsinghua U.) Alexander Zinchenko (JINR)

Proposed by Spokesperson, to be approved by IB Dmitry Dementev (JINR) Nikolay Zamiatin (JINR)

Speakers Buro formed by EC

Hans Rudolf Schmidt (Chair), Mikhail Kapishin, Peter Senger, Or Hen, Arkadij Taranenko, Yi Wang + conveners of PAWG

Upload your talks for cross check and revision: http://indico.jinr.ru/categoryDisplay.py?categId=337

Procedure to get "BM@N Preliminary" to present results at Conferences



- Steps of analysis are regularly presented at Analysis plenary meetings or at Collaboration meetings
- Analysis is approved in the PAWG, analysis note is prepared in PAWG and distributed to the Collaboration at least a week before the final presentation
- At least two internal referees are assigned by the Spokesperson to check the quality of the analysis, physics message, if crucial comments from the Collaboration members are addressed. As a rule, the selected referees serve also at next steps for publication of the final results
- The Spokesperson, referees and conveners of the corresponding PAWG discuss performance of the analysis and give green light for the final presentation
- The BM@N Preliminary is stamped upon results of the final presentation at the Collaboration meeting or at the Analysis plenary meeting

Thank you for attention!

M.Kapishin



BM@N beam profile





M.Kapishin

BM@N experiment

Upgrade of central tracker with CBM STS BM@N

STS-1



Team: LHEP JINR, MSU, GSI, Tübingen University

→Talks on detector status and simulation



STS-2



M.Kapishin

Total: 292 modules, ~600k channels





MPD / CBM hadron ZDC calorimeter

resolve central /



CBM modules MPD modules



- Modern technics;
- Light yield ~x10 higher;
- **Detection of low energies;**
- Stable operation at high count rates;
- **Experience in operation for later MPD/CBM** experiments CBM module in BM@N
- **Motivated team**

MPD FHCAL modules









ToF-400 and ToF-700 based on mRPC







ToF-700 wall

