Analysis of the rare K⁺ decay:

$$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \mu^+ \mu^-$$

Dosbol Baigarashev JINR, Dubna

The CERN accelerator complex



The NA62 Experiment @ CERN

MAIN GOAL: Measurement of BR($K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$) @ 10% accuracy



NA62 detector

Primary beam: CERN SPS protons

- 400 GeV/c
- 3.3 × 10¹² ppp

Secondary beam:

- unseparated positive beam $\pi(70\%)/K(6\%)/p(23\%)$
- $p_{K} = 75 \text{ GeV/c} (\Delta p/p \sim 1.1\%)$
- Nominal beam rate = 750 MHz@GTK
- K⁺ rate ≈ 45 MHz
- ~5 MHz K⁺ decays in the fiducial volume

Main Detectors

- Tracking: Si-pixel beam tracker (GTK) + Straw spectrometer in vacuum (STRAW)
- PID: Cherenkov for K⁺ beam (KTAG) and for decay products (RICH)
- Hermetic veto: Photon-veto/calorimeters + muon veto system
- CHANTI: inelastic interactions of beam and collimator/GTK3
- CHOD: plastic scintillators for fast charged trigger



$K^{+} \rightarrow e^{+} v_{e} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$





- (a),(b) Inner Bremsstrahlung (IB 0.03%)
- (c) Structure Dependent (SD)
- J. Bijnes, G. Ecker and J. Gasser, Nucl. Phys. B 396, 81 (1993).
- ChPT prediction: Br(K⁺ \rightarrow e⁺v_eµ⁺ µ⁻)=1.12 x 10⁻⁸
- H. Ma *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D73, 037101 (2006).
- First observation, BNL E865: Br(exp)=(1.72 ± 0.37(stat.) ± 0.17(syst.) ± 0.19(model)) x 10⁻⁸

$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu \mu^+ \mu^-$

• Data: 2017A sample (8134-8282);

- Dimuon three track vertex "2MU3TV" filter;
- Trigger: Mask6 (RICH-QX-MO2);
- MC: Kevµµ : v0.11.3

Didn't work with normalization channels yet (to do...)

Track selection

Track in acceptance with: Straw, RICH, LKr, MUV3

Straw Track:

- $\chi^2_{STRAW} <= 20;$
- 5 GeV $\leq P_{\text{STRAW}}$ track ≤ 65 GeV;
- $|\text{Time}_{\text{RICH}} \text{Time}_{\text{NEWCHOD}}| < 4 \text{ ns}$

GTK Track:

- NCedarCandidate > 0
- N_{CEDAR} sectors >= 4
- $|\text{Time}_{\text{GTK}} \text{Time}_{\text{CEDAR}}| < 1.5 \text{ ns}$

Electron Particle ID: *EoPtotalForFilter* > 0.85 and *RICH ID as e* and 0.9 < EoP < 1.1 DDeadCell > 20 mm

RICH linear discriminant for positive particles:

- d(e) < 0.9
- $d(\mu) < 0.9$

d(π) < 0.9 where:

- $d(e) = 1 L(e) + L(\mu) + L(\pi)$,
- $d(\mu) = 1 L(\mu) + L(e) + L(\pi)$,
- $d(\pi) = 1$ $L(\pi) + L(\mu) + L(e)$, where: **L** is RICH Likelihood

Muon Particle ID: Not an e

Requare association with MUV3(in time 4 ns) RICH ID as µ No association with LKr or ELKr < 1.5 GeV or EoP < 0.2

Pion Particle ID: Not an e

Not a μ RICH ID as π

Vertex selection

Vertex:

- Three-track vertex >= 1;
- Particle ID: $N_{\mu} = 2$ and $N_{e} = 1$;
- q_{3tr_vtx} = +1;
- $\chi^2_{3tr_vtx} \leq 40$ (filter cut);
- 106 m <= Z_{vtx} <= 180 m ;
- $P_{vtx} < 80 \text{ GeV}$;
- VertexTime: average of 3 tracks NewCHOD times;
- |VertexTime GTKtime| < 2 ns ;
- Distance beetween GTK track and vertex in the vertex plane < 15 mm

Kl4: Κενμμ

 $(P_{K} - P_{e} - P_{u} - P_{u}) = P_{v}$, $|P_{v}| > 5$ GeV (to reduce the background)

Some cuts illustration





Squared missing mass vs Missing momentum (0.04 0.03 0.03 0.02 H2D_KL4_NOCUT_MISS_MASS2_vs_MISSING_MOMENTUM 100 Entries 7257 Mean x 6.434
 Mean y
 0.003448

 Std Dev x
 6.016

 Std Dev y
 0.008506
 80 0.01 60 0 40 -0.01 After $|P_v| > 5$ GeV cut -0.02 20 -0.03 Squared missing mass vs Missing momentum -0.04 n 10 15 20 25 30 35 **Cock** 0.04 **0.03** 5 H2D_KL4__MISS_MASS2_vs_MISSING_MOMENTUM 3636 11.16 0.007046 5.157 0.008998 Miss P (GeV) 16 Entries Mean x Mean y Std Dev x Std Dev y 14 - MC + Bgr 12 — Ke4 - Km4 0.01 Data 10 Signal region 8 10 -0.01 6 -0.02 -0.03 -0.04 1 35 Miss P (GeV) 5 10 15 20 25 30 0.0005 0.0 Squared missing mass (GeV²) -0.0015 -0.002 -0.001 -0.0005 0 0.001

Selected	K _{eνµµ}
----------	-------------------



Conclusions

- Signal selection is developed;
- Signal is visible in **Data2017A;**
- Background description in process

To do ...

- K 3π to be mis-identified by LKr;
- Normalization channels

Spares

Positron ID

RICH linear discriminant d

L is RICH Likelihood



Unlike the L-ratio criteria, **d** also suppress the low-likelihood area, that is also uncertain for RICH.

One could invent some "radius" of ellipse, but 3D points here are not distributred elliptically.

So linear discriminant is just the simplest one.

Cuts on d(e), $d(\mu)$, $d(\pi)$ may be different in various analyses.

Soft cut : **d** < 0.9 just exclude other corners