

#### Finite Density Lattice QCD for NICA energy regions

V. Bornyakov, D. Boyda, V. Goy,A. Molochkov, A.Nakamura, M.Wakayama and V.I.Zakharov

> Far Eastern Federal Univ., VladivoRCNP, Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan RIKEN,Saitama, Japan

II. International Workshop on Theory of Hadronic Matter Under Extreme Conditions

Sept.16-19, 2019, DUBNA



### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line ?
- 6. What should we do next?
- 7. Summary





J.Cleymans et al., Phys. Rev. C73, (2006) 034905.



D. Blaschke, J. Jankowski, and M. Naskręt arXiv:1705.00169

### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC Higher Moments
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line?
- 6. What should we do next?
- 7. Summary

But

### Sign Problem

Lattice QCD does not work at finite density !



$$(\det \Delta(\mu))^* = \det \Delta(\mu)^{\dagger} = \det \Delta(-\mu^*)$$
For  $\mu = 0$   
 $(\det \Delta(0))^* = \det \Delta(0)$   
 $\det \Delta \qquad Real$ 
For  $\mu \neq 0$  (in general)  
 $\det \Delta \qquad Complex$ 

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}U \prod_{f} \det \Delta(m_f, \mu_f) e^{-\beta S_G}$$
Complex Sign Problem

**Origin of Sign Problem** Wilson Fermions  $\Delta = I - \kappa O$ KS(Staggered) Fermions  $\Delta = m - Q'_{1}$ =  $m(I - \frac{1}{m}Q)$  $Q = \sum \left( Q_i^+ + Q_i^- \right) + \left( e^{+\mu} Q_4^+ + e^{-\mu} Q_4^- \right)$ i=1 $Q^+_{\mu} = * * U_{\mu}(x)\delta_{x',x+\hat{\mu}}$  $Q_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{-} = * * U_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}') \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{x}',\boldsymbol{x}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ 

$$\det \Delta = e^{\operatorname{Tr} \log \Delta} = e^{\operatorname{Tr} \log (I - \kappa Q)}$$
$$= e^{-\sum_{n} \frac{1}{n} \kappa^{n} \operatorname{Tr} Q^{n}} \operatorname{Hopping Parameter Exp.}_{or}_{\operatorname{Large Mass Expansion.}}$$

Closed loops do not vanish Lowest  $\mu$  depsnent terms

$$\kappa^{N_t} e^{\mu N_t} \operatorname{Tr}(Q^+ \cdots Q^+)$$
$$= * * \kappa^{N_t} e^{\mu/T} \operatorname{Tr}L$$

$$\kappa^{N_t} e^{-\mu N_t} \operatorname{Tr}(Q^- \cdots Q^-)$$
$$= * * \kappa^{N_t} e^{-\mu/T} \operatorname{Tr}L^{\dagger}$$

$${
m Tr}L$$
 : Polyakov Loop







#### No Sign problem cases

1. Pure imaginary chemical potential

$$(\det \Delta(\mu))^* = \det \Delta(-\mu^*)$$
$$\mu = i\mu_I \quad (\det \Delta(\mu_I))^* = \det \Delta(\mu_I)$$

2.Color SU(2)  $U_{\mu}^{*} = \sigma_{2}U_{\mu}\sigma_{2}$   $\det \Delta(U, \gamma_{\mu})^{*} = \det \Delta(U^{*}, \gamma_{\mu}^{*}) = \det \sigma_{2}\Delta(U, \gamma_{\mu}^{*})\sigma_{2}$  $= \det \Delta(U, \gamma_{\mu})$ 

3.lso vector (finite iso-spin)

 $\mu_d = -\mu_u$   $\det \Delta(\mu_u) \det \Delta(\mu_d) = \det \Delta(\mu_u) \det \Delta(-\mu_u)$  $= \det \Delta(\mu_u) \det \Delta(\mu_u)^* = |\det \Delta(\mu_u)|^2$ (P)

(Phase Quench)

# Phase Structure in pure imaginary

 $(\det \Delta(\mu))^* = \det \Delta(\mu)^\dagger = \det \Delta(-\mu^*)$ 

 $\mu = i\mu_I \longrightarrow \det \Delta$ : Real !

#### Phase diagram in $\mu$ I region



#### Imaginary to real chemical potential



### Many Approaches to Sign Problem

Taylor Expansion

Canonical Approach

Density of State

Complex Langevin

### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line?
- 6. What should we do next?
- 7. Summary

Canonical Approach proposed by A.Hasenfratz and Toussaint in 1992 to solve the sign problem. But it did not work. We traced the cause and solve it with multiple precision numerical calculations

Canonical Approach  

$$Z(\mu, T) \bigoplus Z_n(T)$$
Grand Canonical  

$$Z(\mu, T) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-(H-\mu\hat{N})/T}$$
If  $[H, \hat{N}] = 0$ 

$$= \sum_{n} \langle n|e^{-(H-\mu\hat{N})/T}|n \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n} \langle n|e^{-H/T}|n \rangle e^{\mu n/T}$$

$$= \sum_{n} Z_n(T)\xi^n \qquad (\xi \equiv e^{\mu/T})$$
Fugacity

Personal History about fighting against Sign Problem



#### 

☆Keitaro Nagata and Atsushi Nakamura Phys. Rev. D82,094027 (arXiv:1009.2149)
☆A. Alexandru and U. Wenger Phys.Rev.D83:034502,2011 (arXiv:1009.2197)
☆ One more group

### For KS Fermions, the reduction formula was known.

#### Gibbs Formula(\*)

• P.E.Gibbs, Phys.Lett. B172 (1986) 53-61

$$\det \Delta = z^{-N} \begin{vmatrix} -B(-V) - z & 1 \\ -V^2 & -z \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} BV & 1 \\ -V^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - zI \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \det (P - zI)$$
$$= \prod (\lambda_i - z) P$$

P is 
$$(2 \times N_c \times N_x \times N_y \times N_z)^2$$
  
(Matrix Reduction)

Determinant for any value of  $\mu$ 

\*) A similar formula was developped by Neuberger (1997) for a chiral fermion and applied by Kikukawa(1998).





The same matrix transformation like KS case cannot be employed, due to the fact that

 $r \pm \gamma_4$  have no inverse, if the Wilson term r = 1. Gibbs started to multiply V to the fermion matrix  $\Delta$ . Instead, we multiply  $P = (c_a r_- + c_b r_+ V z^{-1})$ 

#### Here,



23

 $c_a$  and  $c_b$  are arbitary non-zero numbers.

$$\det P = (c_a c_b z^{-1})^{N/2}$$

if we take the following trick, Borici (2004)  $r_{+}r_{-} = \frac{r^{2} - 1}{4} = \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ where  $r_{\pm} \equiv \frac{r \pm \gamma_{4}}{2}$ 

After very long calculation (See Nagata-Nakamura arXiv:1009.2149), we get

$$\det \Delta(\mu) = (c_a c_b)^{-N/2} z^{-N/2}$$
$$\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N_t} \det(\alpha_i)\right) \det \left(z^{N_t} + Q\right)$$



In case of KS matrix, the corresponding matrix is  $(2N_cN_xN_yN_z) \times (2N_cN_xN_yN_z)$ 

Diagonalize Q,  

$$Q \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_{N_{red}} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $det(\xi + Q) = \prod (\xi + \lambda_n) \qquad \lambda_n \text{ does not depend on } \mu.$ 



$$\det(\xi + Q) = \prod(\xi + \lambda_k) = \sum C_n \xi^n$$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}U \det \Delta e^{-\beta S_G}$$
Fugacity
Expansion !
$$Z = \sum_n \left(\int \mathcal{D}UC_n e^{-\beta S_G}\right) \xi^n$$

$$= \sum_n z_n \xi^n$$

$$\xi \equiv e^{\mu/T}$$
26

### **Fugacity Expansion**

$$Z(\mu, T) = \sum_{n} z_n(T) (e^{\mu/T})^n$$

 $Z(\mu,T)$  : Grand Canonical Partition Function  $z_n(T)$  : Canonical Partition Function

Inverse transformation:

 $z_n$  can be determined in imaginary  $\mu$  regions.

This is Canonical approach by

A.Hasenfratz and Toussaint (1992)

$$Z_n = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{in\theta} Z(\theta = \frac{\mu_I}{T})$$

In pure Imaginary  $\mu$  , there is no sign problem.

It was known that this method does not work.

Check by an analytic method (Winding Number Expansion)

$$Z_n = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{in\theta} Z(\theta = \frac{\mu_I}{T}) \quad \text{A. Hasenfratz and D. Toussaint}$$

$$Z(\mu) = \int DU \det \Delta(\mu) e^{-S_G}$$
Kentucky: Winding Number Expansion  
Meng et al., 2008  
The original method does not work  
due to numerical errors.  

$$\det \Delta = \exp(Tr \log \Delta)$$





 ${m n}$ 

— Take  $W_n$  for  $|n| \leq 6$  and do the Fourier Trans. analytically.



$$\boldsymbol{Z_n} = \int \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i\theta n} Z_{GC}(\theta \equiv \frac{\mathrm{Im}\mu}{T}, T)$$

Using Multiple-precision, we have beaten Sign Problem.

But to make Canonical Approach workable, we had to solve 2 problems:

- 1.  $Z_{GC}$  is not a direct observable in lattice QCD
- 2. We should perform simulations at many imaginary points.

Integration Method  
Not 
$$Z_G$$
 but  $n_B$  in imaginary  $\mu \rightarrow Z_n$   
 $n_B = \frac{1}{3V}T\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\log Z_G$   
 $= \frac{N_f}{3N_s^3N_t}\int \mathcal{D}Ue^{-S_G}\mathrm{Tr}\Delta^{-1}\frac{\partial\Delta}{\partial\mu}\det\Delta$   
(For pure imaginary  $\mu$ ,  $n_B$  is also imaginary)

Then, for fixed T

$$Z(\theta \equiv \frac{\mu}{T}) = \exp(V \int_0^\theta n_B d\theta')$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/3}^{+\pi/3} d\theta \exp\left(i\,k\theta + \int_{0}^{\theta} n_{B}d\theta'\right)$$

### Power of GPU !

Vladimir' Code has produced 11 papers in 2017 and 2018 on a small GPU machine.



V.G. Bornyakov, D. Boyda, V. Goy, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev and V.I. Zakharov Lattice Study of QCD Phase Structure by Canonical Approach'', EPJ Web of Conferences 175, 07033 (2018)

M. Wakayama, V.G. Borynakov, D.L. Boyda, V.A. Goy, H. Iida, A.V. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, V.I. Zakharov Lee-Yang zeros in lattice QCD at finite baryon density from canonical approach'', arXiv:1802.02014 [hep-lat]

``Lattice QCD at finite baryon density using analytic continuation'', V.Bornyakov, D. Boyda, V. Goy, H. Iida, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev, M. Wakayama and V.I. Zakharov

EPJ Web of Conferences 18 (2018) 20201 V.Bornyakov, D. Boyda, V. Goy, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev and V.I. Zakharov "Restoring canonical partition functions from imaginary chemical potential", EPJ Web of Conferences 175, 07027 (2018)

D. Boyda, V.G. Bornyakov, V. Goy, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev, V.I. Zakharov Lattice Study of QCD Phase Structure by Canonical Approach – Towards determining the phase transition line arXiv:1704.03980 [hep-lat]

V. G. Bornyakov, D. L. Boyda, V. A. Goy, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, B. V. Martemyanov, A. V. Molochkov, Atsushi Nakamura, A. A. Nikolaev and V. I. Zakharov Dyons and Roberge - Weiss transition in lattice QCD EPJ Web of Conferences, Volume 137 (2017) 03002

V. G. Bornyakov, D. L. Boyda, V. A. Goy, A. V. Molochkov, Atsushi Nakamura, A. A. Nikolaev and V. I. Zakharov Study of lattice QCD at finite baryon density using the canonical approach EPJ Web of Conferences, Volume 137 (2017) 07017 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/ epjconf/201713707017

V. A. Goy, V. Bornyakov, D. Boyda, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev, V. Zakharov Sign problem in finite density lattice QCD Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 031D01 DOI: 10.1093/ptep/ptx018

D. L. Boyda, V. G. Bornyakov, V. A. Goy, V. I. Zakharov, A. V. Molochkov, Atsushi Nakamura, A. A. Nikolaev Novel approach to deriving the canonical generating functional in lattice QCD at a finite chemical potential JETP Letters 104, 657–661 V. G. Bornyakov, D. L. Boyda, V. A. Goy, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, B.V. Martemyanov, A. V. Molochkov, Atsushi Nakamura, A. A. Nikolaev, V. I. Zakharov Dyons and Roberge – Weiss transition in lattice QCD EPJ Web of Conferences, 137, 03002, (2016)

V.G. Bornyakov, D. Boyda, V. Goy, A. Molochkov, A. Nakamura, A. Nikolaev, V.I. Zakharov Restoring canonical partition functions from imaginary chemical potential arXiv:1712.01515 [hep-lat]



GPU machine "Vostok 1" at Vladivostok

#### Hasenfratz-Toussant

#### +

- Multi-precision calculation
- Integration Method



I thought we have beaten Sign Problem.

But !



### References

#### A.Li et al.(Kentucky), Phys.Rev.D82:054502,2010, arXiv:1005.4158

A.Suzuki et al.(Zn Collaboration), Lattice 2016 Proceedings,

V.Goy et al.(Vladivostok), Prog Theor Exp Phys (2017) (3): 031D01,arXiv:1611.08093

Where comes the phase of  $z_n$  ?

A.Li et al.(Kentucky), Phys.Rev.D82:054502,2010, arXiv:1005.4158

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}U \left(\det \Delta(\mu)\right)^{N_f} e^{-S_G} = e^{\log(1-\kappa Q)}$$
  

$$\det \Delta(\mu) = \det(1-\kappa Q(\mu))$$
  

$$= \exp\left(A_0 + \sum_{n>0} [e^{in\phi}W_n + e^{-in\phi}W_n^{\dagger}]\right)$$
  

$$= \exp\left(A_0 + \sum_n A_n \cos(n\phi + \delta_n)\right)$$
  

$$A_n \equiv 2|W_n| \qquad \text{We use } W_{-n} = W_n$$
  

$$\delta_n \equiv \arg(W_n)$$
  
Then,  

$$Z_n \propto \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} e^{-ik\phi} e^{A_0 + A_1} \cos(\phi + \delta_1) + A_1 \cos(2\phi + \delta_2) \cdots$$

#### In the lowest order,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi} e^{-ik\phi} e^{A_{0}+A_{1}\cos(\phi+\delta_{1})} = e^{A_{0}} \int_{\delta_{1}}^{2\pi+\delta_{1}} \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} e^{-ik(\phi'-\delta_{1})} e^{A_{1}\cos\phi'}$$

$$= e^{A_{0}+ik\delta_{1}} \int_{\delta_{1}}^{2\pi+\delta_{1}} \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} e^{-ik\phi'} e^{A_{1}\cos\phi'}$$

$$= e^{A_{0}+ik\delta_{1}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} e^{-ik\phi'} e^{A_{1}\cos\phi'}$$

$$= e^{A_{0}+ik\delta_{1}} I_{k}(A_{1})$$

 $\propto z_k$ 

where we use

$$I_n(z) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{z \cos t} e^{-int} dt$$

## A Remark of Function Form of $n_B(\mu_I)$



### Number density in Imaginary

#### We expand the number density as

$$n_{B}(\theta)/T^{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} f_{3k} \sin(k\theta) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Confinement phase} \\ T < T_{c} \end{array}$$

$$n_{B}(\theta)/T^{3} = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} a_{2k-1}\theta^{2k-1} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{DeConfinement phase} \\ T > T_{c} \end{array}$$

$$\theta \equiv \frac{\mu}{T}$$

Fitting functions are much more robust against the hidden sign problem, because a fitting curve include many points. Of course they are correlated, therefore, the careful error estimation is important.

### Hasenfratz-Toussant

- Multi-precision calculation
- Integration Method
- Fourier/Polynomial Expansion of  $\langle n \rangle$
- Now we can say we have beaten Sign Problem for T>0 by Canonical Approach.



╋

Now it's time for Champagne!

Sign Problem is now solved for T>0, and it is time to analyze the finite density QCD. But people do not recognize it. Why ?



People believe Sign Problem will be solved after several hundred years by a genius.

Not now by you !





### DISCLAIMER

Good. So I want to apply for T=0.

Great. I want to apply for mu/T=10^3.

Sorry, not applicable.  $Z(\mu, T) = \sum z_n(T)(e^{\mu/T})^n$  $\boldsymbol{n}$ d'Alembert:  $\frac{z_{n+1}e^{(n+1)\mu/T}}{z_n e^{n\mu/T}} < 1$  $z_{n+1}/z_n < e^{-\mu/T}$ 

### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line?
- 6. What should we do next?

### In 2012, at Wuhan



Experimental data and Fugacity Expansion  $Z(\mu, T) = \sum_{n} z_n(T)(e^{\mu/T})^n$ 



n

#### D.Boyda noticed we can

extract T,mu and V.

#### Proton multiplicity: Lattice data

Probability interpretation:







$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 39 \text{GeV}$$
  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 62.4 \text{GeV}$ 



$$\frac{P_n}{P_0} = \frac{Z_n(T)}{Z_0(T)} \times (e^{\mu/T})^n$$

$$\sqrt{s}_{NN} = 19.6 {\rm GeV} \qquad \qquad \sqrt{s}_{NN} = 27 {\rm GeV}$$



$$\frac{P_n}{P_0} = \frac{Z_n(T)}{Z_0(T)} \times (e^{\mu/T})^n$$



<sup>52 /67</sup> 

#### Density vs Collision Energy



Boyda: Canonical method Alba et al., Phys.Lett. B738, 305 (2014) Cleymans et al., Phys. Rev. C, 73 (2006), p. 034905

#### Comparisson with RHIC experiment

A. Nakamura, K. Nagata PTEP, 033D01 (2016) RHIC STAR data (Luo X. CEJP 10, 1372 (2012))

Probability interpretation:

 $1 = \sum_{n} \frac{Z_n \xi^n}{Z_{GC}(\mu)}, \quad \xi = e^{\mu/T} \qquad \frac{N(n)}{N(0)} = \frac{N Z_n \xi^n / Z_{GC}(\mu)}{N Z_0 \xi^0 / Z_{GC}(\mu)} = Z_n \xi^n / Z_0$ Multiplicity:  $P_n = Z_n \xi^n \quad \Rightarrow \quad Z_n = P_n P_{-n} \text{ is } \xi = \sqrt[2n]{\frac{P_n}{P_{-n}}}$ 

Extracted fugacity  $\xi(=e^{\mu/T})$  agreed with HRG model estimation

$\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ , GeV	J. Cleynams	P. Alba	A. Nakamura
11.5	8.04	11.1	7.48
19.6	3.62	3.65	3.21
27.0	2.62	2.58	2.43
39.0	1.98	1.93	1.88
62.4	1.55	1.55	1.53
200.0	1.18	1.18	1.18

J. Cleaymans et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 034905 (2006) P. Alba et al., Phys. Let. B 738, 305 (2014) Multiplicity: RHIC experiment parameters -  $\mu$ 



Multiplicity: RHIC experiment parameters - T



#### Multiplicity: RHIC experiment parameters - V





### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line?
- 6. What should we do next ?
- 7. Summary

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

Information hidden in Fugacity Expansion ?

$$Z(\mu, T) = \sum_{n} z_n(T)(e^{\mu/T})^n$$

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Lee-Yang zeros

Phys. Lett. B, 2019

Wakayama, V. Bornyakov, Boyda, Goy, Iida, Molochkov, Nakamura and Zakharov

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Content

- 1. Introduction Finite Density Regions
- 2. Sign Problem
- 3. Canonical Approach
- 4. Experimental data at RHIC Higher Moments
- 5. How to find QCD phase transition line?
- 6. What should we do next?
- 7. Summary

### What should we do next ?

Let the world to know that the Sign Problem was solved by Vladivostok group

☆ Canonical approach + Multiple precision beat the sign problem

Quark mass in the present lattice QCD calculation is very heavy, and we want go to more realistic quark masses.

☆ Physical quak mass lattice simulations have been done by several groups at zero density. (Algorithm is known)

- Study s-quark effects.
- Wigner function

### It is time to study QGP physics

#### Beyong Equilibrium

Wigner function of QGP  
$$W(x,p) = \int \frac{d^4y}{(2\pi\hbar)^4} < \bar{\psi}(x+\frac{y}{2})\psi(x-\frac{y}{2})) >$$

Quantum Analog of the distribution function, f(x,p)

🝚 Iida, Hatsuda, Baym

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

Gauge Invariant Wigner Function

$$W_{\alpha\beta}(x,p) = \int \frac{d^4y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ipy} \langle \bar{\psi}_\beta(x_+) e^{-ig \int_{x_-}^{x_+} A_\mu(x) dz^\mu} \psi_\alpha(x_-) \rangle$$

K.Yagi, T.Hatsuda andY.Miake, "Quark-Gluon Plasma" (Cambridge University Press)

On the lattice

$$\begin{split} f(\vec{p}, \vec{x}, \tau) &= \int d\vec{y} e^{i\vec{p}\vec{y}} \langle 0 | \bar{\psi}^a_\alpha (\vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, \tau) \Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_\mu \\ \times W^{ab} (\vec{x} + \vec{y}/2, \vec{x} - \vec{y}/2; \tau_0, \tau) \psi^b_\beta (\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, \tau) | 0 \rangle \end{split}$$

#### W:Wilson line

![](_page_65_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_7.jpeg)

What should we do next ? (continue)

Lattice Simulations in NICA /J-PARC  $(\mu, T)$  regions, and study hadronic properties there.

67

0

### Summary

For T>0, Sign problem is beaten by Canonical approach with

☆ Multi-precision calculation

Integration Method

☆Fourier/Polynomial Expansion of the number density.

We can study Heavy Ion Collisions now.

### Backup Slides

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

chi2/ndof, fm

$$Z = \sum_{n} z_{n} (e^{\mu/T})^{n}$$
$$= z_{0} + 2 \sum_{n>0} z_{n} \cos(n\frac{\mu_{I}}{T})$$
$$= z_{0} + 2 \sum_{n>0} z_{n} \cosh(n\frac{\mu}{T})$$
$$\mu = i \operatorname{Im} \mu$$