## Approaches to Manage Computational Cluster Resources

Gaiduchok V. Ahmed N. Rukovchuk V. Ivanov P. Kamande M.

#### GRID'2016

## Agenda

Introduction

Tests

Approaches

Traditional approach

Virtualization and clouds

Proposed approach

Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Introduction

- The cluster architecture is the most widely used one novadays.
- There are many views on cluster management.
- The task of heterogeneous cluster management is very difficult today.
- Administrators should set resource sharing policies that will meet different requirements.
- Users want to compute their tasks fast while organizations want their resources to be utilized efficiently.

## Introduction

- Users run different applications with different scalability.
- An average application could use many libraries with different performance.
- Geterogeneous computing could impose new complexities.
- Traditional schedulers do not allow administrator to efficiently solve problems.
- User should estimates many parameters in order to achieve good performance and overall system utilization.



(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



#### GPGPU LINPACK benchmark

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙





Nbody test

# Approaches

- No management system. Maintenance, system utilization, user convenience.
- Classical management system. System utilization, user convenience

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ▶ Single system image. *Fault tolerance.*
- Virtualization with manual VM creation.
  Overheads, maintenance.
- Cloud. Overheads.
- Special APIs (integration with scheduler).
  Application rewriting.
- Other approaches.

## Traditional approach



PBS scheme

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Traditional approach

- Implements the classical scheme (Portable Batch system).
- Several implementations (TORQUE, PBS Professional, etc).
- Dynamic resource reallocation is usually not possible.
- Resource reservation can be changed only by user or administrator.

Scarce accounting information.

## Virtualization and clouds

- Virtual machines could be used for convenient access to cluster resources.
- Organization could create private clouds.
- Virtual machines could be used to compute tasks, but it could introduce some overheads.
- Moreover, one will not be able (usually) to distinguish the task itself.
- Virtual machines could be created when neccessary, e.g. for security reasons.

## Proposed approach



New approach based on PBS scheme

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Proposed approach

- Dynamic resource reallocation.
- Profiling, detailed accounting and monitoring.
- Flexible resource reservation.
- Predictions module.
- User rating.
- Native API.
- Modules can be used within existing PBS.
- Small overheads.
- Especially benficial in case of underload or overload.

## Proposed approach

- The described system is designed for applications that use traditional APIs.
- Effective solution is a new API.
- Such API implies tigth cooperation with the scheduler.
- Dynamic resource reallocation could solve the resource utilization problem.
- API implies step by step resource allocation up to allowable maximum with detailed monitoring.
- A special algorithm is used in order to orchestrate the nodes of the cluster for efficient network communication.

## Conclusions

- Resource management for scientific computations sometimes can be challenging.
- Dynamic resource reallocation could lead to effective resource utilization.
- Scheduling of applications can be done using the described approach.
- Virtual machines could be created when necessary.
- Transition to the new API can take time, but it could be considered as a way to improve utilization of a heterogeneous complex.



#### Thank you!