

BM@N first results



M.Kapishin for the BM@N Collaboration





NICA Heavy Ion Complex



BM@N: heavy ion energy 1 - 4.5 GeV/n, beams: p to Au, Intensity ~few 10⁶ /s (Au)



Three meetings on formation of the MPD and BM@N Collaborations

carried out in Dubna in 2018 and April 2019

BM@N Collaboration: 21 Institutions from 11 countries, 230 participants

M.Kapishin

Heavy Ion Collision Experiments

8

NN

0.2

Ъ

0 0

VS-VSth (GeV)

I. In A+A collisions at Nuclotron energies:

Opening thresholds for strange and multistrange hyperon production

10-3

10-4

10-5

10-6

EC+C Ni+Ni

□ 0 K*

→ strangeness at threshold

Need more precise data for strange mesons and hyperons, multi- variable distributions, unexplored energy range

Collective flows v₁, v₂

II. In *p+p*, *p+n*, *p+A* collisions:

Adron production in elementary reactions and ,cold' nuclear matter as ,reference' to pin down nuclear effects

BM@N

M.Kapishin

BM@N experiment

-0.2

Heavy-ions A+A: Study of the EoS with strangeness

The nuclear dynamics is defined by the
 EoS (via density dependent NN-interaction)

Observables sensitive to EoS: collective flow (v₁,v₂,...) particle ratios

Direct information – proton v₁,v₂ Alternative information – via strangeness

□ Experience from SIS and AGS : ratio of K⁺ yield Au+Au/C+C at SIS energies and proton v₁,v₂ favor a soft EoS (somewhat sensitive to the details of models)

Density dependence of the EoS can be studied in BM@N by a beam energy scan

Explore high density baryonic matter

Baryonic densities in central Au+Au collisions

I.C. Arsene at al., Phys. Rev. C75 (2007) 34902.

EOS of symmetric and asymmetric nuclear matter

Ch. Fuchs and H.H. Wolter, EPJA 30 (2006) 5

EOS: relation between density, pressure, temperature, energy and isospin asymmetry

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{A}}(\rho,\delta) = \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{A}}(\rho,0) + \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{sym}}(\rho) \cdot \delta^2$$

with $\delta = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho$

Curvature defined by nuclear incompressibility: $K = 9\rho^2 \delta^2 (E/A)/\delta \rho^2$

Study symmetric matter EOS at ρ =3-5 ρ ⁰ \rightarrow elliptic flow of protons, mesons and hyperons

 \rightarrow sub-threshold production of strange mesons and hyperons

Constrain symmetry energy E_{sym} \rightarrow elliptic flow of neutrons vs protons \rightarrow sub-threshold production of particles with opposite isospin 8 **BM@N** experiment

M.Kapishin

Heavy-ions A+A: Hypernuclei production

BM@N

In heavy-ion reactions: production of hypernuclei through coalescence of Λ with light fragments enhanced at high baryon densities

D Maximal yield predicted for $\sqrt{s}=4-5A$ GeV (stat. model) (interplay of Λ and light nuclei excitation function)

BM@N energy range is suited for search of hyper-nuclei

M.Kapishin

Nuclotron and BM@N beam line

26 elements of magnetic optics:

- \rightarrow 8 dipole magnets
- \rightarrow 18 quadruple lenses

Requirements for Au beam:

Minimum dead material

 \rightarrow need to replace air intervals / foils with

Configuration of BM@N detector for heavy ion program (without beampipe)

BM@N

- Central tracker inside analyzing magnet \rightarrow 6 GEM detectors 163 x 45 cm^2 and forward Si strip detectors for tracking
- ToF system, trigger detectors, hadron and EM calorimeters, outer tracker

 \rightarrow Partial coverage of BM@N design configuration

Program:

- Measure inelastic reactions Ar (Kr) + target \rightarrow X on targets AI, Cu, Sn, Pb
- \rightarrow Hyperon production measured in central tracker (Si + GEM)
- \rightarrow Charged particles and nuclear fragments identified with ToF
- \rightarrow Gamma and multi-gamma states identified in ECAL

+ analyze data from previous technical run with Carbon beam of 3.5 - 4.5 GeV/n

M.Kapishin

BM@N set-up in Ar, Kr run, March 2018

CSC chamber

ToF-400 installation

BM@N

New detector components: 6 big GEMs, trigger detectors, 3 Si detectors, CSC chamber, full set of ToF detectors

BM@N setup behind magnet, 2018

Silicon + GEM central tracker in Ar, Kr runs

3 Forward Si detectors and 6 GEM detectors

Ar+Cu interaction reconstructed in central tracker

M.Kapishin

ToF-400 and ToF-700 based on mRPC

ToF-700 wall

BM@N Status of TOF-400 particle identification

β

First expected results:

- Ratio of K⁺/π⁺ in Ar nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 3.2 AGeV
- Ratio of K⁺/π⁺ in Kr nucleus interactions at beam kinetic energy of 2.4 AGeV

BM@N BMN & SRC program

to study SRC with hard inverse kinematic reactions

First SRC @ BMN run in March 2018

TEL AUIU UNIVERSITY

TECHNISCHE

UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Objectives:

- identify 2N-SRC events with inverse kinematics
- study isospin decomposition of 2N-SRC
- study A-2 spectator nuclear system

First expected result:

 Study A-2 residual system after SRC knockout

Identification of A-2 system

M.Kapishin

Λ hyperon production in 4A GeV Carbon-BM@N nucleus interactions

 $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^{-}$ decay reconstruction in Si+GEM tracker in C+C interaction

Event topology:

- \checkmark **PV** primary vertex
- ✓ V_0 vertex of hyperon decay
- \checkmark *dca* distance of the closest approach
- ✓ *path* decay length

Analysis without PID

- Yield of Λ in C+C, C+AI, C+ Cu minimum bias interactions in dependence on rapidity y* in c.m.s. $y^* = y_{lab}$ -1.17
- ► y* spectrum becomes softer with increase of target atomic weight
- Data compared with predictions of DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models
- ► DCM-QGSM overestimates data in C+C interactions, but more compatible with data measured with heavier targets (C+Cu)

► UrQMD predictions are below data for heavier targets, but in better agreement for C+C

M.Kapishin

Λ hyperon invariant p_T spectra in 4A GeV BM@N Carbon-nucleus interactions

 Fit of invariant p_T spectra of Λ yields in C+C, C+AI, C+Cu minimum bias interactions by function:

$$1/p_T \cdot d^2 N/dp_T dy = A \cdot exp(-(m_T - m_\Lambda)/T), \quad m_T = \sqrt{(m_\Lambda^2 + p_T^2)}$$

• Inv slope *T* in comparison with predictions of DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models

	<i>T</i> [MeV] <i>C</i> + <i>C</i>	<i>T</i> [MeV] <i>C</i> + <i>Al</i>	<i>T</i> [MeV] <i>C</i> + <i>Cu</i>
BM@N Preliminary	$98 \pm 24 \pm 25$	$157\pm24\pm12$	$160 \pm 27 \pm 21$
DCM-QGSM	122	129	131
UrQMD	107	127	132

M.Kapishin

A hyperon yield and cross section in 4 AGeV Carbon-nucleus interactions

	C+C	C+Al	C+Cu
Λ yield in the measured kinematic range 0.1< p_T <1.05 GeV/c, 0.03< y^* <0.93	$0.0214 \pm 0.0023 \pm 0.0024$	$0.0431 \pm 0.0034 \pm 0.0035$	$0.0561 \pm 0.0039 \pm 0.0047$
Λ yield in the full kinematic range, $M_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ N part DCM-QGSM	$0.0589 \pm 0.0063 \pm 0.0065$ 9	$0.133 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.011$ 13.4	$0.239 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.020$ 23
Λ min bias cross section $σ_Λ^{2)}$ [mb]	$48.9 \pm 5.2 \pm 5.1$	$167 \pm 13 \pm 13$	$427 \pm 30 \pm 29$

- 1) Used averaged extrapolation factor from DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models
- 2) $\sigma_{\Lambda} = M_{\Lambda} \cdot \sigma_{\text{inel}}$

 \rightarrow add results for 3.5 and 4.5 AGeV Carbon beam data

Forward Si, STS and GEM detectors

2 times better momentum resolution

For heavy ion beam intensities few 10^6 Hz \rightarrow keep 4 STS + 7 GEM

2371.8

1459.2

 \rightarrow fast FEE and readout electronics

Beam parameters and setup at different stages of BM@N experiment

BM@N

Year	2016	2017 spring	2018 spring	fall 2020- 2021	2022 and later
Beam	d(↑)	С	Ar,Kr, C(SRC)	C,Kr,Xe	up to Au
Max.inten sity, Hz	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	0.5M	2M
Trigger rate, Hz	5k	5k	10k	10k	20k→50k
Central tracker status	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes	6 GEM half planes + 3 forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si planes	7 GEM full planes + forward Si + large STS planes
Experiment al status	technical run	technical run	technical run+physics	stage1 physics	stage2 physics

BM@N present status and next plans

- BM@N scientific program comprises studies of nuclear matter in intermediate range between SIS-18 and NICA/FAIR
- BM@N technical runs performed with carbon beam of T₀ = 3.5 4.5 AGeV, Ar beam of 3.2 AGeV and Kr beam of 2.4 (2.9) AGeV on fixed targets
- Measurement of Short Range Correlations performed with inverse kinematics: C beam + H₂ target
- First physics results obtained on Λ yields in C + C, AI, Cu interactions
- Reconstruction and analysis of interactions of Ar, Kr beams with targets and SRC data are progressing
- BM@N is on the way for heavy ion high intensity runs in 2020 and later:
- Extend central tracker with large aperture STS silicon detectors in front of GEM setup (in collaboration with CBM)

Thank you for attention!

M.Kapishin

Study of EoS: Collective flow of identified particles

Azimuthal angle distribution: $dN/d\phi \propto (1 + 2v_1 \cos \phi + 2v_2 \cos 2\phi)$

~3

-5

Nuclear incompressibility: $K = 9\rho^2 \delta^2(E/A)/\delta\rho^2$

Pmax/po:

P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey, W.G. Lynch, Science 298 (2002) 1592

M.Kapishin

 ρ_{max}/ρ_0 :

GEM tracker: acceptance / momentum resolution / detection efficiency

Momentum resolution / detection efficiency

BM@N

Upgrade of central tracker with CBM STS BM@N

STS-1

Team: LHEP JINR, MSU, GSI, Tübingen University

STS-2

M.Kapishin

Total: 292 modules, ~600k channels

measured kinematic range $0.1 < p_T < 1.05 \text{ GeV/c}, 0.03 < y^* < 0.93$

- Yield of Λ in C+C, C+AI, C+ Cu minimum bias interactions in dependence on transverse momentum p_T
- Data compared with predictions of DCM-QGSM and UrQMD models
- ► shapes of p_T spectra are compatible with models

- Focus on tests and commissioning of central tracker inside analyzing magnet \rightarrow 5 GEM detectors 66 x 41cm² + 2 GEM detectors 163 x 45 cm² and 1 plane of Si detector for tracking
- Test / calibrate ToF, T0+Trigger barrel detector, full ZDC, part of ECAL

Program:

- Trace beam through detectors, align detectors, measure beam momentum in mag. field of 0.3 0.85 T
- Measure inelastic reactions C + target \rightarrow X with 3.5 4.5 AGeV carbon beam on targets C, AI, Cu, Pb