Physics at the LHC

E.E.Boos Moscow State University (SINP MSU)

"Cosmology, Strings, New Physics" BLTP, JINR , 28 Aug – 10 Sept, 2016

- 1. What is the LHC? LHC physics programme
- 2. What did we know before the LHC start? Introduction to the Standard Model
- 3. What the LHC experiments tell us? Confirmation of SM, Higgs discovery, BSM searches in RUN1 and RUN2



What is the LHC?

LHC is one the most complicated and expensive project in fundamental science (4 detectors: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, ALICE)

CMS

CMS

Gh

RN Prévessi

RN4'SAAAAAAAAA

ALICE

ALICE

SPS_7 km

LHC collider (4 detectors: ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, ALICE) 27 km circumference, about 100 m underground



September 10 (2008) – first beams at 400 GeV September 19 (2008) – an accident

> 2010 - 2011 run at 7 TeV 2012 - run at 8 TeV RUN1

2015 - RUN2 starts at 13 TeV => Latest results at ICHEP'2016



In units $harf{f}=c=1$ 1/GeV $\approx 2*10^{-14}$ cm

More energy one transfers
smaller distances one can probe $\Delta X^* \Delta P \ge 1/2$

100 GeV -> 10⁻¹⁶ cm 1 TeV -> 10⁻¹⁷ cm 10 TeV -> 10⁻¹⁸ cm LHC -> 10⁻¹⁷ - 10⁻¹⁸ cm





30 March 2010 LHC&7TeV has started























LHC is supposed to give answers on very fundamental questions

What is an origin of the EW symmetry breaking? Does the Higgs boson exist? What is a possible content of DM? What is a next "step" after SM? ...

LHC physics programme

ATLAS and CMS (multipurpose detectors), ALICE and LHCb (dedicated detectors)

Detail studies of various SM processes (including diffraction) and comparisons to NLO (Next to Leading Order), NNLO computations

Search for the Higgs boson in various production and decay modes, measurements of Higgs properties

Search for various deviations from the SM and possible BSM manifestations

Detail studies of b-physics, b-meson oscillations,CP violation, rear decays, BSM in loops

Detail studies of strongly interacting quark-gluon color medium (quark-gluon plasma) Study properties of medium (energy density, temperature, pressure, entropy, viscosity, sound velocity...) in order to understand better nonperturbative QCD and our Universe much closer to the Big Bang

What did we know before the LHC start?

Standard Model of strong and electroweak interactions is the basis for understanding of nature at extremely small distances

The Standard Model						
F	Fermions					
Quarks	C charm S strange	t top b bottom	γ photon Z boson			
Leptons	V _µ muon neutrino M muon	V _T tau neutrino T tau	W boson g			
Source: American Association for the Advancement of Sc The Economist	ience; *Co	onfirmation ju	Higgs* boson			

SM is the quantum field theory

basic requirements:

1. Well known U(1)_{em} electromagnetic interactions of leptons and quarks with charges $Q_e = -1$, $Q_v = 0$, $Q_u = 2/3$, $Q_d = -1/3$

2. (V-A) structure of charged currents (Fermi interation) $L = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\mu} \gamma_{\sigma} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_{\mu} \bar{e} \gamma_{\sigma} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e + h.e. \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad J_{\ell} \sim \bar{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_{\ell}$ Only left components $\Psi = \frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \Psi + \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \Psi = \Psi_L + \Psi_R$

3. Independence of the Lagrangian on the arbitrary field phase

> the gauge character of interactions

4. Renormalizability and unitarity

 \implies The dimension of the terms (operators) in the SM Lagrangian should not be more than 4

- 5. Absence of chiral anomalies
- 6. Three generations of leptons and quarks

Fermions in each generation are combined to Left doublets and Right singlets with respect to the weak isospin



 $f_{L,R} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp \gamma_5)f$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ e \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} \nu_\tau \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}_L \\ e_R & \mu_R & \tau_R \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}_L \begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L \\ u_R, d_R & c_R, s_R & t_R, b_R.$$

Both left and right charged leptons have electric charge -1, Neutrinos are only left and neutral, u-type quarks have electric charge +2/3 and d-type -1/3

All quarks are left triplets

 $SU_L(2) \otimes U_Y(1)$

Weak isospin group SU_L(2) Weak hypercharge group U_y(1)

SM Gauge group $SU(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y} \times SU(3)_{c}$

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} (W^{\mu\nu})^{i} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} (G^{\mu\nu})^{a} + \sum_{f=\ell,q} \bar{\Psi}^{f}_{L} (iD^{L}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}) \Psi^{f}_{L} + \sum_{f=\ell,q} \bar{\Psi}^{f}_{R} (iD^{R}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}) \Psi^{f}_{R}$$

$$\begin{split} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}W^{i}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}W^{i}_{\mu} + g_{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}W^{j}_{\mu}W^{k}_{\nu} \qquad \qquad i = 1, 2, 3; \ a = 1, \dots, 8, \\ B_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu} \\ G^{a}_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu} + g_{S}f^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu} \\ D^{L}_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - ig_{2}W^{i}_{\mu}\tau^{i} - ig_{1}B_{\mu}\left(\frac{Y^{f}_{L}}{2}\right) - ig_{S}A^{a}_{\mu}t^{a} \\ D^{R}_{\mu} &= \partial_{\mu} - ig_{1}B_{\mu}\left(\frac{Y^{f}_{R}}{2}\right) - ig_{S}A^{a}_{\mu}t^{a} \\ \end{split}$$

 g_{s} coupling constant is 0 for leptons

Gauge and fermion fields are chosen to be in adjoined and in fundamental representations respectively Interactions of charged gauge bosons with fermions have (V-A) stucture

$$\begin{split} W^{\pm}_{\mu} &= \left(W^{1}_{\mu} \mp iW^{2}_{\mu}\right)/\sqrt{2} \\ L^{\ell}_{CC} &= \frac{g_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\nu}_{e_{L}}\gamma_{\mu}W^{+}_{\mu}e_{L} + h.c. = \frac{g_{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\nu}_{e}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})W^{+}_{\mu}e + h.c. \\ L^{q}_{CC} &= \frac{g_{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})W^{+}_{\mu}d + \frac{g_{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})W^{-}_{\mu}u \end{split}$$

Neutral EW gauge bosons are mixed such that one on the component A has well known electromagnetic interations with fermions. Another component Z - is a neutral vector field predicted by the theory

$$W^{3}_{\mu} = Z_{\mu} \cos \theta_{W} + A_{\mu} \sin \theta_{W}$$
$$L_{NC} = e \sum_{f} Q_{f} J^{em}_{f\mu} A^{\mu} + \frac{e}{4 \sin \theta_{W} \cos \theta_{W}} \cdot \sum_{f} J^{Z}_{f\mu} Z^{\mu}$$

$$J_{f\mu}^{em} = \bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}f, \ Q_{\nu} = 0, \ Q_{e} = -1, \ Q_{u} = 2/3, \ Q_{d} = -1/3, \qquad Y_{R}^{\ell} = 2Y_{L}^{\ell}$$

$$J_{f\mu}^{Z} = \bar{f}\gamma_{\mu}[v_{f} - a_{f}\gamma_{5}]f$$

$$Y_{P}^{\ell} = -3Y_{L}^{q}$$

$$Y_{P}^{u} + Y_{P}^{d} = 2Y_{L}^{q}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{u_i} &= 1 - \frac{8}{3} s_W^2, \quad a_{u_i} = 1; \quad v_{d_i} = -1 + \frac{4}{3} s_W^2, \quad a_{d_i} = -1 \\ v_\ell &= -1 + 4 s_W^2, \quad a_\ell = -1; \quad v_\nu = 1, \quad a_\nu = 1. \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbf{v_f} = \mathbf{2T_3}^{\mathbf{f}} - \mathbf{4Q_f s_W}^{\mathbf{2}}, \quad \mathbf{a_f} = g_2 \sin \Theta_W = -g_1 Y_L^{\ell} \cos \Theta_W$

Good properties of the theory:

- 1. Correct charged current interactions (V-A)
- 2. Correct electromagnetic interations
- 3. Chiral anomalies cancellation ($N_c = 3$)
- 4. Prediction on new neutral currents confirmed experimentally

But in this theory all fields are massless and it can not describe Nature correctly



Higgs scalar field with non-zero vacuum expectation value

To the Lagrangian

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} (W^{\mu\nu})^{i} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} G^{a}_{\mu\nu} (G^{\mu\nu})^{a} + \sum_{f=\ell,q} \bar{\Psi}^{f}_{L} (iD^{L}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}) \Psi^{f}_{L} + \sum_{f=\ell,q} \bar{\Psi}^{f}_{R} (iD^{R}_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}) \Psi^{f}_{R}$$

one adds a complex scalar field, $SU_{L}(2)$ doublet and $U_{y}(1)$ singlet

$$L_{\Phi} = D_{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi - \mu^{2} \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^{4}$$
Covariant derivative
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig_{2} W_{\mu}^{3} \tau^{i} - ig_{1} \frac{Y_{H}}{2} B_{\mu}$$
SU_L(2) transformation
$$\Phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi'(x) = \exp\left(ig_{2}\alpha^{i}t^{i}\right) \Phi(x)$$
Complex scalar field is parametrised
by four real scalar fields
$$\Phi(x) = \exp\left(-i\frac{\xi^{i}(x)t^{i}}{v}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ (v+h)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
In unitary gauge
$$g_{2}\alpha^{i}(x) = \xi^{i}(x)/v$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v+h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$
Bakyym
$$\Phi_{vac} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ v \end{pmatrix}$$
violates SU_L(2) and U_y(1) symmetries but U_{em}(1) remains unbroken

Complex scalar by four r

In unita

The Lagrangian $L_{\Phi} = D_{\mu} \Phi^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi - \mu^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^4$

in terms of the fields:

$$W_{\mu}^{\pm} = \left(W_{\mu}^{1} \mp iW_{\mu}^{2}\right)/\sqrt{2}$$

$$W_{\mu}^{3} = Z_{\mu}\cos\theta_{W} + A_{\mu}\sin\theta_{W}$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v + h(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

The diagonal mass matrix -> physics states with definite masses

$$Y_H = 1$$
 $M_W = M_Z \cos \theta_W$ $M_W = \frac{1}{2}vg_2 , M_Z = \frac{1}{2}v\sqrt{g_2^2 + g_1^2} , M_A = 0$

$$L_{H} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^{\mu} h) (\partial_{\mu} h) + \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{2} h^{2} - \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{2v} h^{3} - \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{8v^{2}} h^{4} + \left(M_{W}^{2} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2} M_{Z}^{2} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu}\right) \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)^{2} - \sum_{f} m_{f} \bar{f} f \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)^{2}$$

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \mathbf{2}\lambda\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{2}} = -\mathbf{2}\mu^{\mathbf{2}}$$



How the Higgs mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking works in case of fermion fields?

There are only two gauge invariant dimension 4 operators preserving the SM gauge invariance – the Yukawa type operators:

$$\bar{Q}_L \Phi d_R$$
 and $\bar{Q}_L \Phi^C u_R$ $Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Phi^C = i\sigma^2 \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v+h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Charge conjugated operators:

$$\left(\bar{Q}_L \Phi d_R\right)^{\dagger} = d_R^{\dagger} \Phi^{\dagger} \left(\bar{Q}_L\right)^{\dagger} = d_R^{\dagger} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \Phi^{\dagger} \gamma^0 Q_L = \bar{d}_R \Phi^{\dagger} Q_L$$

After spontaneous symmetry breaking such operators generate needed fermion masses of Dirac type:

$$(\bar{u}_L \bar{d}_L) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} d_R + \bar{d}_R (0 \ v) \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} = \bar{d}_L d_R + v \bar{d}_R d_L = v \left(\bar{d}_L d_R + \bar{d}_R d_L \right) = v \bar{d} \bar{d}_L d_R + v \bar{d}_R d_L = v \left(\bar{d}_L d_R + \bar{d}_R d_L \right) = v \bar{d} \bar{d}_R d_R + v \bar{d}_R d_R +$$

and similar for the up-type quarks with the field Φ^C

$$\left(\bar{Q}_L \Phi^C u_R\right)^\dagger = \bar{u}_R \left(\Phi^C\right)^\dagger Q_L$$

Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian with possible mixing of Yukawa type operators:

$$L_{Yukawa} = -\Gamma_d^{ij} \bar{Q'_L}^i \Phi d'_R^j + h.c. - \Gamma_u^{ij} \bar{Q'_L}^i \Phi^C u'_R^j + h.c. - \Gamma_e^{ij} \bar{L'_L}^i \Phi e'_R^j + h.c.$$

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v+h \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Phi^C = i\sigma^2 \Phi^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v+h \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the unitary gauge one can rewrite the Lagrangian as follows

$$L_{Yukawa} = -\left[M_d^{ij} \bar{d'_L}^i d'_R^j + M_u^{ij} \bar{u'_L}^i u'_R^j + M_e^{ij} \bar{e'_L}^i e'_R^j + h.c.\right] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)$$
$$M^{ij} = \Gamma^{ij} v / \sqrt{2}$$

The physics states are the states with definite mass. One should diagonalize matrices in order to get the physical states for quark and leptons

$$d'_{Li} = (U_L^d)_{ij} d_{Lj}; \quad d'_{Ri} = (U_R^d)_{ij} d_{Rj}; \quad u'_{Li} = (U_L^u)_{ij} u_{Lj}; \quad u'_{Ri} = (U_R^u)_{ij} u_{Rj}$$
$$\ell'_L = (U_L^\ell) \ell_L; \quad \ell'_R = (U_R^\ell) \ell_R$$
$$U_L U_L^\dagger = 1, \quad U_R U_R^\dagger = 1, \quad U_L^\dagger U_L = 1.$$

The matrices U are chosen such:

$$(U_L^u)^{\dagger} M_u U_R^u = \begin{pmatrix} m_u & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_c & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_t \end{pmatrix}; \quad (U_L^d)^{\dagger} M_d U_R^d = \begin{pmatrix} m_d & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_s & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_b \end{pmatrix} \quad (U_L^\ell)^{\dagger} M_\ell U_R^\ell = \begin{pmatrix} m_e & 0 & 0\\ 0 & m_\mu & 0\\ 0 & 0 & m_\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

The Yukawa Lagrangian after diagonalization

`

$$\implies L_{Yukawa} = -\left[m_d^i \bar{d}^i d^i + m_u^i \bar{u}^i u^i + m_\ell^i \bar{\ell}^i \ell^i\right] \cdot \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)$$

contains masses of particles and the interaction of the fermions with the Higgs boson

Neutral currents have the same structure with respect to flavors as the mass terms. And they become diagonal simultaneously with the mass terms

$$\Psi' \to U \Psi \qquad \bar{\Psi}' \hat{O}_N \Psi' \to \bar{\Psi} \hat{O} \Psi$$

But charge currents contain fermions rotated by different matrices

$$J_C \sim \bar{u}_L \hat{O}_{ch} d_L \qquad u' \to (U_L^u) u, \ d' \to (U_L^d) d_L$$
$$J_C \sim (U_L^u)^{\dagger} U_L^d \bar{u}_L \hat{Q} d_L \qquad V_{CKM} = (U_L^u)^{\dagger} U_L^d d_L$$

The unitary matrix is called the Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Moskawa mixing matrix (3 real parameters + 1 phase)

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \lambda = 0.2257^{+0.0009}_{-0.0010}, \qquad A = 0.814^{+0.021}_{-0.022} \\ \bar{\rho} = 0.135^{+0.031}_{-0.016}, \qquad \bar{\eta} = 0.349^{+0.015}_{-0.017} \\ \end{array}$$

Brout-Englert-Higgs (BEH) mechanism

Masses of quarks and leptons (except neutrinos)

Masses of W and Z bosons

Unitarity and renormalizability of the SM

Higgs Boson

Francios Englert



Peter Higgs



Nobel Prize in physics 2013



Standard Model

$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times SU(3)_c$





A very elegant theoretical construction!

Vacuum expectation value ${\bf v}$

The Fermi constant G_F is measured with high precision from muon life time $G_F = 1.166\,378\,7(6) \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Since the muon mass $m_{\mu} \ll M_W$ one can neglect the W-boson mass in the propagator and immediately get the following relation $\frac{g_2^2}{8M_W^2} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}$



$$M_W^2 = rac{1}{4} g_2^2 v^2$$

we obtain $v = rac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}G_F}} = 246.22 \; {
m GeV}$

From these two relations we obtain

At this point one can see the power of gauge invariance principle, $g_{\rm 2}$ is the same gauge coupling

The Higgs field expectation value v is determined by the Fermi constant G_F introduced long before the Higgs mechanism appeared!

 $L_{SM} = L_{Gauge} + L_{FG} + L_H$

Kinetic terms for the gauge fields; Interaction terms of the gauge fields,

Kinetic terms for fermions; Interactions of fermions with the gauge fields (NC and CC currents)

Kinetic and self-interaction terms for the higgs boson fields; Higgs – gauge boson interaction terms; Higgs-fermion interaction terms; Mass terms for the gauge bosons and fermions; + Goldstone bosons and ghosts interactions

$$L_{H} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^{\mu} h) (\partial_{\mu} h) + \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{2} h^{2} - \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{2v} h^{3} - \frac{M_{h}^{2}}{8v^{2}} h^{4} + (M_{W}^{2} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu} + \frac{1}{2} M_{Z}^{2} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu}) \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)^{2} - \sum_{f} m_{f} \bar{f} f \left(1 + \frac{h}{v}\right)$$

 $M_H^2 = 2\lambda v^2$



 $M_{\rm H}$ < 155 GeV 95% C.L.

m, [GeV]

155

175

195

3. From the unitariry of VV->VV (V: W,Z) amplitudes: No loose theorem!

$$\operatorname{Im}(a_l) = |a_l|^2 \qquad |\operatorname{Re}(a_l)| \le \frac{1}{2} \qquad \qquad M_H \lesssim 710 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Js} \gg \operatorname{M}_{\operatorname{H}}$$
$$\sqrt{s} \lesssim 1.2 \text{ TeV} \quad \text{if } \operatorname{Js} \ll \operatorname{M}_{\operatorname{H}}$$

4. From self-consistency of quantum theory:

No Landau pole (triiviality)



Positive self coupling $~\lambda(Q^2)>0~$ (vacuum stability)

Combining all direct and indirect constraints:



Loop corrections lead to the fact that SM parameters (coupling constants, masses, widths) are the running parameters, and they are nontrivial functions of each other.

Summary of comparisons of the EW precision measurements at LEP1, LEP2, SLD, and the Tevatron and a global parameter fit



$$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm lept} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{v_l}{a_l} \right)$$

* Standard Model is the renormalizable anomaly free gauge quantum field theory with spontaneously broken electroweak symmetry. Remarkable agreement with many experimental measurements.



The simplest Higgs mechanism SM is not stable with respect to quantum corrections (naturalness problem)

Loop corrections to the Higgs mass



 $\delta m_H < m_H$ $\Lambda < 1 \text{ TeV}$

In SM there is no symmetry which protects a strong dependence of Higgs mass on a possible new scale

Something is needed in addition to SM...

LHC - Why Terascale?

Stabilization of the Higgs mechanism $\rightarrow \Lambda \sim 1$ TeV

Unitarization of EW vector boson and heavy quark amplitudes $\rightarrow \Lambda \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$

If Mh ~ 1 TeV \rightarrow SM Higgs width ~ 0.5 TeV, strong coupling regime

Dark Matter density: in most popular scenarios masses of DM candidates are less than 1 TeV

$$\Omega_{\text{WIMP}} \sim 0.2 \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{200 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{0.1}{g^2}\right)^2$$

What the LHC experiments tell us?

First LHC results confirm the Standard Model





All in an agreement with the SM

New remarkable QCD results in various kinematical regions





Double-differential inclusive dijet production

After few days of RUN2



Perfect agreement with the SM predictions W/Z – bosons (first results at 13 TeV)



Top quark (RUN2)

Top pair production



First measurements ttV



 $\sigma(t\bar{t}W) = 0.98 + 0.23_{-0.22}(stat.) + 0.22_{-0.18}(sys.) pb$

 $\sigma(t\bar{t}Z) = 0.70^{+0.16}_{-0.15}(stat.)^{+0.14}_{-0.12}(sys.) \, pb$



Otuniat				
рр	$\sigma = 95.35 \pm 0.38 \pm 1.3 \text{ mb (data)}$ COMPETE REpl2u 2002 (theory)			•
W	$\sigma = 190.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 6.4$ nb (data) DYNNLO + CT14NNLO (theory)		ļ 🔶	þ
	$\sigma = 94.51 \pm 0.194 \pm 3.726$ nb (data) FEWZ+HERAPDF1.5 NNLO (theory)		¢	9
Z	$\sigma = 58.8 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.7 ~ \mathrm{nb} ~ (\mathrm{data}) \\ \mathrm{DYNNLO} + \mathrm{CT14NNLO} ~ (\mathrm{theory})$, †	
	$\sigma = 27.94 \pm 0.178 \pm 1.096 \text{ nb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{FEWZ+HERAPDF1.5 NNLO (theory)}$		¢	9
tī	$\sigma = 818.0 \pm 8.0 \pm 35.0 \text{ pb} (\text{data})$ top++ NNLO+NLL (theory)	. 中		
	$\sigma = 242.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 10.2 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory)}$	4		4
	$\sigma = 182.9 \pm 3.1 \pm 6.4 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{top++ NNLO+NNLL (theory)}$	¢.		P
	$\sigma = 229.0 \pm 48.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$, Þ		
t _{t-chan}	$\sigma = 82.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 12.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$	<u>4</u>		4
	$\sigma = 68.0 \pm 2.0 \pm 8.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$	¢	_	P
WW	$\sigma = 71.1 \pm 1.1 + 5.9 - 5.2 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NNLO (theory)}$.	Theory	
	$\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{NNLO (theory)}$	¢		
н	$\sigma = 27.7 \pm 3.0 + 2.3 - 1.9 \mathrm{pb} \mathrm{(data)} \\ \mathrm{LHC}\text{-HXSWG} \mathrm{(theory)}$	4	LHC pp √s = 7 TeV	
	$\sigma=22.1\pm6.7-5.3\pm3.3-2.7~\mathrm{pb}~\mathrm{(data)}\\ \mathrm{LHC}\text{-HXSWG}~\mathrm{(theory)}$	P	• Data	•
W/t	$\sigma = 23.0 \pm 1.3 + 3.4 - 3.7 \ \mathrm{pb} \ \mathrm{(data)} \\ \mathrm{NLO+NLL} \ \mathrm{(theory)}$.	stat stat ⊕ syst	
	$\sigma = 16.8 \pm 2.9 \pm 3.9 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{NLO+NLL (theory)}$.	LHC pp $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV	
	$\sigma = 50.6 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.5 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{MATRIX (NNLO) (theory)}$, P	Data	
WZ	$\sigma = 24.3 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.9 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{MATRIX (NNLO) (theory)}$	Ą	stat	
	$\sigma = 19.0 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ pb (data)} \\ \text{MATRIX (NNLO) (theory)}$	<u> </u>	stat⊕ syst	P
	$\sigma = 16.7 + 2.2 - 2.0 + 1.3 - 1.0 \ {\rm pb} \ {\rm (data)} \\ {\rm NNLO} \ {\rm (theory)}$, P	LHC pp √s = 13 TeV	P
ZZ	$\sigma=7.1\pm0.5-0.4\pm0.4~{\rm pb}~{\rm (data)}\\ {\rm NNLO}~{\rm (theory)}$	4	Data stat	
	$\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 + 0.5 - 0.4$ pb (data) NNLO (theory)	°	stat ⊕ syst	
L _{s-chan}	$\sigma = 4.8 \pm 0.8 + 1.6 - 1.3 \text{ pb (data)}$ NLO+NNL (theory)	ATLAS	Preliminary	
tīW tīZ	$\sigma = 1.38 \pm 0.69 \pm 0.08 \text{ pb} (data)$ Madgraph5 + aMC@NLO (theory)	//.0		
	$\sigma = 369.0 + 86.0 - 79.0 \pm 44.0$ to (data)	Run 1,2	$\sqrt{s} = 7, 8, 13 \text{ TeV}$	
	$\sigma = 0.92 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.08$ pc (data) Madgraph5 + aMCNLO (theory)			
	$\sigma = 176.0 + 52.0 - 48.0 \pm 24.0 \text{ to (data)}$ $HELAC-NLO (theory)$		ليسر ٨٨٨ جسينيت استنت السينية	
	$10^{-5} \ 10^{-4} \ 10^{-3} \ 10^{-2} \ 10^{-1} \ 1$	$10^1 \ 10^2 \ 10^3$	$10^4 \ 10^5 \ 10^6 \ 10^{11}$	0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5
	10 10 10 10 10 1	10 10 10		data /the arr
			σ [dd] σ	data/theory

Standard Model Total Production Cross Section Measurements Status: June 2016

LHCb has measured the cross-section for the process $pp{\rightarrow}bbX$ at both 7 and 13



Higgs production modes, decays and signatures at LHC



Gluon-gluon fusion



Vector boson fusion





Examples of the CMS and ATLAS events with two photons (Higgs candidates)





LHC begins with limits on the Higgs mass



Excluded either by ATLAS or CMS 145-466 GeV (except 288-296 GeV) 95%CL



CMS and ATLAS combined result for MH : 141-476 GeV is excluded



Small window from 115 GeV to 127 GeV is remaining with a small access at about 125 GeV

Presentations at CERN seminar



Joe Incandela CMS Spokesperson 2012-2013

Remarkable day for worldwide physics community



More and more clear peak

4 July



Probability to explain the peak by the background fluctuation

4 July

Nov 2012



Signal strength µ

July 2012





ATLAS Preliminary m. = 126 GeV $\frac{W,Z H}{\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}: \int Ldt = 4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}}$ vs = 8 TeV: Ldt = 13 fb-1 $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ vs = 7 TeV: Ldt = 4.6 fb⁻¹ vs = 8 TeV: Ldt = 13 fb $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow IvIv$ √s = 8 TeV: ∫Ldt = 13 fb⁻¹ $\frac{H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma}{\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}:] \text{Ldt} = 4.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}}$ vs = 8 TeV: JLdt = 5.9 fb $\begin{array}{c} H \rightarrow ZZ^{(^{*})} \rightarrow 4I \\ \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV: } \left| Ldt = 4.8 \text{ fb}^{-1} \right| \end{array}$ vs = 8 TeV:]Ldt = 5.8 fb* $\mu = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ Combined s = 7 TeV: JLdt = 4.6 - 4.8 fb⁻¹ s = 8 TeV: JLdt = 5.8 - 13 fb⁻¹ -1 0 +1 Signal strength (µ) $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}, L = 5.1 \text{ fb}^{-1} \sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, L = 12.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ CMS Preliminary $m_{\mu} = 125.8 \text{ GeV}$ $H \rightarrow bb$ $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

 $H \rightarrow ZZ$

0

0.5

1.5

1

2

Best fit σ/σ_{sm}

2.5

July 2013





RUN1 results in an agreement with the SM





Signal strength at various production channels and decay modes





Pseudoscalar O⁻ disfavoured at > 99% CL



Quantum numbers O⁺, interaction constants are proportional to masses , the Higgs mass is measured with good precision



Negative Yukawa is disfavored

SM-like Higgs (scalar 0⁺) is discovered



m_H = 125.09±0.21(stat.)±0.11(syst.) GeV

Higgs rediscovery at 13 TeV and 13 fb⁻¹



Fiducial and total cross sections





weakly-coupled theory

BACKUP SLIDES



Search strategy:

 M_H <135 GeV associated production and bb decay W(Z)H → Iv(II/vv) bb Main backgrounds: W/Zjj, top, Wbb, Zbb
 M_H >135 GeV gg → H production with decay to WW*, WW Main background: electroweak WW production, W/Zjj