## NICA-MPD Physics Seminar 19 September 2019

### The first tests of MC data obtained using vHLLE model

P. Batyuk<sup>1</sup>, O.Kodolova<sup>2</sup>, L. Malinina<sup>1,2</sup>, <u>K. Mikhaylov<sup>1,3</sup></u>, G.Nigmatkulov<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia, <sup>2</sup>Skobeltsyn Research Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia, <sup>3</sup>NRC Kurchatov Institute – ITEP, Rusian Federation, Moscow, Russia, <sup>4</sup>National Research Nuclear University, Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia.

#### Abstract

The first tests of Monte Carlo production (generator+GEANT+reconstruction) of Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}=11.5$  GeV within the vHLLE model are presented. The results of particle identification using ionization energy loss (dE/dx) in the TPC and the time-of-flight information ( $\beta$ ) from TOF in the MPD experimental setup are shown. The technical issues of generating a response (energy loss) of the TPC is discussed.

This work was supported by RFBR according to the research project № 18-02-40044

- MC production (vHLLE+GEANT+reconstruction) Au+Au
  - Statistics:  $\sim$ 7e5  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$ =11.5 GeV 0-5%
  - $|Z_{vert}| < 50$  cm,  $|\eta| < 1$ , number of TPC hits > 20,  $p_T > 0.1$  GeV/c
- PID using dE/dx in TPC
- PID using TOF
- Bayesian PID
- Conclusions

## Acceptance: AuAu √s<sub>NN</sub>=11.5 GeV 0-5% (vHLLE+GEANT+Rec)



• About 15% of tracks got lost after cuts

## TPC ionization energy loss



- Previous (left) and new (right) results looks similar
- It is possible that new results show less background ?

## TPC energy loss MPD and ALICE

AuAu  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$ =7.7 GeV 0-5%





- MPD MC(left) and ALICE data(right) TPC dE/dx qualitatively similar
- But the intersection of e and K curves are in different places
- MPD MC: at p~0.6 GeV/c, ALICE data: at p~0.45 GeV/c

# TPC energy loss MPD and ALICE





- MPD MC(left) and ALICE data(right) TPC dE/dx qualitatively similar
- But the intersection of e and K curves are in different places ٢
- MPD MC: at p~0.6 GeV/c, ALICE data: at p~0.45 GeV/c

- The value of mean and  $\sigma$  is obtained from the projection TPC dE/dx for each given momentum
  - Truncation procedure is used:
  - Initially dE/dx is Landau distributed; but long tail influences particle separation
  - Data were truncated:
    - the fit was done in the region +/- RMS of the maximum position of energy loss distribution
    - data distribution became Gaussian-like
- The procedure is done separately for each particle.
- The data obtained are fit using the Bethe-Bloch energy loss formula in ALEPH parametrization:

$$f(\beta\gamma) = \frac{P_1}{\beta^{P_4}} \cdot \left\{ P_2 - \beta^{P_4} - \ln\left[P_3 + \frac{1}{(\beta\gamma)^{P_5}}\right] \right\}$$

[ALEPH Collaboration, D. Buskulic et al., "Performance of the ALEPH detector at LEP," Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A360 (1995) 481–506.]



### 19.09.2019

## Example of MPD TPC energy loss parametization



- ALEPH fit does very good job for  $\pi$ , K and p
- The problem is only for electrons

19.09.2019

## Fit problem for electrons



- MC dE/dx vs momentum for e
- Green fit by Aleph parametirzation  $f(\beta\gamma) = \frac{P_1}{\beta^{P_4}} \cdot \left\{ P_2 - \beta^{P_4} - \ln\left[P_3 + \frac{1}{(\beta\gamma)^{P_5}}\right] \right\},$
- The fit failed
- Bethe-Bloch calculation (magenta):

$$\left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx}\right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 W_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2}\right]$$

energy loss for electrons should go down with decreasing momentum at low momenta region

 MPD MC: ionization energy loss for electrons go up with decreasing momentum at low p

## STAR and Bethe-Bloch



- dE/dx vs momentum in STAR TPC
- The intersection of e and K curves for STAR(and ALICE) and Bethe-Bloch calculations are at about 0.45 GeV/c
- The Bethe-Bloch formula for Ar gas shows same result (*STAR TCP: 90%Ar+10%CH*<sub>4</sub>)
- ALICE&STAR: GEANT3 is not optimal for TPC dE/dx simultions
- MPD e and K at 0.6 GeV/c : GEANT3 (see A.Zinchenko talk)





## **TOF** response



- Previous (left) and new (right) results looks similar
- It is possible that new results show less background ?

# $N\sigma$ for TOF signal

- TOF vs p is fit with hyperbolic function with additional parameter:
- The value of sigma is obtained from the projection for each momentum
- TOF Nσ's are not in DST (TPC Nσ's are in DST, but they are junk)



#### NICA-MPD Seminar

 $\frac{1}{\beta} = \sqrt{1 + (\frac{m}{p})^2 + p 0}$ 

## Bayesian PID

• Bayesian probability:

$$P(i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{dE/dx}}} \exp\left(-\frac{(dE/dx)_{meas} - (dE/dx)_{BB,i}}{2\sigma_{dE/dx}^2}\right)$$

• Weight:  $w(i) = \frac{C(i)P(i)}{\sum_{k} P(k)w(k)}$ , C(i) = a' priori probabilities.

for now: C(i) =1, w(i)>0.9

• Efficiency of the identification of type i:

$$\epsilon_{\rm i}(p) = \frac{{\rm d}N_{\rm ii}^{\rm meas}/{\rm d}p}{{\rm d}N_{\rm i}/{\rm d}p}$$

 $N_{ii}^{meas}$  – particles identified as type i  $N_{i}^{meas}$  – all generated particles type i

• Purity:

$$f_i(p) = \frac{dN_{ii}^{\rm true}/dp}{dN_i^{\rm meas}/dp}$$

 $N_i^{meas}$  – all particles identified as type i  $N_{ii}^{true}$  – all generated identified as particles type i

# Bayesian PID performance: pions

– A. Mudrokh PID class was used –

### Purity and contamination



- Purity is about 0.95
  Purity decreases: at low momenta due to electron contamination; at p>1 GeV/c due to Kaon contamination.
- Efficiency significantly decreases at p<0.3 and p>0.8 GeV/c

### Efficiency



### dE/dx of selected pions



- A little contamination can be seen at TPC plot
- TOF plot looks clean enough



 $1/\beta$  of selected pions

#### 19.09.2019

# Bayesian PID performance: kaons

– A. Mudrokh PID class was used –

### Purity and contamination



- Purity is about 0.95
- Purity decreases starting from p>0.8 GeV/c → due to pion contamination;
  electron contamination is negligible
- Efficiency is not flat Maximum value is ~0.75

### Efficiency



### dE/dx of selected kaons



π contamination
 is seen at both TPC
 and TOF plot

### $1/\beta$ of selected kaons



### 19.09.2019

# Bayesian PID performance: protons

– A. Mudrokh PID class was used –

### Purity and contamination



- Purity is rather stable ~1
- K,π contamination is at p>1 GeV/c
- Efficiency is not flat;
  0.95 p<0.55 GeVc;</li>
  minimum arount p=1GeV/c

## Efficiency



### dE/dx of selected protons



 Some contamination is seen at TPC and TOF plot

## $1/\beta$ of selected protons



#### 19.09.2019

## Kaon purity and contamination

### MPD MC (Bayesian PID)

- Kaon purity ~ 0.95 at p<0.8 GeV/c
- Purity drops down starting from p~0.8 GeV/c and reaches value about 0.6 at p~1.3 GeV/c
- The main contamination is due to pions
- Electron contamination is negligible

### ALICE (Nsigma PID)

- Purity is about 1 in wide momentum range
- The major contamination is due to electrons
- Purity drops down to 0.6 at 0.4<p<0.5 GeV/c</p>
- Significant difference is found between purity and contamination in ALICA data and MPD MC



- The first tests of Monte Carlo production (generator+GEANT+reconstruction) of Au-Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}=11.5$  GeV (and previous  $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}=7.7$  GeV) within the vHLLE model are presented.
- The results of particle identification using ionization energy loss (dE/dx) in the TPC is shown.
- The technical issues of generating a response (energy loss) of the TPC is discussed.
- The time-of-flight information  $(1/\beta)$  from TOF in the MPD experimental setup are shown.
- Bayesian PID performance was shown. Significant difference is found between kaon purity and contamination in ALICA data and MPD MC.

Thank you for you attention!!!

# **Backup slides**

19.09.2019

## **Bethe-Bloch Parameterization**

Bethe Bloch Function (BBF): mean energy loss per unit path length

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2} \right]$$

Parametrization (Aleph Parametrization)

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dx} \right\rangle = \frac{p_0}{\beta^{p_3}} \left[ p_1 - \beta^{p_3} - \ln\left(p_2 + \frac{1}{(\beta\gamma)^{p_4}}\right) \right]$$

It was find that parameterization used in MPDroot was different :

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Float_t BetheBlochFunction(Float_t x, Float_t *p) { } \\ \mbox{Float_t b = 1 / (x / TMath::Sqrt(1 + x * x)); } \\ \mbox{return p[0] / TMath::Power(b, p[3]) * (p[1] - TMath::Power(b, p[3]) - TMath::Log(p[2] + TMath::Power(1 / x, p[4]))); } \\ \end{array}
```

## The corrected Bethe-Bloch Function with Aleph parameterization

Double\_t BetheBlochAlephFunc(Double\_t \*x, Double\_t \*par) { Double\_t p=x[0];//kaon momentum Double\_t mass=par[0];//Mass of particle 0.4937;//GeV/c2 Double\_t beta=p/TMath::Sqrt(p\*p+mass\*mass);

//parameters from debugger Double\_t kp1=par[1]; Double\_t kp2=par[2]; Double\_t kp3=par[3]; Double\_t kp4=par[4]; Double\_t kp5=par[5];

momentum

mass

Double\_t aa = TMath::Power(beta,kp4); Double\_t bb = TMath::Power(1./bg,kp5);

bb=TMath::Log(kp3+bb); Double\_t dMip=50.;//fMip in AliTPCPIDResponse.cxx. Correct? return (kp2-aa-bb)\*kp1/aa;//\*dMip;

#### NICA-MPD Seminar

### 19.09.2019

# dE/dx distributions for pions



p (0.09, 2.25) GeV/c, 72 slices ; Blue color – Gaussian fit region : Maximum +/- RMS 19.09.2019 NICA-MPD Seminar

## Energy loss by Bethe-Bloch equation

$$\left\langle -\frac{dE}{dx}\right\rangle = Kz^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 W_{\text{max}}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta(\beta\gamma)}{2}\right]$$

To estimate  $\langle dE/dx \rangle$  by BB equation Ar was used (STAR: 90%Ar+10%CH<sub>4</sub>) The intersection curves weakly dependent on the gas mixture (vary Z ± 5)

Energy loss by Bethe-Bloch equation

Energy loss by Bethe-Bloch equation

Energy loss by Bethe-Bloch equation



The intersection of K and electrons is about momentum 450 MeV/c

19.09.2019

## Bayesian PID: selected kaons in TPC

